

# The Bellman Equation for Power Utility Maximization with Semimartingales

Marcel Nutz

ETH Zurich

Bachelier Congress  
Toronto, June 24, 2010

## Basic Problem

- **Utility maximization:** given utility function  $U(\cdot)$ , consider

$$\max E \left[ \int_0^T U_t(c_t) dt + U_T(X_T(\pi, c)) \right]$$

over trading and consumption strategies  $(\pi, c)$ .

- **Aim of our study:** describe optimal trading and consumption for the (random) power utility

$$U_t(x) := D_t \frac{1}{p} x^p, \quad p \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1),$$

with  $D > 0$  càdlàg adapted and  $E[\int_0^T D_s ds + D_T] < \infty$ .

# Outline

- 1 Problem Statement
- 2 Dynamic Programming
- 3 Bellman Equation
- 4 Uniqueness

# Outline

1 Problem Statement

2 Dynamic Programming

3 Bellman Equation

4 Uniqueness

# Utility Maximization Problem

- $d$  risky assets: semimartingale  $R$  of stock returns,  $R_0 = 0$
- spot prices  $S = (\mathcal{E}(R^1), \dots, \mathcal{E}(R^d))$
- given initial capital  $x_0 > 0$ ,

$$u(x_0) := \sup_{(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}} E \left[ \int_0^T \underbrace{U_t(c_t) dt + U_T(c_T)}_{U_t(c_t) \mu^\circ(dt), \text{ with } \mu^\circ := dt + \delta_{\{T\}}} \right], \quad U_t(x) = D_t \frac{1}{\rho} x^\rho$$

- assume  $u(x_0) < \infty$
- $\pi \in L(R)$  trading strategy,  $c \geq 0$  optional consumption
- Wealth:  $X_t(\pi, c) = x_0 + \int_0^t X_{s-}(\pi, c) \pi_s dR_s - \int_0^t c_s ds$
- **Constraints:** for each  $(\omega, t)$ , consider a set  $0 \in \mathcal{C}_t(\omega) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$
- **Admissibility:**  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$  if
  - ▶  $X(\pi, c) > 0$ ,  $X_-(\pi, c) > 0$
  - ▶  $\pi_t(\omega) \in \mathcal{C}_t(\omega)$  for all  $(\omega, t)$
  - ▶  $c_T = X_T(\pi, c)$ .

# Utility Maximization Problem

- $d$  risky assets: semimartingale  $R$  of stock returns,  $R_0 = 0$
- spot prices  $S = (\mathcal{E}(R^1), \dots, \mathcal{E}(R^d))$
- given initial capital  $x_0 > 0$ ,

$$u(x_0) := \sup_{(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}} E \left[ \int_0^T \underbrace{U_t(c_t) dt + U_T(c_T)}_{U_t(c_t) \mu^\circ(dt), \text{ with } \mu^\circ := dt + \delta_{\{T\}}} \right], \quad U_t(x) = D_t \frac{1}{\rho} x^\rho$$

- assume  $u(x_0) < \infty$
- $\pi \in L(R)$  trading strategy,  $c \geq 0$  optional consumption
- Wealth:  $X_t(\pi, c) = x_0 + \int_0^t X_{s-}(\pi, c) \pi_s dR_s - \int_0^t c_s ds$
- **Constraints:** for each  $(\omega, t)$ , consider a set  $0 \in \mathcal{C}_t(\omega) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$
- **Admissibility:**  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$  if
  - ▶  $X(\pi, c) > 0$ ,  $X_-(\pi, c) > 0$
  - ▶  $\pi_t(\omega) \in \mathcal{C}_t(\omega)$  for all  $(\omega, t)$
  - ▶  $c_T = X_T(\pi, c)$ .

# Outline

- 1 Problem Statement
- 2 Dynamic Programming**
- 3 Bellman Equation
- 4 Uniqueness

# Dynamic Programming

For  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(\pi, c, t) := \{(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{c}) \in \mathcal{A} : (\tilde{c}, \tilde{\pi}) = (c, \pi) \text{ on } [0, t]\}$ .

Value process:

$$J_t(\pi, c) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{c}) \in \mathcal{A}(\pi, c, t)} E \left[ \int_0^T U_s(\tilde{c}_s) \mu^\circ(ds) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

## Proposition (Martingale Optimality Principle)

Let  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfy  $E[\int_0^T U_s(c_s) \mu^\circ(ds)] > -\infty$ . Then

- $J(\pi, c)$  is a supermartingale
- $J(\pi, c)$  is a martingale if and only if  $(\pi, c)$  is optimal.

→ Starting point for local description.



# Dynamic Programming

For  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$ , let  $\mathcal{A}(\pi, c, t) := \{(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{c}) \in \mathcal{A} : (\tilde{c}, \tilde{\pi}) = (c, \pi) \text{ on } [0, t]\}$ .

Value process:

$$J_t(\pi, c) := \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{c}) \in \mathcal{A}(\pi, c, t)} E \left[ \int_0^T U_s(\tilde{c}_s) \mu^\circ(ds) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

## Proposition (Martingale Optimality Principle)

Let  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$  satisfy  $E[\int_0^T U_s(c_s) \mu^\circ(ds)] > -\infty$ . Then

- $J(\pi, c)$  is a supermartingale
- $J(\pi, c)$  is a martingale if and only if  $(\pi, c)$  is optimal.

→ Starting point for **local description**.

## Proposition (Opportunity Process)

- There exists a unique càdlàg process  $L$  such that for any  $(\pi, c) \in \mathcal{A}$ ,

$$L_t \frac{1}{p} (X_t(\pi, c))^p = \operatorname{ess\,sup}_{(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{c}) \in \mathcal{A}(\pi, c, t)} E \left[ \int_t^T U_s(\tilde{c}_s) \mu^\circ(ds) \middle| \mathcal{F}_t \right].$$

- $L$  is special:  $L = L_0 + A^L + M^L$ .

**Interpretation:**  $\frac{1}{p} L_t$  is the maximal amount of conditional expected utility that can be accumulated on  $[t, T]$  from 1\$.

**In particular:**  $L_T = pU_T(1) = D_T$ .

# Outline

- 1 Problem Statement
- 2 Dynamic Programming
- 3 Bellman Equation**
- 4 Uniqueness

**Differential semimartingale characteristics** wrt. a fixed increasing process  $A$ :

- characteristics  $(b^R, c^R, F^R)$  of  $R$  wrt. cut-off  $h(x)$ .
- characteristics  $(b^L, c^L, F^L)$  of  $L$  wrt. **identity**.
- $(b^{R,L}, c^{R,L}, F^{R,L})$  **joint** characteristics wrt.  $(h(x), x')$ ,  
 $(x, x') \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**Express consumption as fraction of wealth:**

- Propensity to consume  $\kappa := \frac{c}{X(\pi, c)}$ .
- Wealth is a stochastic exponential:  $X(\pi, \kappa) = x_0 \mathcal{E}(\pi \cdot R - \kappa \cdot t)$ .

**Budget constraint:** Based on  $\mathcal{E}(Y) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta Y \geq -1$ :

$$X(\pi, \kappa) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi \in \mathcal{C}^0 := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : F^R[x \in \mathbb{R}^d : y^\top x < -1] = 0 \right\},$$

**Additional constraints:** A set-valued process  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

(C1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is predictable,

i.e.,  $\{\mathcal{C} \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$  is predictable for all  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  closed.

(C2)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed and convex.

**Budget constraint:** Based on  $\mathcal{E}(Y) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \Delta Y \geq -1$ :

$$X(\pi, \kappa) \geq 0 \Leftrightarrow \pi \in \mathcal{C}^0 := \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^d : F^R[x \in \mathbb{R}^d : y^\top x < -1] = 0 \right\},$$

**Additional constraints:** A set-valued process  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $0 \in \mathcal{C}$ ,

(C1)  $\mathcal{C}$  is predictable,

i.e.,  $\{\mathcal{C} \cap F \neq \emptyset\}$  is predictable for all  $F \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  closed.

(C2)  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed and convex.

# Bellman Equation

**Assume:**  $u(x_0) < \infty$ ,  $\exists$  optimal strategy, constraints satisfy (C1)-(C2).

## Theorem

- Drift rate  $b^L$  satisfies  $-p^{-1}b^L = \max_{k \in [0, \infty)} f(k) \frac{dt}{dA} + \max_{y \in \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^0} g(y)$ .
- Optimal propensity to consume:  $\hat{k} = (D/L)^{1/(1-p)}$ .
- Optimal trading strategy:  $\hat{\pi} \in \arg \max_{\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^0} g$ .

$$f(k) := U(k) - kL_-,$$

$$g(y) := L_- y^\top \left( b^R + \frac{c^{RL}}{L_-} + \frac{(p-1)}{2} c^R y \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} x' y^\top h(x) F^{R,L}(d(x, x')) \\ + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}} (L_- + x') \{ p^{-1} (1 + y^\top x)^p - p^{-1} - y^\top h(x) \} F^{R,L}(d(x, x')).$$

# Bellman BSDE

Orthogonal decomposition of  $M^L$  wrt.  $R$ :

$$L = L_0 + A^L + \varphi^L \cdot R^c + W^L * (\mu^R - \nu^R) + N^L.$$

$\varphi^L \in L_{loc}^2(R^c)$ ,  $W^L \in G_{loc}(\mu^R)$ ,  $N^L$  local martingale such that  $\langle (N^L)^c, R^c \rangle = 0$  and  $M_{\mu^R}^P(\Delta N^L | \tilde{\mathcal{P}}) = 0$ .

## Corollary

$L$  satisfies the BSDE

$$L = L_0 - pU^*(L_-) \cdot t - p \max_{\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{E}^0} g \cdot A + \varphi^L \cdot R^c + W^L * (\mu^R - \nu^R) + N^L$$

with terminal condition  $L_T = D_T$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} g(y) := & L_- y^\top \left( b^R + c^R \left( \frac{\varphi^L}{L_-} + \frac{(p-1)}{2} y \right) \right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\Delta A^L + W^L(x) - \widehat{W}^L) y^\top h(x) F^R(dx) \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (L_- + \Delta A^L + W^L(x) - \widehat{W}^L) \{ p^{-1} (1 + y^\top x)^p - p^{-1} - y^\top h(x) \} F^R(dx). \end{aligned}$$



# Outline

- 1 Problem Statement
- 2 Dynamic Programming
- 3 Bellman Equation
- 4 Uniqueness**

# Minimality

## Theorem (Conditions of main theorem)

$l$  is the minimal solution of the Bellman equation.

For any special semimartingale  $l$ ,  $\exists!$  orthogonal decomposition

$$l = l_0 + A^l + \varphi^l \cdot R^c + W^l * (\mu^R - \nu^R) + N^l.$$

## Definition

A *solution* of the Bellman BSDE is a càdlàg special semimartingale  $l$ ,

- $l, l_- > 0$ ,
- $\exists \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0,*}$ -valued  $\tilde{\pi} \in L(R)$  such that  $g^l(\tilde{\pi}) = \sup_{\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^0} g^l < \infty$ ,
- $l$  (and  $\varphi^l, W^l, N^l, \dots$ ) satisfy the BSDE.

With  $\tilde{\kappa} := (D/l)^{1/(1-p)}$ , call  $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\kappa})$  the strategy associated with  $l$ .

- If  $R = M + \int d\langle M \rangle \lambda$  with  $M$  cont. local martingale, then  $\tilde{\pi}$  exists.

# Minimality

## Theorem (Conditions of main theorem)

$l$  is the minimal solution of the Bellman equation.

For any special semimartingale  $l$ ,  $\exists!$  orthogonal decomposition

$$l = l_0 + A^l + \varphi^l \cdot R^c + W^l * (\mu^R - \nu^R) + N^l.$$

## Definition

A **solution** of the Bellman BSDE is a càdlàg special semimartingale  $l$ ,

- $l, l_- > 0$ ,
- $\exists \mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^{0,*}$ -valued  $\tilde{\pi} \in L(R)$  such that  $g^l(\tilde{\pi}) = \sup_{\mathcal{C} \cap \mathcal{C}^0} g^l < \infty$ ,
- $l$  (and  $\varphi^l, W^l, N^l, \dots$ ) satisfy the BSDE.

With  $\tilde{\kappa} := (D/l)^{1/(1-p)}$ , call  $(\tilde{\pi}, \tilde{\kappa})$  the strategy associated with  $l$ .

- If  $R = M + \int d\langle M \rangle \lambda$  with  $M$  cont. local martingale, then  $\tilde{\pi}$  exists.

## Theorem

Let  $\ell$  be a solution of the Bellman equation with associated strategy  $(\check{\pi}, \check{\kappa})$ . Assume that  $\mathcal{C}$  is convex and let

$$\Gamma = \ell \check{X}^P + \int \check{\kappa}_s \ell_s \check{X}_s^P ds, \quad \check{X} := X(\check{\pi}, \check{\kappa}).$$

Then

- $\Gamma$  is a local martingale,
- $\Gamma$  martingale  $\iff u(x_0) < \infty$  and  $(\check{\pi}, \check{\kappa})$  is optimal and  $\ell = L$ .

Contents from (N. 2009, available on ArXiv):

- [a] The Opportunity Process for Optimal Consumption and Investment with Power Utility
- [b] The Bellman Equation for Power Utility Maximization with Semimartingales

### Selected related literature:

- Existence: Kramkov&Schachermayer (AAP99), Karatzas&Žitković (AoP03)
- log-utility: Goll&Kallsen (AAP03), Karatzas&Kardaras (FS07), Kardaras (MF09)
- Mean-variance: Černý&Kallsen (AoP07)
- Power utility: Mania&Tevzadze (GeorgMJ03), Hu et al. (AAP05), Muhle-Karbe (Diss09)

**Thanks for your attention!**