UNSTABLE VOLATILITY: THE BREAK PRESERVING LOCAL LINEAR ESTIMATOR

Isabel Casas – CREATES, Aarhus University joint work with Irene Gijbels – K.U. Leuven

6th Bachelier Finance Society World Congress Toronto, 2010

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ◆ ●

Motivation

What is our work about?

- Aim?: estimation of discontinuous volatility functions.
- Discontinuities?: abrupt structural changes.
- Method?: nonparametric kernel estimation.
- Contribution?: Break preserving local linear.



Summary

Model

$$Y_i = m(X_i) + \sigma(X_i)\epsilon_i \quad \epsilon \sim i.i.d(0,1)$$

- Fixed design or random design.
- $E(\epsilon|X)=0,\; E(\epsilon^2|X)=1$ and $E(\epsilon^4|X)<\infty$
- E(Y|X = x) = m(x)

•
$$E((Y - m(X))^2 | X = x) = \sigma^2(x)$$



Previous work: drift estimator



Summary

Drift estimation

 $Y_i = m(X_i) + 0.4\epsilon_i$ with $\epsilon \sim IID(0,1)$

Given a point x in the continuous part, estimator of m(x)?



Drift estimation: centred estimator

The centred estimator, $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{x})$, is obtained as a regression using the points in a neighbourhood of x, Fan and Gijbels (1997).





∃ 900

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Drift estimation: centred estimator



Drift estimation: What happens at discontinuities?



We expect the centred estimator to fall in the middle of the jump.

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

Drift estimation: What happens at discontinuities?

The asymmetric estimator: find two estimators, left and right, and choose appropriately, Qiu (2003).





Drift estimation: What happens at discontinuities?

We have three estimator, which is the best choice?



AARHUS UNIVERSITET

<ロト < 同ト < 日ト < 日ト < 三ト = 三日

Contribution: volatility estimator



$$Y_i = m(X_i) + \sigma(X_i)\epsilon_i \quad \epsilon \sim i.i.d(0,1)$$

Define $\hat{r}_i = (Y_i - \hat{m}(X_i))$. Then, $E(\hat{r}^2 | X = x) = \hat{\sigma}^2(x)$. Fan and Yao (1998):

"While the bias of \hat{m} itself is of order $O(h_1^2),$ its contribution to $\hat{\sigma}^2(\cdot)$ is only of $o(h_1^2)$.

So, we expect to get a good estimate of the volatility even if the drift function is unknown.

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

Do you think that the centred estimator (Fan and Yao, 1998) is a good choice to estimate a discontinuous volatility function?



Do you think that the centred estimator (Fan and Yao, 1998) is a good choice to estimate a discontinuous volatility function?

- No, because it is not consistent at discontinuities.
- Solution: the break preserving local linear (BPLL) estimator.



Estimation of a discontinuous volatility

$$\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x) = \hat{a}_{0,k}(x) \quad \text{ and } \quad \hat{\dot{\sigma}}_k^2 = \hat{a}_{1,k}$$

$$(\hat{a}_{0,k}(x), \hat{a}_{1,k}(x)) = \min_{(a_0,a_1)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left\{ \hat{r}_i^2 - \mathbf{a}_{0,k} - a_{1,k}(X_i - x) \right\}^2 K_k\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_2}\right)$$



The expression of the three volatility estimators:

$$\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{r}_i^2 K_k\left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_2}\right) \frac{s_{k,2} - s_{k,1}(X_i - x)}{s_{k,0}s_{k,2} - s_{k,1}^2} \quad k = c, l, r$$

where

.

$$s_{k,j} = \sum (X_i - x)^j K_k \left(\frac{X_i - x}{h_2}\right)$$

- Easy to compute.
- No numerical minimisation.



How well are the estimators fitted to the data set? Weighted Residuals Mean Square.

$$WRMS_{k}(x) = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left\{ \hat{r}_{i}^{2} - \hat{\mathbf{a}}_{0,c} - \hat{a}_{1,c}(X_{i} - x) \right\}^{2} K_{k}\left(\frac{X_{i} - x}{h_{2}}\right)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} K_{k}\left(\frac{X_{i} - x}{h_{2}}\right)}$$



Break preserving local linear

The break preserving local linear estimator:

$$\hat{\sigma}_{BPLL}^2(x) = \begin{cases} \begin{array}{ll} \hat{\sigma}_c^2(x) & \operatorname{diff}(x) < u \\ \\ \hat{\sigma}_l^2(x) & \operatorname{diff}(x) \ge u \text{ and } WRMS_l(x) < WRMS_r(x) \\ \\ \hat{\sigma}_r^2(x) & \operatorname{diff}(x) \ge u \text{ and } WRMS_l(x) > WRMS_r(x) \\ \\ \\ \frac{\hat{\sigma}_l^2(x) + \hat{\sigma}_r^2(x)}{2} & \operatorname{diff}(x) \ge u \text{ and } WRMS_l(x) = WRMS_r(x) \end{cases}$$

where diff $(x) = \max(WRMS_c(x) - WRMS_l(x), WRMS_c(x) - WRMS_r(x))$, and $0 \le u \le Q$ for all x and Q a constant.



Let [a, b] be the support of X and $\{x_q\}$ for q = 1, ..., m be the finite set of points where the volatility function is discontinuous. Then, two regions can be differentiated:

• D_1 is the region where the volatility function is continuous,

$$D_1 = \left[a + \frac{h_2}{2}, b - \frac{h_2}{2}\right] \setminus D_2$$

• D₂ contains the points of discontinuity and their neighbourhoods:

$$D_2 = \bigcup_{q=1}^{m} \left[x_q - \frac{h_2}{2}, x_q + \frac{h_2}{2} \right]$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Under certain regularity conditions : For $x \in D_1$,

 $WRMS_k(x) = \sigma^4(x)(E(\epsilon^4|X) - 1) + R_{k,1}(x)$



Under certain regularity conditions : For $x \in D_1$,

$$WRMS_k(x) = \sigma^4(x)(E(\epsilon^4|X) - 1) + R_{k,1}(x)$$

For $x\in D_2$ such that $x=x_q+\tau h_2$ with $\tau\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and a jump of magnitude d_{r}

$$WRMS_{l}(x) = \sigma^{4}(x)(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1) + \frac{d^{2}C_{l,\tau}^{2}}{dt} + R_{l,2}(x)$$

$$WRMS_r(x) = \sigma^4(x)(E(\epsilon^4|X) - 1) + R_{r,2}(x)$$

$$WRMS_{c}(x) = \sigma^{4}(x)(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1) + \frac{d^{2}C_{c,\tau}^{2}}{R_{c,2}(x)} + R_{c,2}(x)$$



Under certain regularity conditions : For $x \in D_1$,

 $WRMS_k(x) = \sigma^4(x)(E(\epsilon^4|X) - 1) + R_{k,1}(x)$

For $x \in D_2$ such that $x = x_q + \tau h_2$ with $\tau \in [-\frac{1}{2}, 0]$ and a jump of magnitude d,

$$WRMS_{l}(x) = \sigma^{4}(x)(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1) + R_{l,3}(x)$$

$$WRMS_{r}(x) = \sigma^{4}(x)(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1) + \frac{d^{2}C_{r,\tau}^{2}}{c_{\tau}} + R_{r,3}(x)$$

$$WRMS_{c}(x) = \sigma^{4}(x)(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1) + \frac{d^{2}C_{c,\tau}^{2}}{c_{\tau}} + R_{c,3}(x)$$



MSE (continuous points)

Under certain regularity conditions and with

$$\mu_{k,j} = \int u^j K_k(u) du \text{ and } V_k = \int K_k^2(u) \left[\frac{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1} u}{\mu_{k,0} \mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}^2} \right]^2 du:$$

For
$$x \in D_1$$
 (Continuous points),
Bias $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \frac{h_2^2 \hat{\sigma}^2(x)}{2} \frac{\mu_{k,2}^2 - \mu_{k,1} \mu_{k,3}}{\mu_{k,2} \mu_{k,0} - \mu_{k,1}^2} + o_p(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \frac{1}{nh_2})$
Variance $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \frac{(E(\epsilon^4 | X) - 1)\sigma^4(x)}{nh_2 f_X(x)} V_k + o_p\left(\frac{1}{nh_2}\right)$

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \mathsf{Bias}^2 + \mathsf{Variance}$$

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ★臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

MSE (continuous points)

Under certain regularity conditions and with

$$\mu_{k,j} = \int u^j K_k(u) du \text{ and } V_k = \int K_k^2(u) \left[\frac{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1} u}{\mu_{k,0} \mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}^2} \right]^2 du:$$

For
$$x \in D_1$$
 (Continuous points),
Bias $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \frac{h_2^2 \hat{\sigma}^2(x)}{2} \frac{\mu_{k,2}^2 - \mu_{k,1} \mu_{k,3}}{\mu_{k,2} \mu_{k,0} - \mu_{k,1}^2} + o_p(h_1^2 + h_2^2 + \frac{1}{nh_2})$
Variance $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \frac{(E(\epsilon^4 | X) - 1)\sigma^4(x)}{nh_2 f_X(x)} V_k + o_p\left(\frac{1}{nh_2}\right)$

If $h_1,h_2\rightarrow 0,\ n\rightarrow\infty$ and $nh_2\rightarrow\infty$

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \mathsf{Bias}^2 + \mathsf{Variance}$$

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ □臣 = のへで

MSE (continuous points)

Under certain regularity conditions and with

$$\mu_{k,j} = \int u^j K_k(u) du \text{ and } V_k = \int K_k^2(u) \left[\frac{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1} u}{\mu_{k,0} \mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}^2} \right]^2 du:$$

For $x \in D_1$ (Continuous points), Bias $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \overset{(E(\epsilon^4|X)-1)\sigma^4(x)}{nh_2 f_X(x)} V_k + o_p\left(\frac{1}{nh_2}\right)$

If $h_1,h_2\rightarrow 0,\;n\rightarrow\infty$ and $nh_2\rightarrow\infty$

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \mathsf{Bias}^2 + \mathsf{Variance}$$

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ | 目| のへで

MSE (continuous points)

Under certain regularity conditions and with

$$\mu_{k,j} = \int u^j K_k(u) du \text{ and } V_k = \int K_k^2(u) \left[\frac{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1} u}{\mu_{k,0} \mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}^2} \right]^2 du:$$

For $x \in D_1$ (Continuous points), Bias $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) =$ Variance $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) =$

If $h_1,h_2\rightarrow 0,~n\rightarrow\infty~\text{and}~nh_2\rightarrow\infty$

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) = \mathsf{Bias}^2 + \mathsf{Variance}$$



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ | 目| のへで

MSE (continuous points)

Under certain regularity conditions and with

$$\mu_{k,j} = \int u^j K_k(u) du \text{ and } V_k = \int K_k^2(u) \left[\frac{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1} u}{\mu_{k,0} \mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}^2} \right]^2 du:$$

For $x \in D_1$ (Continuous points), Bias $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) =$ Variance $(\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)) =$

If $h_1,h_2\rightarrow 0,~n\rightarrow\infty~\text{and}~nh_2\rightarrow\infty$





◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ◆ ●

MSE (right side of discontinuity)

For $x\in D_2$ such that $x=x_q+\tau h_2$ with $\tau\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and a jump of magnitude d_{r}

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{l}^{2}(x)) &= \quad \left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \frac{(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1)\sigma^{4}(x)}{nh_{2}f_{X}(x)} V_{l} + o_{p}(1) \\ \mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{c}^{2}(x)) &= \quad \left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{c}(u) du \right]^{2} + \frac{(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1)\sigma^{4}(x)}{nh_{2}f_{X}(x)} V_{c} + o_{p}(1) \end{split}$$



MSE (right side of discontinuity)

For $x\in D_2$ such that $x=x_q+\tau h_2$ with $\tau\in[0,\frac{1}{2}]$ and a jump of magnitude d_{r}

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{l}^{2}(x)) &= \quad \left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \frac{(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1)\sigma^{4}(x)}{nh_{2}f_{X}(x)} V_{l} + o_{p}(1) \\ \mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{c}^{2}(x)) &= \quad \left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{c}(u) du \right]^{2} + \frac{(E(\epsilon^{4}|X) - 1)\sigma^{4}(x)}{nh_{2}f_{X}(x)} V_{c} + o_{p}(1) \end{split}$$

If $h_1,h_2\rightarrow 0\text{, }n\rightarrow\infty$ and $nh_2\rightarrow\infty$



MSE (right side of discontinuity)

For $x \in D_2$ such that $x = x_q + \tau h_2$ with $\tau \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$ and a jump of magnitude d,

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_{l}^{2}(x)) = \quad \left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,0} \mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,1}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}(u) \frac{\mu_{l,2} u}{\mu_{l,2} - \mu_{l,2}^{2}} du \right]^{2} + \underbrace{\left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_{l}($$

$$\mathsf{MSE}(\hat{\sigma}_c^2(x)) = \quad \left[d \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\tau} K_c(u) du \right]^2 + \overset{\mathsf{MSE}}{\longrightarrow}$$

If $\mathbf{h_1}, \mathbf{h_2} \to \mathbf{0}, \ \mathbf{n} \to \infty \ \text{and} \ \mathbf{n} h_2 \to \infty$



Consistency

- At points of continuity: all the estimators are consistent.
- At the right of the discontinuity: only the right estimator is consistent.
- At the left of the discontinuity: only the left estimator is consistent.
- The BPLL is consistent everywhere.



Summary

CLT

Theorem

If $h_1, h_2 \rightarrow 0$, $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $nh_1, nh_2 \rightarrow \infty$ and under certain regularity conditions, $\sqrt{nh_2}(\sigma^2(x) - \hat{\sigma}^2_{BPLL}(x) - \beta_n(x))$ is asymptotically normal with mean 0 and variance

$$\frac{(E(\epsilon^4|X) - 1)\sigma^4(x)}{nh_2 f_X(x)} \int K_k^2(u) \left[\frac{\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}u}{\mu_{k,0}\mu_{k,2} - \mu_{k,1}^2}\right]^2 du + o_p\left(\frac{1}{nh_2}\right),$$

and bias

$$\beta_n = \frac{h_2^2 \ddot{\sigma}^2(x)}{2} \frac{\mu_{k,2}^2 - \mu_{k,1} \mu_{k,3}}{\mu_{k,2} \mu_{k,0} - \mu_{k,1}^2}$$

for k = c, l, r as appropriate.



Summary

Bandwidth selection

Alternative to the plug-in bandwidth estimator:

The leave-one-out cross validation:

$$(h_2^{cv}, u_{cv}) = \arg\min_h \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\hat{r}_i^2 - \hat{\sigma}_{-i}^2 \right]^2$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{-i}^2$ is calculated without using the pair (X_i, \hat{r}_i^2) .

The leave a b-block-out cross validation for dependent data (Patton, Politis and White (2009) shows how to find the size of the block).

$$(h_{2}^{b}, u_{b}) = \arg\min_{h} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left[\hat{r}_{i}^{2} - \hat{\sigma}_{-b_{i}}^{2} \right]^{2}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{-b_i}^2$ is calculated without using the 2b + 1 pairs \bigwedge $(X_{i-b}, \hat{r}_{i-b}^2), \ldots, (X_i, \hat{r}_i^2), \ldots, (X_{i+b}, \hat{r}_{i+b}^2).$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

Ensuring positivity

The LL estimator, and therefore the BPLL estimator, is sometime negative for finite samples. Solutions:

- Discard negative values.
- The re-weighted Nadaraya-Watson estimator (see Hall *et al.*, 1999; Cai, 2002; and Phillips and Xu, 2007). It cannot be extended to estimate discontinuous volatility functions.
- The exponential local linear (ELL) (see Ziegelmann, 2002). Computationally heavy and theoretically obscure.
- Substitute any negative values of $\hat{\sigma}_k^2(x)$ by $\hat{\sigma}_{k,ELL}^2(x)$ for k=c,l,r.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ = ● ● ●

Experiment 1: iid variables

$$Y_i = m(X_i) + \sigma(X_i)\epsilon_i$$

- $\epsilon \sim IIDN(0,1)$.
- $X_i = IIDU(-2,2)$, random design.
- x are T = 250 equidistant values in [-1.8,1.8].
- n = 500, 1000, 2000, number of simulations N=200.
- ϵ_i and X_i are independent.
- Leave-one-out cross validation.
- $\sigma(x)$ has two discontinuities at x = -1, 1 Plot.
- Four scenarios depending on m(x):
 - Scenario I: $m \equiv 0$
 - Scenarios II, III, IV: ▶ Plot.

Comparison LL vs. BPLL (MISE)

Method	LL		BPLL					
	MISE	\widehat{MISE}_q	MISE	\widehat{MISE}_q				
n = 500								
Scenario I	0.0089	0.0060	0.0098	0.0039				
Scenario II	0.0098	0.0062	0.0120	0.0039				
Scenario III	0.0093	0.0061	0.0109	0.0040				
Scenario IV	0.0107	0.0067	0.0123	0.0042				
n = 1000								
Scenario I	0.0047	0.0034	0.0037	0.0015				
Scenario II	0.0044	0.0032	0.0043	0.0018				
Scenario III	0.0048	0.0034	0.0045	0.0017				
Scenario IV	0.0044	0.0032	0.0040	0.0016				
n = 2000								
Scenario I	0.0021	0.0016	0.0012	0.0005				
Scenario II	0.0020	0.0016	0.0012	0.0006				
Scenario III	0.0020	0.0016	0.0012	0.0006				
Scenario IV	0.0022	0.0016	0.0013	0.0005	AARHUS UNIVERSITET			
) 2 (2)								

Comparison LL vs. BPLL



Comparison LL vs. BPLL (Error boxplot)



(a)
$$n = 2000$$
 in D_1

(b) n = 2000 in D_2



▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Experiment 2: a square root diffusion

The process is of the form:

$$dX_t = \kappa(\theta - X_t)dt + \sigma\sqrt{X_t}dB_t$$

The process was generated following the algorithm in Section 3.4 of Glasserman (2004).

- x are T = 250 equidistant values in [0.03,0.12].
- n = 500, 1000, 2000, number of simulations N = 400.
- B_t and X_t are independent.
- Leave-b-block-out cross validation to obtain the bandwidth.
- The drift and diffusion are discontinuous at x = 0.1.

Summary

Comparison LL vs. BPLL (MISE)

Method	L	L	BPLL		
	MISE	\widehat{MISE}_q	MISE	\widehat{MISE}_q	
<i>n</i> = 500	0.0241	0.0083	0.0114	0.0057	
n = 1000	0.0120	0.0041	0.0039	0.0022	
<i>n</i> = 2000	0.0059	0.0021	0.0013	0.0008	

Table: MISE of LL and BPLL comparison for Experiment 2.

AARHUS UNIVERSITET

Comparison LL vs. BPLL



Comparison LL vs. BPLL (Boxplots)



Conclusions

- The break preserving estimator is consistent in the presence of discontinuities.
- It is always positive.
- It keeps some of the smooth properties of the LL in the continuous parts.



▲ロ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ▲ □ ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Further interest

• Application to the spot volatility of intra-day data (SPDR).

- Y. Zu and P. Boswijk (2009). Estimating realized spot volatility with noisy high-frequency data.
- P. Mykland, E. Renault and L. Zhang (2009). Aggregated and instantaneous volatility: connections and comparisons.
- F. Bandi (2009). Nonparametric identification in stochastic volatility models.
- ...

◆□ → ◆□ → ◆三 → ◆三 → ● ◆ ●

Further interest

• Application to the spot volatility of intra-day data (SPDR).

- Y. Zu and P. Boswijk (2009). Estimating realized spot volatility with noisy high-frequency data.
- P. Mykland, E. Renault and L. Zhang (2009). Aggregated and instantaneous volatility: connections and comparisons.
- F. Bandi (2009). Nonparametric identification in stochastic volatility models.
- ...
- Application to the estimation of interest rates: changes of structure in the drift and volatility.
 - R. Stanton (1997). A Nonparametric Model of Term Structure Dynamics and the Market Price of Interest Rate Risk.
 - D. A. Chapman and N. Pearson (2000). *Is the Short Rate Drift Actually Nonlinear?*.
 - S. L. Heston (2007). A model of discontinuous interest rate behavior, yield curves, and volatility.
 - . . .

Motivation

Summary

Simulated volatility function





AARHUS UNIVERSITET

◆□▶ ◆■▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 - わへで

Summary

Scenario II

• Next Continuous function.



Scenario III

• Next One discontinuity at x = 0.



Scenario IV

• Back Two discontinuities at the same points than the volatility function x = -1 and x = 1.

