Multiple Defaults and Density Approach : Global and Default-free Information

Ying JIAO

LPMA Université Paris 7

Financial support by LPMA-GDF-SUEZ contract and Fédération Bancaire Française

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © Q Q @

Introduction

- ▶ The progressive enlargement of filtration plays an essential role in the credit risk modelling.
- ► Consider multiple default times $\tau = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n)$ on the market $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$.
- ► There are default-free market information $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t>0}$ and default information $\mathcal{D}_t^i = \sigma(\tau_i \wedge t)$, $i \in \Theta = \{1, \cdots, n\}.$
- ► The global market information $\mathcal{G}_t = \mathcal{F}_t \vee \mathcal{D}_t^1 \vee \cdots \vee \mathcal{D}_t^n$.
- \blacktriangleright The pricing and hedging problems are considered in \mathcal{G}_t , however it is technically difficult to work with \mathcal{G}_t in general since it is not generated by continuous processes.

Single default: before-default and after-default

For a single defaul τ , the before-default pricing on $\{\tau > t\}$ (e.g. Bielecki-Jeanblanc -Rutkowski) is to establish a relationship between G_t and F_t by the key lemma of Dellacherie and Jeulin-Yor: for any A-measurable r.v. Y,

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}}\mathbb{E}[Y|\mathcal{G}_t]=\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}}\frac{\mathbb{E}[Y\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau>t\}}|\mathcal{F}_t]}{\mathbb{P}(\tau>t|\mathcal{F}_t]} \quad a.s.
$$

► On the after-default set $\{\tau \leq t\}$, the default density approach (El Karoui-Jeanblanc-J.) is suitable: for any $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ -measurable $Y_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)$,

$$
\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau\leq t\}}\,E[Y_{\mathcal{T}}(\tau)|\mathcal{G}_t] = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau\leq t\}}\frac{E[Y(\mathcal{T},\theta)\alpha_{\mathcal{T}}(\theta)|\mathcal{F}_t]}{\alpha_t(\theta)}\Big|_{\theta=\tau} \text{ a.s.}
$$

where $\alpha_t(\theta)$ is the conditional density of τ given \mathcal{F}_t wrt the law of τ . **KORKAR KERKER E VOOR**

Generalization to multiple defaults

- \blacktriangleright The pricing problem in \mathcal{G}_t is decomposed into a before-default problem and an after-default one.
- \blacktriangleright Each new problem is considered wrt the default-free information \mathcal{F}_t and the impact of past default events can be examined.
- \triangleright The \mathcal{F}_t -conditional law of default or its density will play an essential role.

 \triangleright Applications to pricing with multiple defaults and to counterparty risks.

Decomposition on default scenarios

 \blacktriangleright Let $I \subset \Theta = \{1, \cdots, n\}$ and $\tau_I = (\tau_i)_{i \in I}$.

Describe the default scenario by the event

$$
A_t^I := \Big(\bigcap_{i\in I} \{\tau_i \leq t\}\Big) \cap \Big(\bigcap_{i\not\in I} \{\tau_i > t\}\Big)
$$

at time t, I denotes the default set.

- All default scenarios: $\Omega = \cup_{l \subset \Theta} A'_l$.
- Any G_t -measurable random variable Y_t can be written in the decomposed form

$$
Y_t = \sum_{l \subset \Theta} \mathbf{1}_{A_t^l} Y_t^l(\tau_l)
$$

KID KA KERKER E VAO

where $\mathsf{Y}^{\prime}_t(\cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}_t\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{\prime}_+)$ -measurable on $\Omega\times\mathbb{R}^{\prime}_+.$

Random measure of defaults

- \triangleright We describe the \mathcal{F}_t -conditional law of $\boldsymbol{\tau} = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n)$.
- ► Random measure $\mu^{\bm{\tau}}$ on $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n_+, \mathcal{F}_{\infty} \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+))$: for any positive and $\mathcal{F}_\infty \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ -measurable function $h_\infty(\mathbf{s}),$ ${\bf S} = (S_1, \cdots, S_n),$

$$
\mathbb{E}[h_{\infty}(\tau)]=\int h_{\infty}(\textbf{s})\mu^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(d\omega,d\textbf{s}).
$$

 \blacktriangleright The restriction μ_t^{τ} \mathcal{T}_t of $\mu^\bm{\tau}$ on $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ represents the conditional law of τ on \mathcal{F}_t : for any positive and $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ -measurable function $h_t(\mathbf{s}),$

$$
\mathbb{E}[h_t(\tau)] = \int h_t(\mathbf{s}) \mu^{\tau}(d\omega, d\mathbf{s}) = \int h_t(\mathbf{s}) \mu_t^{\tau}(d\omega, d\mathbf{s}).
$$

General pricing formula

Theorem

Let $Y_T(\tau)$ be the payoff function where $Y_T(\mathbf{s})$ is positive and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{T}}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)$ - measurable. Then for any $t<\mathcal{T},$

$$
\mathbb{E}[Y_T(\tau)|\mathcal{G}_t] = \sum_{l \subset \Theta} \mathbf{1}_{A_t^l} \frac{\int_{]t,\infty[^l^c} \mathbb{E}[Y_T(\mathbf{s}_l)\mu_T^{\tau}|\mathcal{F}_t](d\omega, d\mathbf{s})}{\int_{]t,\infty[^l^c} \mu_t^{\tau}(d\omega, d\mathbf{s})}\bigg|_{s_l=\tau_l}
$$
(1)

- \triangleright On each default scenario, [\(1\)](#page-6-0) is interpreted as a Randn-Nikodym derivative on $\mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^l_+)$ and depends on the past default events $\tau_I.$
- \triangleright We observe a jump of the price at each default time.
- \blacktriangleright Choose models of μ^{τ} for default correlations and explicit pricing results.

Default density

Hypothesis

We say that $\tau = (\tau_1, \cdots, \tau_n)$ satisfies the density hypothesis if the measure $\mu^\bm{\tau}$ is absolutely continuous wrt $\mathbb{P}\otimes \nu^\bm{\tau}$ where $\nu^\bm{\tau}$ is the law of τ .

- $\blacktriangleright \nu^{\tau}$ is the marginal measure ν^{τ} of μ^{τ} on $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^{n}_{+})$, i.e., $\nu^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\boldsymbol{U}) = \mu^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(\Omega \times \boldsymbol{U}), \, \forall \boldsymbol{U} \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+).$
- ► Denote by $\alpha_t(\cdot)$ the density of μ^{τ} wrt $\mathbb{P} \otimes \nu^{\tau}$ on $(\Omega \times \mathbb{R}^n_+, \mathcal{F}_t \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n_+)),$

$$
\mu_t^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(d\omega,d\boldsymbol{s})=\alpha_t(\boldsymbol{s})\,\mathbb{P}(d\omega)\otimes\nu^{\boldsymbol{\tau}}(d\boldsymbol{s}).
$$

For any positive Borel function on \mathbb{R}^n_+ ,

$$
\mathbb{E}[f(\boldsymbol{\tau})|\mathcal{F}_t] = \int_{\mathbb{R}_+^n} f(\boldsymbol{s}) \alpha_t(\boldsymbol{s}) \nu^{\tau}(d\boldsymbol{s}).
$$

Pricing with density

- \blacktriangleright For the k^{th} -to-default swap, consider the ordered set of defaults $\tau_{(1)} < \cdots < \tau_{(n)}.$
- \triangleright The key term for the pricing is the indicator default process $1\!\!1_{\{\tau_{(k)}>\mathcal{T}\}}$ with respect to the market filtration \mathcal{G}_t :

$$
\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{(k)} > T\}}|\mathcal{G}_t] = \sum_{|I| < k} \mathbf{1}_{A_t^I} \sum_{J \supset J, |J| < k} \frac{\int_{]T, \infty[^{J^c}} \int_{]t, T]^{J \setminus I}} \alpha_t(\mathbf{s}) \nu^\tau(d\mathbf{s})}{\int_{]t, \infty[^{I^c}} \alpha_t(\mathbf{s}) \nu^\tau(d\mathbf{s})} \bigg|_{s_I = \tau_I}
$$

For CDO, the cumulative loss $L_t = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{1}_{\tau_i \leq t}$, pricing via the ktD

$$
(L_T-a)_+ = \sum_{k\geq a} \min(k-a,1) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau_{(k)}\leq T\}}.
$$

▶ Both ktD and CDO depend on the ordered successive defaults.

Several remarks

- \triangleright The density approach can also be applied to ordered defaults, making a link with the top-down models for the loss process L.
- ▶ The joint density characterize the correlation structure of defaults in a dynamic manner.
- \triangleright Part of the joint density can be deduced from the individual default intensity processes. To obtain the whole term structure, we need more information.

Application: a contagion risk model

- ► The density approach and the decomposition methodology can be applied to multiple credit problems in a general way.
- \triangleright Consider a portfolio of assets whose value process S is subjected to contagion default risks: an N-dimensional $\mathbb{G}\text{-adapted process }\mathcal{S}_t = \sum_{I\subset\Theta} \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{A}_t^I} \mathcal{S}_t^I(\tau_I).$
- \triangleright The asset value is affected by the counterparty defaults and has a regime switching at each default

$$
dS_t^l(s_l) = S_t^l(s_l) * (\mu_t^l(s_l)dt + \Sigma_t^l(s_l)dW_t), \quad t > s_{\vee l}
$$

and there is jump given default

$$
S_{s_{\vee i}}^l(s_l) = S_{s_{\vee i}}^J(s_J) * (1 - \gamma_{s_{\vee i}}^{J,k}(s_J)),
$$

KORKAR KERKER E VOOR

where $k=\mathsf{min}\{i\in I|\mathsf{s}_i=\mathsf{s}_{\vee l}\},\, \mathsf{J}=I\setminus\{k\}$ and $\gamma^{\mathsf{J},k}(\cdot)$ is $\mathcal{P}_{\mathbb{F}}\otimes\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^J_+)$ -measurable

Utility maximization of wealth

- Investment strategy is characterized by a G-predictable process π which satisfies $\pi_t = \sum_l \pmb{1}_{A_{t-}^l} \pi_t^l(\tau_l)$
- \triangleright The wealth process X has the decomposed form $\mathsf{X}_t = \sum \mathbf{1}_{\mathsf{A}_t^l} \mathsf{X}_t^l(\tau_l)$ such that

$$
dX_t^l(s_l) = X_t^l(s_l)\pi_t^l(s_l) \cdot \big(\mu_t^l(s_l)dt + \Sigma_t^l(s_l)dW_t\big), \quad t > s_{\vee l}
$$

and

$$
X_{s_{\lor I}}^I(s_I) = X_{s_{\lor I}^-}^J(s_J)(1 - \pi_{s_{\lor I}}^J(s_J) \cdot \gamma_{s_{\lor I}}^{J,k})
$$

 \triangleright Optimal investment $\mathbb{E}[U(X_T)]$ for admissible trading strategies π or equivalently $(\pi^{\prime}(\cdot))_{t\in\Theta}.$ The admissible set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}(\bm{s}_{l})$ such that $\int_{0}^{T}|\pi_{t}^{I}(\bm{s}_{l})\sigma_{t}^{I}(\bm{s}_{l})|^{2}\bm{d}t<\infty$ and $\pi_t^I(\mathsf{s}_l)\cdot \gamma_t^{l,i} < 1$ for any $i \notin I$ and any $t \in]\mathsf{s}_{\vee l},\mathcal{T}].$

Optimization decomposition

- \triangleright The global problem can be treated by the general theory of optimization.
- ► Motivations for adopting the decomposition methodology :
	- \triangleright financially, to analyze the impact of past defaults on the optimal trading strategy
	- \triangleright mathematically, to avoid the difficulty related to jump processes
- \triangleright By decomposition of the terminal wealth

$$
\mathbb{E}[U(X_T)] = \sum_{l \subset \Theta} \mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_T^l} U(X_T^l(\tau_l))] = \sum_{l \subset \Theta} \mathbb{E}[\mathbb{E}[\mathbf{1}_{A_T^l} U(X_T^l(\tau_l)) | \mathcal{F}_T]]
$$

=
$$
\mathbb{E}\Big[\sum_{l \subset \Theta} \int_{[0,T]^l \times]T,\infty[^l^c} U(X_T^l(s_l)) \alpha_T(\mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}\Big].
$$

▶ The idea is to consider a family of optimization problems at each default scenario.

We shall treat the optimization problem in a backward and recursive way. Let

$$
J_\Theta(\textit{\textbf{x}},\textit{\textbf{s}},\pi^\Theta) := \mathbb{E}[U(X_T^\Theta(\textit{\textbf{s}})) \alpha_{\scriptstyle \mathcal{T}}(\textit{\textbf{s}}) \, | \, \mathcal{F}_{\textit{s}_{\vee \Theta}}]_{X_{\textit{s}_{\vee \Theta}}^\Theta(\textit{\textbf{s}}) = \textit{\textbf{x}}}
$$

and

$$
V_{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}) = \underset{\pi^{\Theta} \in \mathcal{A}^{\Theta}(\mathbf{s})}{\text{esssup}} J_{\Theta}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{s}, \pi^{\Theta}).
$$

We define recursively for $I \subset \Theta$,

$$
J_I(x,s_I,\pi'):=\mathbb{E}\bigg[U(X_T^I(s_I))\int_{]T,+\infty[^{I^c}}\alpha_T(\boldsymbol{s})d s_{I^c}\\+\sum_{i\in I^c}\int_{]s_{\vee I},T]}V_{I\cup\{i\}}(X_{s_i}^{I\cup\{i\}}(s_{I\cup\{i\}}),s_{I\cup\{i\}})ds_i\bigg|\mathcal{F}_{s_{\vee I}}\bigg]_{X_{s_{\vee I}}^I(s_I)=x}
$$

and correspondingly

$$
V_I(x, s_I) := \mathop{\mathrm{esssup}}_{\pi^I \in \mathcal{A}^I(s_I)} J_I(x, s_I, \pi^I).
$$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 ▶ K 할 ▶ | 할 | © Q Q @

- ► We obtain a family of optimization problems $(V_1(x, s_1))_{1 \subset \Theta}$.
- \blacktriangleright The whole system need to be dealt with in a recursive manner backwardly, each problem concerning the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t)_{t\geq 0}$ and the time interval $[\mathsf{s}_{\vee l},\mathcal{T}].$
- At each step, the problem V_I involves the resolution of other ones $\mathsf{V}_{\mathsf{I}\cup\{i\}}.$
- ► By resolving recursively the problems, we obtain a family of optimal strategies $(\hat{\pi}^{\prime}(\cdot))_{\mathcal{I}\subset\Theta}$, which shall give the optimal
ottates: of the initial entimization problem strategy of the initial optimization problem.

Theorem

$$
\sup_{\pi \in \mathcal{A}} \mathbb{E}[U(X_{\tau})]_{X_0 = x} = V_{\emptyset}(x). \tag{2}
$$

KORKAR KERKER E VOOR

Conclusions

- \triangleright The default density approach provides a suitable framework for financial problems concerning multiple defaults.
- \triangleright By the two applications to pricing and to optimal investment, we show that the initial problem in global information filtration can be decomposed to problems in default-free information filtration (often a Brownian filtration), with which we are more familiar.
- \triangleright The decomposition method also allows to analyze the contagion default impact in an explicit manner.

K ロ X x 4 B X X B X X B X 2 X 2 O Q Q

Related works

- ▶ El Karoui, N., Jeanblanc, M. and Jiao, Y. (2009), "What happens after a default: the conditional density approach", Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 120(7), 1011-1032.
- ► El Karoui, N., Jeanblanc, M. and Jiao, Y. (2010), "Modelling successive default events", working paper.
- ▶ Jiao, Y. (2010), "Multiple defaults and contagion risks with global and default-free information", working paper.
- ▶ Jiao, Y. and Pham, H. (2009), "Optimal investment with counterparty risk: a default-density model approach", to appear in Finance and Stochastics.

KORK ERKEY EL YOUR

Thanks for your attention !

KOXK@XKEXKEX E DAQ