Exploiting quantum control for quantum computation *Marker atoms and molecular interactions in optical lattices*

TC, U. Dorner, P. Zoller

C. Williams, P. Julienne

What we want to implement

•implement entanglement of two qubits

example: phase gate $|00\rangle \rightarrow |00\rangle$ $|01\rangle \rightarrow |01\rangle$ $|10\rangle \rightarrow |10\rangle$ $|11\rangle \rightarrow e^{i\phi}|11\rangle$

- Controlled

We must design a Hamiltonian

 $H = \Delta E(t) \ket{1} \bra{1} \otimes \ket{1} \ket{2} \bra{1}$

so that

$$
|1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2 \rightarrow e^{i\phi}|1\rangle_1 \otimes |1\rangle_2
$$

Examples:

Cold collisions in moving potentials: optical lattices (Jaksch et al PRL 1999)

Cold collisions in switching potentials: magnetic microtraps (Calarco et al. PRA 2000)

Dipole-dipole interactions: Rydberg gate (Jaksch et al. PRL 2000)

Electrostratic interaction: ion microtraps (Cirac and Zoller Nature 2000; Calarco et al. PRA 2001)

Pauli-blocked excitons: spintronics in quantum dots (Calarco et al. PRA 2003)

Feshbach two-qubit quantum gates

- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ identifying difficulties with quantum gates based on qubit/spin-dependent lattice movements
- improving on existing gate proposals
- a new Feshbach gate based on "adiabatic massage"
	- \checkmark qubit as "clock transition": independent of magnetic fields
	- \checkmark qubit-independent superlattice (instead of a spin-dependent lattice)
	- \checkmark quantum gate based on state dependent Feshbach resonances
	- \checkmark enhanced speed due to Feshbach resonance
	- \checkmark relaxed addressability requirements

Present situation

[Jaksch et al. 1999, Bloch et al. experiment 2003]

Feshbach resonances

Coupled-channel CI model

\n5tate

\n
$$
\Psi_{\beta}(t) = |\beta\rangle \sum_{v} \phi_{v}(R)c_{v}(t) + |n_{\beta}(t)\rangle \phi^{\text{res}}(R)a_{\beta}(t)
$$
\n
$$
V_{\nu}^{\beta} = 2\hbar \nu \sqrt{\sqrt{4v+3} a_{bg} \delta_{\beta}/\pi} \qquad \delta_{\beta} \equiv \Delta_{\beta} s_{\beta}^{\text{res}} / (\hbar \nu)
$$
\n
$$
\psi_{\beta}^{\text{res}}(B) = s_{\beta}^{\text{res}}(B - B_{\beta}^{\text{res}})
$$

Equations of motion

$$
i\hbar \dot{a}_{\beta} = \varepsilon_{\beta}^{\text{res}}(B) + \sum_{v} V_v^{\beta} c_v / \sqrt{2}
$$

$$
i\hbar \dot{c}_v = (v + 1/2)\hbar v c_v + V_v^{\beta} a_{\beta} / \sqrt{2}
$$

Feshbach switching in a spherical trap

- **T** Start with the (100 G) Feshbach resonance state 10 trap units above threshold
- **I** Switch it suddenly close to threshold
- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ Wait \sim 1 trap time ($\sim \mu s$ assuming MHz trap)
- F Switch back
- A phase π is accumulated
- Fidelity: 0.9996
- m. No state dependence required, however difficult atom separation with state-independent potential

Quantum optimal control in a nutshell

 $\|\cdot\|$ Evolve an initial guess according to control *^u*

$$
\left|\dot{\psi}(t)\right\rangle=-\frac{i}{\hbar}H(u,t)\left|\psi(t)\right\rangle
$$

 $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ Project onto the goal state and evolve back

$$
|\chi(T)\rangle \equiv |\psi_0\rangle \langle \psi_0 | \psi(T)\rangle
$$

- $\overline{\mathbb{R}^n}$ Evolve forward again while updating the control pulse $u_{n+1}(t) = u_n(t) + \frac{2}{\lambda(t)} \Im \langle \chi(t) | \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} | \psi(t) \rangle$
- Repeat until approaching the goal

Nonadiabatic transport in a lattice

- T. State dependence: lattice displacement
- П Non-adiabatic transport in optical lattice: simulation with realistic potential shape
- п Fidelity 0.99999 in 1.5 trap times through optimal control theory

Looking for resonances [Marte et al. 2002]

Feshbachresonances happen when some molecular state crosses the dissociation threshold for a particular entrance channel

Identifying qubit states

П Logical states: ⁸⁷Rb clock states $|0\rangle \equiv |F = 1, m_F = 0\rangle$ $|1\rangle \equiv |F = 2, m_F = 0\rangle$

 $|x\rangle \equiv |F = 1, m_F = 1\rangle$

× Auxiliary state

Level scheme

Massaging the potential

 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Example: Two-color optical lattice

$$
U(\mathbf{x},\phi) = U_{\perp}(y,z) + U_0 \Big[\Big(1 + \frac{u_1 + u_2}{2} \Big) \cos^2(2kx) + \sqrt{u_1^2 + u_2^2} \cos^2\Big(kx + \frac{\sigma}{2} \arctan\frac{u_2}{u_1} + \frac{p\pi}{2} \Big) \Big]
$$

 $|A_{i-1}\rangle \hspace{1cm} |Q_{i-1}\rangle \hspace{1.4cm} |A_i\rangle \hspace{1.4cm} |Q_i\rangle \hspace{1.4cm} |A_{i+1}\rangle \hspace{1.4cm} |Q_{i+1}\rangle$ $\overline{}$ Auxiliary and Qubit atomic sites $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Adiabatic transfer by barrier lowering

Transport in a two-color optical lattice

- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Raise abruptly one every two wells
- \blacksquare Slowly lower the barrier and raise it again

 $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ Transport infidelity \sim 10⁻³ in 10 trap times with a pulse optimized "by hands"

Phase gate via optimal control

- T. Ramping the resonance state across threshold
- P. The state |00> is selectively affected
- $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{A}}$ A phase π is accumulated over one oscillation period
- П Infidelity \sim 10⁻⁵ in a 20kHz trap with anisotropy factor 10

Summary

- □ Gate "markers"
	- П **A** Single-qubit: \ket{x}_A
	- \Box **Two-qubit:** $|0\rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}, |1\rangle_{\scriptscriptstyle{A}}$
- □ Preparation of task-specific patterns
	- П Relaxing single-qubit addressability requirements
- \Box Implementation
	- **Depay Depay 13 Dept 1**
	- Atom chips
		- \Box Magnetic
		- \Box Optical (microlenses; "mirror lattice" on a chip?)
- □ Quantum optimal control is useful for
	- fast atom transport
	- efficient entangling operations