## On a Heath-Jarrow-Morton approach for stock markets

Jan Kallsen

Paul Krühner

Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mathematisches Seminar, Christian-Albrechts-Universität zu Kiel, Christian-Albrechts-Platz 4, 24098 Kiel, Germany (e-mail:

kallsen@math.uni-kiel.de, kruehner@math.uni-kiel.de)

#### Table of content





3 Setting Lévy in motion



Introduction

- Consider a market with a canonical reference asset and some derivatives based on it.
- If we model the canonical reference asset in detail under the martingale measure then the prices of the derivatives are given by conditional expectation.
- If the derivatives are traded liquidly then the model prices may contradict the prices observed on the real market.
- First way out: Calibration
- 2nd way out: Model the derivatives directly
- Some references: Schönbucher (1999), Jacod & Protter (2006), Schweizer & Wissel (2008, 2009), Carmona (2007), Carmona & Nadtochiy (2009)

- Consider a market with a canonical reference asset and some derivatives based on it.
- If we model the canonical reference asset in detail under the martingale measure then the prices of the derivatives are given by conditional expectation.
- If the derivatives are traded liquidly then the model prices may contradict the prices observed on the real market.
- First way out: Calibration
- 2nd way out: Model the derivatives directly
- Some references: Schönbucher (1999), Jacod & Protter (2006), Schweizer & Wissel (2008, 2009), Carmona (2007), Carmona & Nadtochiy (2009)

The philosophy behind HJM

- There is a cononical reference asset
- There are some derivtaives based on it
- We want to model the derivatives
- It is hard to model the derivatives direclty, because they have complicated dependencies on each other
- Find a reparametrisation to get rid of the complicated dependencies (codebook)
- Model the codebook-process directly, such that all the derivatives are martingales under the martingale measure. (no arbitrage condition)
- How does the canonical reference asset look like? (consistency condition)

- There is a cononical reference asset
- There are some derivtaives based on it
- We want to model the derivatives
- It is hard to model the derivatives direclty, because they have complicated dependencies on each other
- Find a reparametrisation to get rid of the complicated dependencies (codebook)
- Model the codebook-process directly, such that all the derivatives are martingales under the martingale measure. (no arbitrage condition)
- How does the canonical reference asset look like? (consistency condition)

- There is a cononical reference asset
- There are some derivtaives based on it
- We want to model the derivatives
- It is hard to model the derivatives direclty, because they have complicated dependencies on each other
- Find a reparametrisation to get rid of the complicated dependencies (codebook)
- Model the codebook-process directly, such that all the derivatives are martingales under the martingale measure. (no arbitrage condition)
- How does the canonical reference asset look like? (consistency condition)

- There is a cononical reference asset
- There are some derivtaives based on it
- We want to model the derivatives
- It is hard to model the derivatives direclty, because they have complicated dependencies on each other
- Find a reparametrisation to get rid of the complicated dependencies (codebook)
- Model the codebook-process directly, such that all the derivatives are martingales under the martingale measure. (no arbitrage condition)
- How does the canonical reference asset look like? (consistency condition)

How to find a good reparemtrisation? - the simple models

• Choose a class of models (simple models) such that

- The simple models do not allow for arbitrage.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The class has a simple parameter space  ${\cal C}$
- There is a (simple) one to one function Φ which mapes a given parameter and a given price of the underlying to the price of the derivative.
- Forget about the simple models but keep the parametrisation  $\Phi$  and use the parameter space  ${\cal C}$  as the codebook space.

How to find a good reparemtrisation? - the simple models

• Choose a class of models (simple models) such that

- The simple models do not allow for arbitrage.
- $\blacktriangleright$  The class has a simple parameter space  ${\cal C}$
- There is a (simple) one to one function Φ which mapes a given parameter and a given price of the underlying to the price of the derivative.
- Forget about the simple models but keep the parametrisation  $\Phi$  and use the parameter space  ${\cal C}$  as the codebook space.

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$
- Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$
- Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$
- Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$
- Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$
- Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$

• Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

• Canonical reference asset: Money market account

- Liquid derivatives: Bonds B(t, T) with B(T, T) = 1.
- Simple model: dK(t) = K(t)r(t)dt with deterministic short rate r(t)
  - In this setup:  $B(t, T) = \exp(-\int_t^T r(s) ds)$
  - Conversely:  $r(T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- This inspires the codebook  $f(t, T) = -\partial_T \log(B(t, T))$
- Model the dynamics  $df(t, T) = \alpha(t, T)dt + \beta(t, T)dW(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T) = \beta(t, T) \int_0^T \beta(t, s) ds$
- Consistency condition: r(t) = f(t, t)

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

- Canonical reference asset: Stock S(t)
- Liquid derivatives: European Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.
- Simple model: Purely discontinuous time-inhomogenous exponential Lévy processes
  - In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained from the Lévy density K(t, u) via a function Φ.
  - Conversely: K(t, u) can be obtained from the call option prices.
- This inspires the codebook  $X(t, T, u) = \Phi^{-1}(C(t, T, K))$
- Model the dynamics  $X(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dW(t)$
- Drift condition: α(t, T, K) = Dβ(t, T, K) where D is an fourth order integro differential operator
- Consistency condition: K(t, u) = X(t, t, u), where K is the density of the compensator of the jump measure of log(S).

Setting Lévy in motion.

- Canonical reference asset: Stock  $S(t) = \exp(X(t))$  with return X.
- Liquid derivatives: Calls C(t, T, K) with maturity T and strike K.

• Simple model: exponential time-inhomogenous Lévy processes

$$E(e^{iuX_t}) = \exp\left(\int_0^t \psi^X(s, u) ds\right)$$

where ψ<sup>X</sup>(s, u) is given by a generalised Lévy-Khintchine formula.
In this setup: C(t, T, K) can be obtained by Fourier technics from ψ<sup>X</sup> by a formula Φ<sup>-1</sup> which can be found in (Belomestry.Reiss 99)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{O}(t,T,x) &= \mathcal{F}\left\{ u \to \frac{1 - \exp\left(\int_0^t \psi^X(s,u)ds\right)}{u^2 + iu} \right\}(x), \\ \mathcal{C}(t,T,K) &= (\mathcal{S}(t) - K)^+ + \mathcal{KO}\left(t,T,\log\left(\frac{K}{\mathcal{S}(t)}\right)\right). \end{split}$$

• Conversely:  $\psi^{X}(T, u)$  can be obtained from the call option prices

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}(t,T,x) &:= e^{-(x+X(t))}C\left(t,T,e^{x+X(t)}\right) - (e^{-x}-1)^+ \\ \psi^X(T,u) &= \partial_T \log\left(1 - (u^2 + iu)\mathcal{F}\{x \to \mathcal{O}(t,T,x)\}(u)\right) \end{aligned}$$

- This inspires the codebook  $\Psi(t, T, u) := \Phi^{-1}(K \mapsto C(t, T, K))(u)$
- Model the dynamics  $\Psi(t, T, u) = \alpha(t, T, u)dt + \beta(t, T, u)dL(t)$
- Drift condition:  $\alpha(t, T, u) = \partial_T \psi^L \left( \int_t^T \beta(t, r, u) dr \right)$
- Consistency condition:  $\psi^X(t, u) = \Psi(t, t, u)$

An example

#### A deterministic example

- We consider the situation: S(t) = exp(X(t))
- dΨ(t, T, u) = α(t, T, u)dt + β(t, T, u)dL(t) for an increasing Lévy process L
- $\beta(t, T, u) = \frac{u^2 iu}{2} e^{\lambda(T-t)}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$
- The conditions imply

$$dX(t) = dM(t) - v(t)dt + \sqrt{v(t)}dW(t)$$
  
$$dv(t) = -\lambda v(t)dt + dL(t)$$

for some time inhomogeneous Lévy process *M*. It is some kind of Barndorff-Nielsen & Shephard (2001) stochastic volatility model.

#### A deterministic example

- We consider the situation: S(t) = exp(X(t))
- dΨ(t, T, u) = α(t, T, u)dt + β(t, T, u)dL(t) for an increasing Lévy process L
- $\beta(t, T, u) = \frac{u^2 iu}{2} e^{\lambda(T-t)}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$

• The conditions imply

$$dX(t) = dM(t) - v(t)dt + \sqrt{v(t)}dW(t)$$
  
$$dv(t) = -\lambda v(t)dt + dL(t)$$

for some time inhomogeneous Lévy process *M*. It is some kind of Barndorff-Nielsen & Shephard (2001) stochastic volatility model.

#### A deterministic example

- We consider the situation: S(t) = exp(X(t))
- dΨ(t, T, u) = α(t, T, u)dt + β(t, T, u)dL(t) for an increasing Lévy process L
- $\beta(t, T, u) = \frac{u^2 iu}{2} e^{\lambda(T-t)}$  for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$
- The conditions imply

$$dX(t) = dM(t) - v(t)dt + \sqrt{v(t)}dW(t)$$
  
$$dv(t) = -\lambda v(t)dt + dL(t)$$

for some time inhomogeneous Lévy process M. It is some kind of Barndorff-Nielsen & Shephard (2001) stochastic volatility model. Thank you for your attention.