

Superrigidity and classification  
problems for torsion-free  
abelian groups of finite rank

Simon Thomas

**Definition 1**  $G \leq \mathbb{Q}^n$  has rank  $n$  iff  $G$  contains  $n$  linearly independent elements.

**Definition 2**  $R(\mathbb{Q}^n)$  is the standard Borel space of all subgroups  $G \leq \mathbb{Q}^n$  of rank  $n$ .

**Definition 3**  $\cong_n$  denotes the isomorphism relation on  $R(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ .

**Theorem 4 (S.T. 2000)**  $(\cong_n) <_B (\cong_{n+1})$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .

**Definition 5** *An abelian group  $A$  is said to be  $p$ -local iff  $A$  is  $q$ -divisible for every prime  $q \neq p$ .*

**Definition 6**  $R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$  is the standard Borel space of all  $p$ -local subgroups  $G \leq \mathbb{Q}^n$  of rank  $n$ .

**Definition 7**  $\cong_n^{(p)}$  denotes the isomorphism relation on  $R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ .

**Theorem 8 (S.T. 2000)** *Fix a prime  $p$ . Then  $(\cong_n^{(p)}) <_B (\cong_{n+1}^{(p)})$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .*

**Question 9** Fix some prime  $p$  and some  $n \geq 2$ . Is the classification problem for the  $p$ -local torsion-free abelian groups of rank  $n$  strictly easier than that for arbitrary torsion-free abelian groups of rank  $n$ ?

**Question 10** Fix some  $n \geq 2$  and let  $p \neq q$  be distinct primes. Are the the classification problems for the  $p$ -local and  $q$ -local torsion-free abelian groups of rank  $n$  comparable with respect to Borel reducibility?

**Theorem 11 (S.T. 2002)** *If  $n \geq 3$  and  $p \neq q$  are distinct primes, then  $\cong_n^{(p)}$  and  $\cong_n^{(q)}$  are incomparable with respect to Borel reducibility.*

**Corollary 12 (S.T. 2002)** *If  $n \geq 3$  and  $p$  is a prime, then  $(\cong_n^{(p)}) <_B (\cong_n)$ .*

First note that if  $A, B \in R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ , then

$$A \cong B \quad \text{iff} \quad \exists g \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q}) \quad g(A) = B.$$

We must show that there doesn't exist a Borel map

$$f : R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n) \rightarrow R^{(q)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$$

$A, B$  lie in the same  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbit iff  $f(A), f(B)$  lie in the same  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbit.

First we apply the Kurosh-Malcev localisation.

**Definition 13** For each  $A \in R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ , let  $\hat{A} = \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes A$ .

We regard each  $\hat{A}$  as a subgroup of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$  in the usual way. There exist elements  $v_i, w_j \in \hat{A}$  such that

$$\hat{A} = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Q}_p v_i \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{\ell} \mathbb{Z}_p w_j.$$

**Definition 14** For each  $A \in R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ , let  $V_A = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \mathbb{Q}_p v_i$ .

**Definition 15**  $A \approx B$  iff  $A \cap B$  has finite index in both  $A$  and  $B$ .

**Definition 16**  $A \sim B$  iff there exists  $\varphi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\varphi(A) \approx B$ .

**Theorem 17** If  $A, B \in R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ , then

(a)  $A \approx B$  iff  $V_A = V_B$ .

(b)  $A \sim B$  iff there exists  $\pi \in GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$  such that  $\pi(V_A) = V_B$ .



Thus the isomorphism relation  $\cong_n^{(p)}$  on  $R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$  is *virtually* the same as the orbit equivalence relation of  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$  on the set of vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ .

**Definition 18** *If  $0 \leq k \leq n$ , then  $V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  denotes the standard Borel space consisting of the  $k$ -dimensional vector subspaces of  $\mathbb{Q}_p^n$ .*

**Theorem 19** *Suppose that  $A \in R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$  and that  $\dim V_A = n - 1$ . Then for each  $B \in R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$ , we have that  $A \sim B$  iff  $A \cong B$ .*

**Theorem 20** *There exists a Borel map*

$$\sigma : V^{(n-1)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n)$$

*such that  $V_{\sigma(S)} = S$ .*

Suppose that there exists a Borel reduction

$$h : R^{(p)}(\mathbb{Q}^n) \rightarrow R^{(q)}(\mathbb{Q}^n).$$

Consider the Borel map

$$f : V^{(n-1)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow \bigcup_{\ell} V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q)$$

defined by

$$S \mapsto \sigma(S) \mapsto (h \circ \sigma)(S) \mapsto V_{h \circ \sigma}(S).$$

Clearly  $f$  is countable-to-one and maps  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbits to  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbits.

Consider the ergodic action of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  on

$$(V^{(n-1)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)$$

where  $\mu_p$  is the  $p$ -adic probability measure induced by the transitive action of  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  on  $V^{(n-1)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ .

Wlog there exists a fixed  $\ell$  such that

$$f : V^{(n-1)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q).$$

Clearly  $f$  is countable-to-one and maps  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits to  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbits.

## A Robust Punchline

Suppose that  $p \neq q$  are distinct primes and that  $0 < k, \ell < n$ . Then

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)$$

and

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q), \mu_q)$$

are not isomorphic.

**Question:** How are we to recognise the prime  $p$  in

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)?$$

Let  $\Delta$  be a normal subgroup of finite index in  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$  and let  $cl(\Delta)$  be the closure of  $\Delta$  in  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ . Then

$$[SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p) : cl(\Delta)] = bp^r$$

for some  $r \geq 0$  and some divisor  $b$  of  $|SL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ .

The ergodic components for the action of  $\Delta$  on  $V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  are precisely the orbits of  $cl(\Delta)$  on  $V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p)$  and  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$  acts transitively on the set of  $cl(\Delta)$ -orbits.

Hence the number of ergodic components

$$e_p(\Delta) = cp^s$$

for some  $s \geq 0$  and some divisor  $c$  of  $|SL_n(\mathbb{F}_p)|$ .

This argument shows that

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)$$

and

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q), \mu_q)$$

are not virtually isomorphic.

More generally,

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q), \mu_q)$$

is not a virtual factor of any finite ergodic extension of

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p).$$

Recall that

$$f : X = V^{(n-1)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow Y = V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q)$$

is countable-to-one and maps  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits to  $GL_n(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbits.

Wlog there are finitely primes such that  $f$  maps  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z})$ -orbits to  $SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[1/q_1, \dots, 1/q_t])$ -orbits.

**Notation:**

$$\Lambda = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}[1/q_1, \dots, 1/q_t])$$

$$H = SL_n(\mathbb{R}) \times SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_{q_1}) \times \dots \times SL_n(\mathbb{Q}_{q_t})$$

We regard  $K = SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_{q_1}) \times \dots \times SL_n(\mathbb{Z}_{q_t})$  and  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$  as (commuting) subgroups of  $H$ .

## Slightly oversimplifying ...

Zimmer superrigidity yields a Borel embedding of permutation groups

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), X, \mu_p) \xrightarrow{\varphi, \tilde{f}} (SL_n(\mathbb{R}), Y \times (K \backslash H/\Lambda), \tilde{f}_* \mu_p)$$

We must study the distribution of  $\tilde{f}(X)$  within  $Y \times (K \backslash H/\Lambda)$ .

There are only countably many  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ -orbits on  $K \backslash H/\Lambda$ . So wlog there exists a single  $SL_n(\mathbb{R})$ -orbit  $\Omega$  on  $K \backslash H/\Lambda$  such that

$$\tilde{f}(X) \subseteq Y \times \Omega.$$



We can define a  $\varphi(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ -invariant ergodic probability measure  $\omega$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$\omega(A) = \mu_p(\{x \in X \mid \tilde{f}(x) \in Y \times A\}).$$

Furthermore,  $(\Omega, \omega)$  is a factor of  $(X, \mu_p)$ .

Ratner's Theorem implies that  $\omega$  is either the Haar measure or else has finite support. The dynamical properties of  $(X, \mu_p)$  rule out the first possibility. So there exists a finite  $\varphi(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}))$ -invariant subset  $\Omega_0$  such that

$$\tilde{f}(X) \subseteq Y \times \Omega_0.$$

This implies that

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(k)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_p), \mu_p)$$

and

$$(SL_n(\mathbb{Z}), V^{(\ell)}(n, \mathbb{Q}_q), \mu_q)$$

are virtually isomorphic, which is a contradiction.