On Cryptographic Properties of Boolean Function

AmrYoussef

Concordia Institute for Information Systems Engineering (CIISE)

Concordia University

Montreal, Canada

Outline

- \bullet Motivation
- · Boolean functions representations
- Cryptographic properties of Boolean functions
- Construction examples
- Conclusions and open problems

Motivation

- Hierarchical subdivisions of cryptography
	- Protocols (e.g., Needham Schroeder)
		- Produce solutions for cryptographic problems
	- Algorithms (e.g., AES) Protocols
		- Used to construct protocols
	- Primitives
		- Used to construct algorithms
- Boolean functions
	- \bullet Constitute one of the basic primitives for symmetric key cryptography
	- \bullet Strong connection between cryptanalytic attacks and the properties of the underlying Boolean functions
	- \bullet Some attempts for use in public key cryptography

Classical examples for Block ciphers

Boolean Functions

- A Boolean function in n variables $f: F_2^n \to F_2$ $\sum_{1}^{n} \rightarrow$
- Multiple-output Boolean functions $f: F_2^n \to F_2^m$
	- \bullet Also known as
		- \bullet S-Boxes
		- Vectorial Boolean functions
- **■** *B*_{*n,m*} : the set of "Boolean" functions $f: F_2^n \to F_2^m$
	- \bullet $B_{n,m} \models 2^{m2^n}$ $|B_{n,m}|=2^{m}^2$
	- Exhaustive search is not an option

Boolean function Representation

Truth Table

Algebraic Normal Form (ANF)

$$
f(x_1, x_2) = 1 + x_1 x_2
$$

$$
f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n) = a_0 + a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_n x_n + a_{12} x_1 x_2 + a_{13} x_1 x_3 + \cdots + \cdots + a_{12 \cdots n} x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n
$$

$$
f(x) = \bigoplus_{I \subseteq \{1, ..., n\}} a_I \left(\prod_{i \in I} x_i \right)
$$

- Exists and unique
- •The ANF degree is affine invariant
- \bullet Evaluation requires $O(n2^n)$ operations

Walsh-Hadamard Transform

•
$$
F(w) = \sum_{x \in F_2^n} (-1)^{f(x) + w \cdot x}
$$
 where $w \cdot x = w_1 x_1 + \dots + w_n x_n$

- Almost all cryptographic properties can be expressed in terms of the WHT
- Can be evaluated in $O(n2^n)$ operations

- What is the best representation?
	- \bullet TT, WHT, or ANF
	- Example:
		- o ANFD

^o
$$
w_H(f) = \# \{ x \in F_2^n | f(x) \neq 0 \}
$$

Graph Representation: Quadratic functions

- y Boolean functions with *only* quadratic terms
	- can be represented by an undirected graph with n nodes
	- An edge between node *i* and *j* exists iff $a_{ij} = 1$ in the ANF of *f*
- Boolean functions corresponding to isomorphic graphs belong to the same affine class

• Example $f(x_1)$ $f(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = x_1x_2 + x_1x_4 + x_2x_3 + x_2x_4 + x_3x_4$

Definitions

Connected Graphs Regular Graphs

• A graph in which any two vertices are connected by a path is called a connected graph.

• A graph in which every vertex has the same degree is called a regular graph

Strongly Regular Graph

A graph *G* is strongly regular if there exist nonnegative integers *^e* and *d* such that, for all vertices μ, ν , the number of vertices adjacent to both μ and ν , $\delta(\mu,\nu)$ is given by

$$
\delta(\mu, \nu) = \begin{cases} e, \text{if } \mu \text{ and } \nu \text{ are adjacent} \\ d, \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

node 0 and 1 are adjacent and have 0 common neighbours \Rightarrow *e* = 0

node 0 and 2 are not adjacent and have 2 common neighbours \Rightarrow *d* = 2

Graph Spectrum

- Given a graph G and its adjacency matrix A, the spectrum of G is the set of the eigenvalues of A, which are also called eigenvalues of G.
- Isomorphic graphs have the same spectrum

Graph Representation: General case

• A general Boolean function can be associated with a Cayley graph

$$
V_f = F_2^n
$$

$$
E_f = \{(w, u) \in F_2^n \times F_2^n \mid f(w \oplus u) = 1\}
$$

 \bullet There is a 1-1 relationship between the graph eigenvalues and the Walsh coefficients: $\lambda_i = 2^n F(i)$

Example:

• Truth Table:

- $f(x) = [0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 1]$ • Walsh Transform: $F(\omega) = 2^{-n}$ $\sum f(x)(-1)^{\omega x}$ $F(\omega) = [2 \ 0 \ -2 \ 0]$ *x* $F(\omega) = 2^{-n} \sum_{x} f(x) (-1)^{\omega}$
- · Adjacency Matrix:

$$
A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}
$$

· Eigenvalues:

$$
\mathbf{\lambda} = [-2 \ 0 \ 0 \ 2]
$$

Associated Cayley Graph

Example

• Truth Table:

 $f(x) = [0 1 1 1 0 0 1 0]$ • Walsh Transform: $F(\omega) = [4 \ 0 \ -2 \ -2 \ 2 \ -2 \ 0 \ 0]$ $\overline{2}$ 3 · Adjacency Matrix: $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ $\,$ $\,$ $\,$ 5 $A = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{vmatrix}$ 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 1

1 0 0 0 1 1 0 1 1

0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 Associated Cayley Graph Eigenvalues: \bullet **λ** ⁼ [-2 -2 -2 0 0 0 2 4]

1-1 Correspondences with Polynomial Functions and Periodic Sequences

$$
GF(24) defined by f(x) = x4 + x3 + 1 \Rightarrow
$$

s(x) = x + x² + 7x³ + 15x⁴ + 5x⁵ + 14x⁶ + 14x⁸ + 2x⁹ + 7x¹⁰ + 9x¹²

Cryptographic properties of Boolean functions

- Balance
- Correlation immunity
- Resiliency
- Nonlinearity
- · Algebraic normal form degree
- · Algebraic immunity degree

Using Berlekamp Massey algorithm, the initial value and the connection Polynomial of the LFSR can be deduced using 2L consecutive bits

Output will have an equivalent length

$$
L = \sum_{I \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}} a_I \left(\prod_{i \in I} L_i \right)
$$

C1. The ANFD, d, should be as high as possible

Resiliency

- Combining functions must be balanced
- If *f* remains balanced if we fixed up to *m* of its input coordinates, then f is called m-resilient
- \bullet In terms of WHT \bullet

 $F(w) = 0$

F for all $w \in F_2^n$ such that $w_H(w) \le m$

C2. The resiliency degree m should be as high as possible

• Siegenthaler bound (c1 & c2) : $m + d \leq n$, $m + d \leq n - 1$ for balanced functions

Nonlinearity

- The nonlinearity of f is the minimum hamming distance between f and the set of Affine functions
- In terms of WT

$$
NL_f = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{w \in F_2^n} |F(w)|
$$

C3. NL should be as high as possible

• Sarkar-Maita Bound (C2 & C3): $NL \le 2^{n-1} - 2^{m+1}$

Bent functions

- Bent functions are functions that
	- have flat WHT spectrum
	- achieve the maximum possible nonlinearity
- \bullet Let f be a bent function and G its associated graph. Then, G is strongly regular graph and has the additional property $e=$ d.
- · Different generalizations
	- Carlet Hyper-bent functions
	- Youssf and Gong Hyper-bent functions

Correlation Attack of Vectorial Stream Ciphers

$$
\Pr(b \cdot z = w \cdot x) = \Pr(b_1 z_1 \oplus ... \oplus b_m z_m = w_1 x_1 \oplus ... \oplus w_n x_n).
$$

• For correlation attack to succeed, we require $Bias = | Pr(b \cdot z = w \cdot x) - \frac{1}{2} |$ to be high where $z = f(x)$ is the output. i.e. probability is far away from $\frac{1}{2}$.

• Thus the *nonlinearity*:

$$
N_f = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{w \neq 0,b} \left| \sum_{x \in F_2^n} (-1)^{b \cdot f(x) \oplus w \cdot x} \right|
$$
 should be as high as possible

Unrestricted Nonlinearit y

• Since *z* is known, the attacker can consider

$$
Pr(g(z) = w_1 x_1 \oplus ... \oplus w_n x_n) = Pr(g(z) = w \cdot x).
$$

which is linear in x for any Boolean function $g(\cdot)$.

• For the attack to succeed, we require *Bias* = $Pr(g(z) = w \cdot x) - \frac{1}{2}$ to be high **•Thus, the** *unrestricted nonlinearity*

$$
UN_f = 2^{n-1} - \frac{1}{2} \max_{w \neq 0, g(\cdot)} \left| \sum_{x \in F_2^n} (-1)^{g(f(x)) \oplus w \cdot x} \right|
$$
 should be as high as possible

Algebraic Attacks

- Initial state $s = (s_0, s_1, \dots s_{n-1})$
- The output stream is given by

$$
o_0 = f(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}),
$$

\n
$$
o_1 = f(L(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1})),
$$

\n
$$
\vdots
$$

\n
$$
o_k = f(L^k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}))
$$

• Algebraic attacks try to efficiently recover *s* from the output sequence *O*

Algebraic Attacks

- \bullet In general, solving the system of multivariate equations is NP complete (even if all the equations are quadratic)
	- Linearization
	- Gröbner Basis
- If f has ANFD d, then $f(L^k(s_0, s_1, \dots, s_{n-1}))$ would roughly have $\binom{n}{n}$ monomials $f(L^k(s_0, s_1, \cdots, s_n))$ $\overline{}$ (n) $\left(\frac{d}{\theta}\right)$
- Using a simple Linearization approach, S can be recovered by solving a system with $\binom{n}{d}$ variables; complexity \ast ⎠ ⎞ ⎝ $\bigg($ *dn* 3 $\overline{}$ ⎠ ⎞ ⎝ $\approx \left(\frac{n}{d}\right)$ *n*

Linearization Exam ple

System of nonlinear equations:

 $x \oplus y \oplus xy = 1$ $y \oplus xy = 1$

New Variables: $M_1 := x$, $M_2 := y$ and $M_3 := xy$ New system of linear equations:

> $M_1 \oplus M_2 \oplus M_3 = 1$ $M_2 \oplus M_3 = 1$

Applying Gauss reveals:

 $M_1 = 0$ $M_2 \oplus M_3 = 1$

 \Rightarrow Two solutions:

$$
M_1 = 0
$$
, $M_2 = 0$, $M_3 = 1$
 $M_1 = 0$, $M_2 = 1$, $M_3 = 0$

 $0 = M_1 = x$ $0 = M_2 = y$ $1 = M_3 = xy$

Solution doesn't make sense!

 $0 = M_1 = x$ $1 = M_2 = y$ $0 = M_3 = xy$

Solution correct!

Algebraic Attacks

• If one can find a (non zero) function g of degree d_{g} $\mathord{\leq_{\mathrm{d}}}_\mathrm{f}$ such that

$$
g * f = 0
$$
 or $g * (1 + f) = 0$

then the number of unknowns can be reduced to $\mid_{d}\mid^{\leq}\mid_{d}\mid$

$$
\binom{n}{d_g} < \binom{n}{d_f}
$$

• eXtended Linearization (XL algorithm)

Algebraic Immunity

• AI(g) is the lowest degree of any non zero g such that

$$
g * f = 0
$$
 or $g * (1 + f) = 0$

- Some argues that it should be called annihilator immunity
- $AI(f) \leq \left\lceil \frac{n}{2} \right\rceil$
- For even n, AI is almost always $\approx \frac{n}{2}$ $\approx \frac{n}{2}$
- For odd n, AI is almost always $\approx \frac{n}{2}$ $\approx \frac{n-1}{2}$ *n*
- AI implies a lower bound on nonlinearity

$$
NL \ge 2\sum_{i=0}^{AI-2} \binom{n-1}{i}
$$

Complexity of finding AI

- Compute the annihilator space of degree \leq d
- Number of coefficients in $g_{k} = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{d}$ ⎠ ⎞ ⎝ $\big($ $| + \cdots +$ ⎠ ⎞ ⎝ $\big($ $\vert +$ ⎠ ⎞ ⎝ $=\binom{n}{0}+\binom{n}{1}+\cdots+\binom{n}{d}$ $k = \binom{n}{0} + \binom{n}{1} + \cdots + \binom{n}{d}$
- \bullet $\forall x$ such that $f(x) = 1 \rightarrow$ linear equation $g(x) = 0$
- Number of equations: $w_H(f)$
- Gaussian elimination requires $O(2^{2^n} k)$ *n*
- Meier, Pasalic and Carlet: $O(k^3)$
- State of the art (Armknecht et. al): $O(k^2)$

Examples for well known constructions

 \bullet Maiorana-McFarland's (MM) constructions (concatenation of affine functions)

> where $\phi: F_2^{n/2} \to F_2^{n/2}, g: F_2^{n/2} \to F_2$ $f(x, y) = x \cdot \phi(y) + g(y),$ n / 2
2 n / 2
2 $\phi: F_2^{n/2} \to F_2^{n/2}, g: F_2^{n/2} \to F_2$ \rightarrow ⁿ² \rightarrow $g: F_2^{n/2} \rightarrow$ f is bent iff ϕ is a permutation

• Similar constructions for resilient functions

$$
f(x, y) = x \cdot \phi(y) + g(y),
$$

where $n = r + s, \phi : F_2^{n/2} \rightarrow F_2^r, g : F_2^s \rightarrow F_2,$

$$
w(\phi(y)) > k \Rightarrow f \text{ is } m \ge k \text{ resilien with
$$

$$
2^{n-1} - 2^{r-1} A \le NL \le 2^{n-1} - 2^{r-1} \sqrt{A},
$$

$$
where A = \max_{a \in F_2^r} # \phi^{-1}(a)
$$

Other Algebraic constructions

• Power functions x^d over $GF(2^n)$

Heuristic optimization based constructions

- y Previous algebraic approaches may not always allow the system designer to achieve optimal constructions
- Exhaustive search is not an option for $n > 8$
- Cryptographically rich Boolean function classes
	- Limited search space but rich in cryptographically good functions
- · Spectral Inversion
	- \bullet Possible cost functions

$$
\sum_{s\in Z_n2^n}|\sum_{w\in Z_2^n}F(w)F(w\oplus s)|.
$$

Cryptographically rich classes

- y Symmetric functions (too restrictive) $f(x_1,...,x_n) = f(x_{\sigma(1)},...,x_{\sigma(n)})$ for all permutations σ
- Rotation symmetric functions

 $f(\rho^k(x_1,...,x_n)) = f(x_1,...,x_n)$ for all cyclic shifts ρ^k

- · Dihedral Symmetric Boolean
	- Functions invariant under the action of Dihedral group D_n
	- In addition to the cyclic shift, D_n includes a reflection operator $\tau_n(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = (x_n, \ldots, x_2, x_1)$

k

 $C_{BF} = 2^n$ $(k)2$ $C^{\,}_{\rm RSBF} = =\frac{1}{n}\sum_{k|n}\phi(k)$ = $\phi(k)2^{\frac{n}{k}}$

 $C_{SBF} = n+1$

Solving two open problems

- y Let *(n,m,d,nl)* denote
	- \bullet n-variable
	- m-resilient
	- ANF degree, d
	- Nonlinearity nl
- The existence of $(9,3,5,240)$ and $(10,2,7,488)$ has been an open problem.
- Using a heuristic search, we are able to construct several examples for such resilient functions.

Construction of a (9,3,5,240) function

- Consideration of the Search Space
	- BF search space is too large (2^{512})
	- RSBF space is moderate (2^{60}) but it was proved that no such RSBF function exists
	- Spectral inversion: $res(f) = m \Rightarrow |F(\omega)| = 0 \mod 2^{m+2}$ $=m \Rightarrow |F(\omega)| = 0 \mod 2^{m+1}$ $res(f)=m \Longrightarrow |F(\omega)|=0 \text{ mod } 2^m$
		- \bullet • The spectrum of any $(n, m, -.2^{n-1} - 2^{m+1})$ function is necessarily a three- $-2^{n-1} - 2^{m+1}$ The spectrum of any $(n,m,-,2^{n-1}-2^{m+1})$ function
valued function (Plateaued) $(0,\pm 2^{m+2})$, $m > \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right\rfloor$ $0, \pm 2^{m+2}$ $\binom{m+2}{2}$, $m > \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right\rfloor$ $m >$ \vert -
		- \bullet Direct spectral inversion

$$
|F(\omega)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } wt(\omega) \le 3, \\ 0 \text{ or } 32, & \text{if } wt(\omega) > 3 \end{cases}
$$

did not prove to be useful

(9 3 5 240) (9,3,5,240)

• Concatenation idea Let $f: \mathbf{F}_2^{n+2} \to \mathbf{F}_2$ and $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4: \mathbf{F}_2^n \to \mathbf{F}_2$. $f = [f_1 | f_2 | f_3 | f_4]$

From the Hadamard matrix
$$
H_0 = 1, H_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \oplus H_{n-1}, H_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}
$$

The Walsh transform $F(w)$ of f is given by

 $F = [F_1 + F_2 + F_3 + F_4 \mid F_1 - F_2 + F_3 - F_4 \mid F_1 + F_2 - F_3 - F_4 \mid F_1 - F_2 - F_3 + F_4]$

$(9,3,5,240)$

- It is possible to construct an $(n, m, n-m-1, 2^{n-1}-2^{m+1})$ function wh function where $m > \left\lfloor \frac{n}{2} - 2 \right\rfloor$ from the concatenation of four $(n-2, m, n-m-3,2^{n-3}-2^{m+1})$ functions with nonoverlapping Walsh coefficients, if such four functions exist.
- Thus, the search for $(9,3,5,240)$ functions is reduced to finding four (7,3,3,48) functions with nonoverlapping spectrum coefficients. This helps us in reducing the search space dramatically compared to the direct search for $(9,3,5,240)$ functions
- The algebraic degree of such functions is always maximum (n-m-1)
- Several examples were obtained using PSO optimization

Construction of a (10,2,7,488) function

- We can't specify the distribution of the Walsh spectrum for *f* .
- We only know that the Walsh spectrum of $(10;2;7;488)$ Boolean function satisfy the following constraints:

$$
|F(\omega)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } wt(\omega) \le 2, \\ 0,16,32 \text{ or } 48, & \text{if } wt(\omega) > 2 \end{cases}
$$

But we can't determine their distribution.

$(10, 2, 7, 488)$

- Direct construction is ineffective because of the superexponential increase in the search space which grows as $2^{2^n} = 2^{1024}$.
- Even if the search space is constrained to the set of RSBFs, the search space is still relatively large (2^{108}) .

$(10, 2, 7, 488)$ – Back to concatenation

• Our main observation is that the search space can be reduced dramatically by noting that a (10,2,7,488) function *f* may be constructed by concatenating 2 $_2$ and f_2 : Z_2^{n-1} $f_1: Z_2^{n-1} \to Z_2$ and $f_2: Z_2^{n-1} \to Z_2$ that satisfy the following constraints:

$$
|F_i(\omega)| = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } wt(\omega) \le 1, \\ \le 24, & \text{if } wt(\omega) = 2, \\ \le 48, & \text{if } wt(\omega) > 2 \end{cases}
$$
\n
$$
i = 1, 2
$$

$(10, 2, 7, 488)$ – our search procedure

• Obtain a 9-bit RSBF f_1 that satisfies the above constraints using the following cost function.

 $(f_1) = \sum F_1(\omega)$ $\left(a\right)$ $\cos t_1(f_1) = \sum_{\omega \mid wt(\omega) \le 1} F_1(\omega)^2 + \sum_{\substack{\omega \mid wt(\omega) = 2, \ F_1(\omega) \notin \{8, 16, 24\}}} F_1(\omega)^2 + \max_{F_1(\omega)} F_1(\omega) - 32 \Big|^2$ where $\omega \in Z_2^9$.

• Once f_1 is found, Obtain a 9-bit RSBF f_1 that minimizes the following cost function.

 $\bigl(f^{}_2 \bigr)$ = $\sum \bigl| F^{}_2 \bigl(\omega \bigr)$ $\left(a\right)$ $\cos t_2(f_2) = \sum_{\omega | wt(\omega) \leq 1} [F_2(\omega)]^2 + \sum_{\omega | wt(\omega) = 2,} [F_1(\omega) + F_2(\omega)]^2 + \max_{F_2(\omega)} [F_2(\omega) - 32]^2$ where $\omega \in Z_2^9$.

• Test if $f = [f_1 | f_2]$ is a function, if the search for f_2 under certain f_1 failed after certain number, go to step 1 and find another f_1 .

Conclusion and open problems

Algorithms

Primitives

- There is no such thing as a secure Boolean function.
	- There may be functions that are appropriate to be. used in particular contexts to give secure system. Protocols
- Almost every Boolean function paper has a list of open problems
	- Some are very specific
		- e.g., find $(8,0,7, 118)$
- More work is needed
	- at the interface between symmetric algorithms at the interface between symmetric
and Boolean function layers
	- constructions of Boolean functions with im plementation constraints