On the regularity of the infinity manifolds: the case of Sitnikov problem and some global aspects of the dynamics

Regina Martínez¹ & Carles Simó²

 $^{(1)}$ Dept. Matemàtiques, UAB

 $^{(2)}$ Dept. Matemàtica Aplicada i Anàlisi, UB

reginamb@mat.uab.cat, carles@maia.ub.es

Foundations of Computational Mathematics Visitor's Seminar

Fields Institute, Toronto

20091112

Preliminaries

One of the outstanding problems in Celestial Mechanics is the **detection and computation** of **capture and escape boundaries**.

They are related to the **existence of some invariant objects at in-finity** which have **invariant manifolds**.

But these invariant objects **are not hyperbolic**. They are only **parabolic** in the sense of Dynamical Systems.

It is well known that this fact was **partially analysed by Moser and McGehee**. The manifolds **exists** and they are **analytic except**, **perhaps**, **at infinity**. Related results are due to **C.Robinson**.

Standing question: Which is the **regularity class** of these manifolds? How can we **compute them** with rigorous error control, so that they can be used to obtain **capture and escape boundaries**?

I shall use the same problem analysed in the past. The well known **Sitnikov problem**.

Contents

- The problem
- Invariant manifolds at infinity
- Main result: the Gevrey character of the manifolds
- Sketch of the proof
- Effective expansions to high order and additional checks
- Optimal estimates
- Intersections with z = 0 and splitting
- Tending to the limit case e = 1
- Escape/capture boundaries
- Some further global dynamical properties
- Conclusions

The problem

To decide about **escape/capture** on a given problem of Celestial Mechanics.

Related questions: transversality of invariant manifolds, measure of the splitting, creation of chaotic zones, symbolic dynamics, non-integrability.

We consider one of the simplest models: **Sitnikov problem**

$$\ddot{z} = -\frac{z}{(z^2 + r(t)^2/4)^{3/2}}, \quad r(t) = 1 - e\cos(E), \quad t = E - e\sin(E).$$



The problem has 1 d.o.f. for e = 0 and, hence, it is **integrable Historical notes**: Chazy, Sitnikov, Alekseev, Moser, McGehee.

As a first order system

$$\dot{z} = v, \quad \dot{v} = z(z^2 + r(t)^2/4)^{-3/2}.$$

A new time, E, ' = d/dE and Hamiltonian formulation:

$$H(z,\theta,v,J) = (1 - e\cos(\theta)) \left[\frac{1}{2}v^2 - (z^2 + (1 - e\cos(\theta))^2/4)^{-1/2}\right] - J.$$

A suitable **Poincaré section** S: polar coordinates (|v|, t) when z = 0. **Better (APM)** use $(|v|(1-e\cos(E))^{1/2}, E)$ instead of (|v|, t).

Poincaré map: $(|v|_k, E_k) \to (|v|_{k+1}, E_{k+1}).$

Symmetries: $S_1 : (z, v, t) \leftrightarrow (z, -v, -t), \quad S_2 : (z, v, t) \leftrightarrow (-z, v, -t),$ $S_3 : (z, v, t) \leftrightarrow (-z, -v, t).$

If the infinitesimal mass **escapes to infinity**, the massive bodies move in \mathbb{S}^1 (eventually, after regularisation of binary collisions using Levi-Civita variables). One talks of a **periodic orbit at infinity**.

Invariant manifolds at infinity

Theorem (Moser): The problem has periodic orbits at both z plus and minus infinity, with invariant manifolds (orbits going to or coming from infinity parabolically). For e small enough the manifolds intersect S in curves diffeomorphic to circles. These curves have transversal intersection, implying the existence of heteroclinic orbits from $+\infty$ to $-\infty$ and vice versa.

Consequences: Non-integrability, embedding of the shift with infinitely many symbols, existence of oscillatory solutions, escape/capture domains, etc.

The p.o. at ∞ is **parabolic** or, topologically, **weakly hyperbolic**. The linearised map around the p.o. is **the identity**.

McGehee variables: $z = 2/q^2$, $\dot{z} = -p$ and eccentric anomaly

$$q' = \Psi q^3 p, \quad p' = \Psi q^4 \left(1 + \Psi^2 q^4\right)^{-3/2}$$

where $\Psi = \frac{1 - e \cos(E)}{4}$ and ' = d/dE.

If e = 0 the invariant manifolds are given as $p = \pm q(1 + q^4/16)^{-1/4}$.

Let us denote as $W_{\pm}^{u,s}$ the intersections of unstable/stable manifolds of $\pm \infty$ with \mathcal{S} . Due to S_3, W_{\pm}^u coincide and also W_{\pm}^s coincide, but W_{\pm}^s, W_{\pm}^u have v > 0, while W_{\pm}^s, W_{\pm}^u have v < 0.

Due to S_1 , W^u_+ and W^s_- are symmetric with respect to E = 0.

We look for a **parametric representation** of the manifolds of the p.o. as

$$p(E, e, q) = \sum_{k \ge 1} b_k(e, E) q^k = \sum_{k \ge 1} \sum_{j \ge 0} \sum_{i \ge 0} c_{i,j,k} e^i \operatorname{sc}(jE) q^k,$$

where $b_k(e, E)$ are trigonometric polynomials in E with polynomial coefficients in $e, c_{i,j,k}$ are rational coefficients, sc denotes sin or cos functions

McGehee proved: The invariant manifolds are analytic except, perhaps, at q = 0.

It can be reduced to obtain invariant manifolds of **fixed parabolic points** of discrete maps (thing about the intersection of the manifolds with E = 0).

In this context Baldomà-Haro proved: **Generically, 1D invariant manifolds of fixed parabolic points are of some Gevrey class**

Recall: a formal power series $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n \xi^n$ is of Gevrey class *s* if $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n (n!)^{-s} \xi^n$ is analytic around the origin.

Problem: To decide about the **regularity class** of p(E, e, q) and to obtain **an explicit representation**.

Solution: First we look for a representation, asking for **invariance**:

$$\Psi q^4 \left(1 + \Psi^2 q^4 \right)^{-3/2} = \sum_{k \ge 1} \frac{db_k}{dE} (e, E) q^k + \sum_{k \ge 1} b_k (e, E) \Psi k q^{k+2} \sum_{m \ge 1} b_m (e, E) q^m.$$

Recurrence:

$$\binom{-3/2}{m} \left(\frac{1 - e\cos(E)}{4} \right)^{2m+1} = b'_n(e, E) + \frac{1 - e\cos E}{4} \sum_{k=1}^{n-3} k b_k(e, E) b_{n-2-k}(e, E),$$

where m = n/4 - 1, defined only for n multiple of 4.

Solving the recurrence: For W_{+}^{u} be have $b_{1} = 1$. Then we start to compute iteratively. But $b'_{n}(e, E) = \mathbf{known function}$ allows to compute **only the periodic part** \tilde{b}_{n} of $b_{n} = \tilde{b}_{n} + \bar{b}_{n}$. The **constant part** \bar{b}_{n} is computed previous to the solution of $b'_{n+3}(e, E) = \mathbf{known function}$, to have a zero average function when we integrate.

An easy induction gives the following result on the format of the solution:

Lemma The coefficients $b_k(e, E)$ satisfy

- For k odd (resp. even) b_k is even (resp. odd) in E. It contains harmonics from 0 to (k-1)/2, all of them cosinus (resp. from 1 to (k-2)/2, all of them sinus).
- The coefficients of the j-th harmonic in b_k contain, at most, powers of e with exponents from j to (k-1)/2 for k odd (resp. from j to (k-2)/2 for k even). The step in the exponents of e is 2.

1	0	0	1	1	12	1	5	75	2^{15}	15	4	4	3627	2^{22}
5	0	0	-1	2^{6}	12	3	5	-25	2^{17}	15	1	5	2301	2^{19}
5	0	2	-3	2^7	12	5	5	-3	2^{17}	15	3	5	-11791	$2^{21} * 3$
8	1	1	3	2^{6}	13	0	0	-15	2^{19}	15	5	5	-1131	$2^{21} * 5$
8	2	2	-9	2^{9}	13	0	2	-351	2^{20}	15	0	6	2291	2^{20}
8	1	3	-9	2^{9}	13	0	4	-213	2^{19}	15	2	6	-4199	2^{21}
8	3	3	1	2^{9}	13	0	6	-121	2^{21}	15	4	6	221	2^{21}
9	0	0	5	2^{13}	14	1	1	-81	2^{8}	15	6	6	13	2^{21}
9	0	2	27	2^{13}	14	2	2	1215	2^{13}	16	1	1	105	2^{17}
9	0	4	9	2^{13}	14	1	3	1215	2^{13}	16	2	2	-735	2^{20}
11	1	1	27	2^{8}	14	3	3	-255	2^{13}	16	1	3	2205	2^{20}
11	0	2	27	2^{9}	14	2	4	-45	2^{11}	16	3	3	1225	$2^{20} * 3$
11	2	2	-189	2^{12}	14	4	4	225	2^{16}	16	2	4	-1225	2^{20}
11	1	3	-81	2^{12}	14	1	5	-135	2^{13}	16	4	4	-1225	2^{23}
11	3	3	33	2^{12}	14	3	5	135	2^{15}	16	3	5	1225	2^{22}
11	0	4	-81	2^{13}	14	5	5	-27	$2^{15} * 5$	16	5	5	147	2^{22}
11	2	4	9	2^{10}	15	1	1	-429	2^{14}	16	2	6	-3675	2^{24}
11	4	4	-9	2^{14}	15	0	2	-447	2^{15}	16	4	6	-735	2^{24}
12	1	1	-15	2^{11}	15	2	2	3783	2^{18}	16	6	6	-245	$2^{24} * 3$
12	2	2	75	2^{14}	15	1	3	-6669	2^{18}	16	1	7	-3675	2^{24}
12	1	3	-75	2^{14}	15	3	3	-1131	2^{18}	16	3	7	245	2^{24}
12	3	3	-25	2^{14}	15	0	4	-13203	2^{20}	16	5	7	49	2^{24}
12	2	4	75	2^{15}	15	2	4	5265	2^{19}	16	7	7	5	2^{24}
12	4	4	75	2^{18}						-				

First $c_{i,j,k} = a/b$. Columns: k, j, i, a, b $(q^k \operatorname{sc}(jE)e^i)$.

To have some insight, we look to the behaviour of the coefficients obtained numerically for larger n.





One observes that the behaviour of a_k depends on the value of $k \mod 6$. On the right plot, from top to bottom, the values of $k \mod 6$ are 5,2,3,0,1,4, respectively. A suitable fit helps to display results in a nice way.

It is essential to remark: $b_2 = b_3 = b_4 = 0$. Also $b_6 = b_7 = b_{10} = 0$, but this is not so relevant.

Main result: the Gevrey character of the manifolds

Guided by the behaviour **suggested** by the numerical results, we can scale properly the recurrence and introduce $B_n(e, E)$

 $b_n(e, E) = \Gamma((n+1)/3)\rho^n B_n(e, E)$

where $\rho = (3/4)^{1/3}$. This allows to obtain

Theorem: The manifolds $W_{\pm}^{u,s}$ are **exactly Gevrey-1/3** in q uniformly for $E \in \mathbb{S}^1$, $e \in (0, 1]$. Concretely, let a_n denote the norm of b_n . Then there exist constants $c_1, c_2, 0 < c_1 < c_2$ such that, for $n \ge 5$ except for n = 6, 7, 10 one has

 $\mathbf{c_1}\boldsymbol{\rho^n} < \mathbf{a_n}/\Gamma((\mathbf{n+1})/\mathbf{3}) < \mathbf{c_2}\boldsymbol{\rho^n}.$

Furthermore the coefficient $B_{1,1,n}$ of $e\sin(E)$ in B_n satisfies $0 < C_1 < |B_{1,1,n}| < C_2$ for some constants C_1, C_2 and $n \ge 8$, except for n = 9, 10, 13.

Sketch of the proof

Steps:

- Rewrite the recurrence in terms of B_n ,
- Note that with this scaling, and dividing by a suitable Γ the term on the left becomes negligible,
- Note that with this scaling, the **terms coming from** b_1b_{n-3} **are** $\mathcal{O}(1)$ and the effect of **all the other terms in the sum is** $\mathcal{O}(n^{-4/3})$,
- The essential part reduces to

$$\mathbf{B_n'} = -(\mathbf{1} - \mathbf{e}\cos(\mathbf{E}))\mathbf{B_{n-3}} + \mathcal{O}(\mathbf{n^{-4/3}}),$$

where the $\mathcal{O}(n^{-4/3})$ is bounded by some $An^{-4/3}, A > 0$, indep. of n.

- This gives the **purely periodic part** \tilde{B}_n of B_n . The **average part** \tilde{B}_n is obtained by requiring that $(1 e \cos(E))B_n$ has zero average.
- The **operator** $T \ B_{n-3} \to B_n$, neglecting the $\mathcal{O}(n^{-4/3})$ and going from 2π -periodic to 2π -periodic satisfies: T^4 has 2 eigenvectors with **eigenvalue 1**. All other eigenvalues have $|\mu| < 1$.

Effective expansions to high order and additional checks

Furthermore let $A_n = \sum_{i,j} |C_{i,j,n}|$ be the norm of $B_n = \sum_{i,j} C_{i,j,n} e^i \operatorname{sc} \cos(jE)$. Then

$$lim_{n=6m+k,m\rightarrow\infty}A_n=L_k,\quad k=0,\ldots,5$$

and

$$A_{n=6m+k} = L_k + \delta_{k,1}n^{-1/3} + \delta_{k,2}n^{-2/3} + \dots$$



Left: $\log(A_n)$ as a function of n. Right: $A_n - L_5$ for n = 6m + 5, $L_5 \approx 0.139278497$

Asymptotic character of the formal series

The **formal series** introduced is **not convergent**, but can be **useful** to compute p for given q, e, E if we know its **asymptotic character**. The main result in this direction is the following

Theorem: The formal expansion gives an **asymptotic representation** of the invariant manifolds of $p.o._{\infty}$. Concretely, the **truncation of the series at order** n has an error which is bounded by the sum of the norms of next three terms

$$C(a_{n+1}q^{n+1} + a_{n+2}q^{n+2} + a_{n+3}q^{n+3}),$$

where C is a constant which can be taken close to 1.

Optimal estimates

Given q the optimal order is $n_{opt} \approx 4/q^3$. Using optimal order the error bound is $\langle N \exp(-4/(3q^3)), N \langle 1 \rangle$. Large q allows to start numerical integration at small z: $z = 2/q^2 = 18$ if q = 1/3.



 $\log_{10} a_n q^n$ as a function of n for q = 1/3.

Intersections with z = 0 and splitting



Manifolds of z = 0 for e = 0.1, 0.5, 0.9, 0.999.



Manifolds with $e = 1 - 10^{-k}$, k = 3, ..., 8 with vertical variable divided by $\delta = \sqrt{1 - e}$. Right: Right (left) angle of splitting as a function of e(-e). For $e \to 1$ the right splitting behaves as $2 \arctan(c/\delta)$.

Tending to the limit case e = 1

When $e \to 1$ we observe two interesting facts:

- Close to E = 0 and scaling the vertical variable by $\delta = \sqrt{1-e}$ the manifolds are essentially independent of e. They have **the same shape**.
- In the limit the manifolds have a radial jump from $E \rightarrow 0_-$ to $E \rightarrow 0_+$. This has a simple mechanical explanation and is related to the approach to triple collision. Can also be explained using the limit case of mass ratio tending to zero in the isosceles problem, by analysing the invariant manifolds of the central configurations in the triple collision manifold.

The first part is analysed by introducing $E = \delta s, z = \delta^2 u, v = w/\delta$, writing the equations in the new variables, using a (large) compact set for u, s. When $\delta \to 0$ there exists a limit equation.

The second part is analysed using the RTBP with the **primaries in collinear parabolic orbits**. The relevant parameter is the **time** t_0 **of passage through** z = 0 **assuming the primaries collide at t=0**. If $t_0 > 0$ or $t_0 < 0$, suitable scalings give **two different limit problems**.

Escape/capture boundaries



Left: Plot of the corrections for p with respect to the case e = 0for $e=0.1,0.2,\ldots,1.0$ for q = 1/3 as a function of E. This is useful for early detection of escape/capture.

Middle: Maximal and minimal values of the corrections for the full range $E \in [0, 2\pi]$ as a function of e.

Right: The same values scaled by e. Note that a linear behaviour with respect to e (as would be the case using expansion in powers of e) is only approximated for $e \ll 1$.

Some further global dynamical properties Stability of the trivial solution

At z = 0 we have $d\xi/dE = (1 - e\cos(E))\eta$, $d\eta/dE = -8\xi/(1 - e\cos(E))^2$ a Hill equation of Ince's type. More general cases studied in Martínez-Samà-S for general homogeneous potentials and fully 3D.



Left: Tr vs $-\log(1-e)$. Right: a detail of open gaps below Tr + 2 = 0. **Proposition**. All gaps at Tr = 2 are closed. All gaps at Tr = -2 are open. Exists a limit behaviour. This implies infinitely many bifurcations of periodic orbits. The rotation number R at the fixed point (average angle turned by E under one Poincaré iteration) decreases for e increasing. For fixed e it increases with radius. At $e = 0, R = 1/\sqrt{8}$. It is of the form 1/m, m odd if Tr=2, 2/m, m odd if Tr=-2.



Invariant curves, away from the origin (left) and very close to the origin (right) for e = 0.85586255 with Tr ≈ -2 and R = 2/7.

The **flower-like** pattern appears with 7, 9, 11, ... petals every time $Tr \approx -2$.

A global view on the dynamics

Computation of rotation number, detection of islands, escape, outermost invariant rotational curve, ... starting on $E = \pi$, 1000 values of e, 20000 values of $v \in [0, 2)$. Statistics: in islands 4.6%; in rotational invariant curves 48.9%; in confined chaotic zones 0.2%; escape 46.3%



Variables: $(e, v(1 + e)^{1/2})$. Red: points in islands (some islands are identified).Blue: outermost invariant curve. White below blue: rotational invariant curves. White on top of blue: escape.



Left: Same as previous plot, but for $e \in [0.999, 1)$. Middle: Location of **outermost invariant curve** modified by adding a suitable function of e, to **enhance jumps**. Right: **p.o.** of rotation number R = 2/1, showing initial data (red) and Tr (blue).

On next page: **Poincaré maps** for e = 0.032, 0.540, 0.790, 0.910, close to **breakdown of rotational i.c.** outside islands of periods 1, 3, 4, 5, respectively.



Conclusions

We can summarize what we have obtained and possible future work.

- It is feasible to compute $W^{u,s}_{\pm}$ at high order, enough to have accurate escape/capture boundaries.
- It is feasible to **prove the Gevrey character of the series**.
- It is feasible to obtain **rigorous and useful error estimates and optimal order**
- The global dynamics of Sitnikov problem can be considered as fully understood for all *e*, in a reasonable way.
- It confirms the relation between Gevrey functions, asymptotic expansions, exponentially small phenomena, etc.
- The method opens the way to other more relevant problems, like 2DCR3BP, 3DCR3BP, 3DER3BP, general 3BP, etc.
- The approach can allow to produce sharp estimates on celebrated theorems by Takens (interpolation thm) and Neishtadt (averaging thm) when a close to the identity map is approximated by a flow.

Additional notes

I would like to present some elementary asymptotic considerations. Assume some phenomenon is measured by a function φ depending on the **small parameter** ε and can be represented by an **asymptotic expansion**

$$\varphi(\varepsilon) \sim \sum_{m \ge 0} a_m \varepsilon^m$$
, with $\left| \sum_{m \le n} a_m \varepsilon^m - \varphi(\varepsilon) \right| < |a_{n+1}| \varepsilon^{n+1}$.

If we assume $|a_n|$ monotonically increasing, the **best bound** for the error is obtained for $|a_{n+1}|\varepsilon^{n+1}$ minimum. Let $b(\varepsilon)$ be the bound.

Some **examples**:

For a_n = (n!)^γ (Gevrey classes) we obtain n ≃ ε^{-1/γ} and b(ε) ≃ (2π)^{γ/2}ε^{-1/2} exp(-γε^{-1/γ}), a typical **exponentially small** behaviour.
If a_n = (n^β!)^γ, β > 1, then n satisfies the equation γβ²n^{β-1} log(n) ≃ |log(ε)| for ε→0 and b(ε) ≃ exp(K|log(ε)|^{β/(β-1)}/log(|log(ε)|)^{1/(β-1)}), where K = -(1 - β⁻¹)((β - 1)/γβ²)^{1/(β-1)}, for ε→0.





All of them \mathcal{C}^{∞} flat functions but the behaviour is quite different.

The Hénon map near the 4:1 resonance

$$H_c: \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} c(1-x^2)+2x+y \\ -x \end{pmatrix}$$

A 4:1 resonance appears for c = 1. We look at c = 1.015.



The dynamics of H_c^4 can be interpolated by the flow of a Hamiltonian

$$\mathcal{H}(x, y, \delta), \qquad \delta = (c-1)^{1/4},$$

which shows a **Gevrey character**.