Optimal Liquidation of an Indivisible Asset with Independant Investment

Emilie Fabre and Nizar Touzi

Centre de Mathématiques Appliquées (CMAP), École Polytechnique

20 juin 2010

Emilie Fabre and Nizar Touzi Optimal Liquidation of an Indivisible Asset

ъ

We consider the point of view of an agent who possesses

- a portfolio of assets
- an indivisible asset : small family firm, piece of land, factory etc...

He wants to maximize his total wealth at the sell time of the indivisible asset.

 \implies This was introduced by Henderson and Hobson :

"An explicit solution for an optimal stopping/optimal control problem which models an asset sale", *The annals of Applied Probability*, 2008.

ъ.

We consider the problem $w(x) = \sup_{X} \mathbb{E}[G(X_T)].$

where X is a martingale, G is a concave value function and T > 0.

- By optimality : $w(x) \ge G(x)$
- By Jensen's inequality : $w(x) \leq \sup_X \mathbb{E} [G(X_T)] \leq U(x)$

Then w(x) = G(x) and the optimal strategy is to keep the wealth constant.

ミ▶★ ミト ミーク < ℃

Outline

- The mixed investment/sale problem
- Dynamic Programming Equation in a continuous framework
- Determination of the Value function
- The ε -optimal strategies
- An existence result
- Conclusion

= 900

We consider $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ a filtered probability space. Let B be a \mathcal{F}_t Brownian motion valued in \mathbb{R} .

Let Y be the price process of one unit of an indivisible asset modelled by

$$dY_t = \mu(Y_t)dt + \sigma(Y_t)dB_t \qquad Y_0 = y > 0$$

Moreover, we assume :

$$\mu(0) > 0 \text{ and } \sigma(0) = 0$$

We consider a concave function U from \mathbb{R}^+ to \mathbb{R}^+ .

ъ.

We want to solve the following problem

$$V(x,y) = \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x,y)\\\tau \in \mathcal{T}}} \mathbb{E}[U(X_{\tau} + Y_{\tau}^{y})]$$

where

(iii) $au \in \mathcal{T}$ where \mathcal{T} is the set of all stopping times adapted to \mathbb{F} .

< ∃ >

We want to solve the following problem

$$V(x,y) = \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x,y)\\\tau \in \mathcal{T}}} \mathbb{E}[U(X_{\tau} + Y_{\tau}^{y})]$$

where

(i)
$$(x, y) \in D = \{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+_*; x + y \ge 0\}.$$

(ii) $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x, y) = \{X \text{ càdlàg martingale such that for all } t \ge 0$
 $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = x; [X, Y^y]_t = 0; X_t + Y_t^y \ge 0\}.$

(iii) $au \in \mathcal{T}$ where \mathcal{T} is the set of all stopping times adapted to \mathbb{F} .

We want to solve the following problem

$$V(x,y) = \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x,y)\\\tau \in \mathcal{T}}} \mathbb{E}[U(X_{\tau} + Y_{\tau}^{y})]$$

where

(i)
$$(x, y) \in D = \{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^+; x + y \ge 0\}.$$

(ii) $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x, y) = \{X \text{ càdlàg martingale such that for all } t \ge 0$
 $\mathbb{E}[X_t] = x; [X, Y^y]_t = 0; X_t + Y_t^y \ge 0\}.$

(iii) $\tau \in \mathcal{T}$ where \mathcal{T} is the set of all stopping times adapted to \mathbb{F} .

ъ

Dynamic Programming Equation in a continuous framework

$$V^{0}(x,y) := \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp}(Y) \\ \tau \in \mathcal{T}}} \mathbb{E} \bigg[U \big(x + \int_{0}^{\tau} \alpha_{u} \ dW_{u} + Y_{\tau}^{y} \big) \bigg]$$

where

- W is an \mathcal{F}_t Brownian motion valued in \mathbb{R} such that $\langle W, B \rangle_t = 0$.
- $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}(Y)$ is the "continuous version" of $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}(Y)$.

Dynamic Programming Equation in a continuous framework

$$V^{0}(x,y) := \sup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{\perp}(Y) \\ \tau \in \mathcal{T}}} \mathbb{E} \bigg[U \big(x + \int_{0}^{\tau} \alpha_{u} \ dW_{u} + Y_{\tau}^{y} \big) \bigg]$$

where

- W is an \mathcal{F}_t Brownian motion valued in \mathbb{R} such that $\langle W, B \rangle_t = 0$.
- $\mathcal{A}^{\perp}(Y)$ is the "continuous version" of $\mathcal{M}^{\perp}(Y)$.

Define the lower semicontinuous hull of V^0 by

$$V^{0}_{*}(x,y) = \liminf_{\substack{x' \to x \\ y' \to y}} V^{0}(x,y)$$

Proposition

Assume that V^0 is locally bounded, then V^0_* is a viscosity supersolution of

$$\min\{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma(y)^2 v_{yy} - \mu(y)v_y; -v_{xx}; v - U(x+y)\} = 0 \text{ on } D$$

Image: Image:

We assume that :

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+_* \ \sigma^2(y) > 0 \text{ and } rac{|\mu(y)|}{\sigma^2(y)} \in \mathbb{L}^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^+_*)$$

We consider the process Z defined by $Z := S(Y^y)$ where S is the solution of

$$\mu(y)S'(y) + \frac{1}{2}\sigma^{2}(y)S''(y) = 0$$

 \implies S is correctly defined and Z is a local martingale.

э.

Let us introduce $D' = \{(x, z) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : x + S^{-1}(z) \ge 0\}.$

Define $\bar{V}^0(x,z) := V^0(x, S^{-1}(z))$ and \bar{V}^0_* its associated upper semicontinuous hull on D'.

We define $\bar{U}(x, z) := U(x + S^{-1}(z)).$

Proposition

Assume that \bar{V}^0 is locally bounded. Then \bar{V}^0_* is a viscosity supersolution of

$$\min\left\{ -\bar{v}_{yy} \ ; \ -\bar{v}_{xx} \ ; \ \bar{v}-\bar{U} \right\} = 0 \ \mathrm{on} \ D'$$

= 900

Determination of the Value function

 \bullet We define \bar{U}^∞ by $\bar{U}^\infty = \lim_n \bar{U}_n$ where $\left(\bar{U}_n
ight)_n$ is such that

$$\begin{split} \bar{U}_0 &= \bar{U} \\ \bar{U}_{2n} &= (\bar{U}_{2n-1})^{conc_x} \\ \bar{U}_{2n+1} &= (\bar{U}_{2n})^{conc_y} \end{split}$$

▶ < ∃ >

Determination of the Value function

• We define $ar{U}^\infty$ by $ar{U}^\infty = \lim_n ar{U}_n$ where $ig(ar{U}_nig)_n$ is such that

$$\begin{split} \bar{U}_0 &= \bar{U} \\ \bar{U}_{2n} &= (\bar{U}_{2n-1})^{conc_x} \\ \bar{U}_{2n+1} &= (\bar{U}_{2n})^{conc_y} \end{split}$$

• Then for all (x, y) in D, $V(x, y) \ge V^0(x, y) \ge \overline{U}^\infty(x, \mathcal{S}(y))$

Determination of the Value function

• We define \bar{U}^{∞} by $\bar{U}^{\infty} = \lim_{n} \bar{U}_{n}$ where $(\bar{U}_{n})_{n}$ is such that

$$\begin{split} \bar{U}_0 &= \bar{U} \\ \bar{U}_{2n} &= (\bar{U}_{2n-1})^{conc_x} \\ \bar{U}_{2n+1} &= (\bar{U}_{2n})^{conc_y} \end{split}$$

- Then for all (x, y) in D, $V(x, y) \ge V^0(x, y) \ge \overline{U}^{\infty}(x, \mathcal{S}(y))$
- Thanks to convolution arguments, we can regularize \bar{U}^{∞} . Applying Itô's formula, we get that $\bar{U}^{\infty}(X_t, Z_t)$ is a positive supermartingale and then :

$$V(x,y) \leq \sup_{\substack{X \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x,y) \\ \tau \in \mathcal{T}}} \mathbb{E}[\bar{U}^{\infty}(X_{\tau}, Z_{\tau})] \leq \bar{U}^{\infty}(x, S(y))$$

Then for all $(x, y) \in D$, $V(x, y) = \overline{U}^{\infty}(x, S(y))$.

= nar

• For two given random variables (u, v),



• We define the random variable η_i^n by :

$$\eta_i^n(u,v) = \begin{cases} a_i^n(u,v) & \text{with proba } p_i^n(u,v) \\ b_i^n(u,v) & \text{with proba } 1 - p_i^n(u,v) \end{cases}$$

and $u = p_i^n(u, v)a_i^n(u, v) + (1 - p_i^n(u, v))b_i^n(u, v).$

• For two given random variables (u, v),



• We define the random variable η_i^n by :

$$\eta_i^n(u,v) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} a_i^n(u,v) & {
m with \ proba} \ p_i^n(u,v) \ b_i^n(u,v) & {
m with \ proba} \ 1-p_i^n(u,v) \end{array}
ight.$$

and $u = p_i^n(u, v)a_i^n(u, v) + (1 - p_i^n(u, v))b_i^n(u, v).$

The ε -optimal strategies

• We define the pure jump martingale Xⁿ as follows :

$$X_t^n = x \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_1^n[$$

$$X_t^n = \eta_1^n(X_{\tau_0^n}^n, Z_{\tau_1^n}) \quad \forall t \in [\tau_1^n, \tau_2^n[$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_t^n = \eta_i^n(X_{\tau_{i-1}^n}^n, Z_{\tau_i^n}) \quad \forall t \in [\tau_i^n, \tau_{i+1}^n[$$

\Longrightarrow Optimal investment problem with fixed random maturity and non concave utility function

• We define the sequence of stopping times $(\tau^n)_{n\geq 0}$ for $i \in \{0...n+1\}$ by $\tau_0^n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \overline{U}^{\infty}(x, Z_t) = \overline{U}^{2n+1}(x, Z_t)\}$ $\tau_i^n = \inf\{t \ge \tau_{i-1}^n : \overline{U}^{2(n-i+1)+1}(X_{\tau_{i-1}^n}^n, Z_t) = \overline{U}^{2(n-i+1)}(X_{\tau_{i-1}^n}^n, Z_t)\}$ \vdots $\tau_{n+1}^n = \inf\{t \ge \tau_n^n : \overline{U}^1(X_{\tau_n^n}^n, Z_t) = \overline{U}^0(X_{\tau_n^n}^n, Z_t)\}$

 \Rightarrow Optimal stopping problem with fixed investment

э.

The ε -optimal strategies

• We define the pure jump martingale Xⁿ as follows :

$$X_{t}^{n} = x \quad \forall t \in [0, \tau_{1}^{n}[$$

$$X_{t}^{n} = \eta_{1}^{n}(X_{\tau_{0}^{n}}^{n}, Z_{\tau_{1}^{n}}) \quad \forall t \in [\tau_{1}^{n}, \tau_{2}^{n}[$$

$$\vdots$$

$$X_{t}^{n} = \eta_{i}^{n}(X_{\tau_{i-1}^{n}}^{n}, Z_{\tau_{i}^{n}}) \quad \forall t \in [\tau_{i}^{n}, \tau_{i+1}^{n}[$$



• We define the sequence of stopping times $(\tau^n)_{n\geq 0}$ for $i \in \{0 \dots n+1\}$ by $\tau_0^n = \inf\{t \ge 0 : \bar{U}^{\infty}(x, Z_t) = \bar{U}^{2n+1}(x, Z_t)\}$ $\tau_i^n = \inf\{t \ge \tau_{i-1}^n : \bar{U}^{2(n-i+1)+1}(X_{\tau_{i-1}^n}^n, Z_t) = \bar{U}^{2(n-i+1)}(X_{\tau_{i-1}^n}^n, Z_t)\}$ \vdots $\tau_{n+1}^n = \inf\{t \ge \tau_n^n : \bar{U}^1(X_{\tau_n^n}^n, Z_t) = \bar{U}^0(X_{\tau_n^n}^n, Z_t)\}$

 \implies Optimal stopping problem with fixed investment

ъ

Proposition

Assume that
$$\exists K$$
 compact subset of D' such that
 $\forall (x, z) \notin K \quad \overline{U}^{\infty}(x, z) = \overline{U}(x, z)$

Then for all (x, y) in D, for any positive constant ε , there exists n such that

$$\varepsilon + \mathbb{E}\left[\bar{U}^0(X^n_{\tau^n_{n+1}}, X_{\tau^n_{n+1}})\right] \geq \bar{U}^\infty(x, S(y))$$

and

$$\bar{U}^{\infty}(x,S(y)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{E}\bigg[\bar{U}^0(X^n_{\tau^n_{n+1}},Z_{\tau^n_{n+1}})\bigg]$$

where $(X^n, \tau_{n+1}^n) \in \mathcal{M}^{\perp}(x, y) \times \mathcal{T}$ are ε -optimal strategies.

Suppose that for all y > 0, $\mu(y) \le 0$, then

$$V(x,y) = U(x+y)$$

Idea of the proof :

$$\begin{split} \bar{U}^{\infty}(x,S(y)) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \mathbb{E} \bigg[\bar{U}^{2(n-i+1)+1} \big(X^{n}_{\tau^{n}_{i-1}}, Z_{\tau^{n}_{i-1}} \big) - \bar{U}^{2(n-i+1)} \big(X^{n}_{\tau^{n}_{i-1}}, Z_{\tau^{n}_{i}} \big) \bigg] \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \mathbb{E} \bigg[\bar{U}^{2(n-i+1)} \big(X^{n}_{\tau^{n}_{i-1}}, Z_{\tau^{n}_{i}} \big) - \bar{U}^{2(n-i+1)-1} \big(X^{n}_{\tau^{n}_{i}}, Z_{\tau^{n}_{i}} \big) \bigg] \\ &+ \mathbb{E} \bigg[\bar{U}^{0} \big(X^{n}_{\tau^{n}_{n}}, Z_{\tau^{n}_{n+1}} \big) \bigg] + \varepsilon_{n} \end{split}$$

▶ < ≣ >

= 900

We assume $\exists N > 0$ such that $\forall n \ge N$ $\bar{U}^{\infty} = \bar{U}_n$

- This assumption is realistic since our problem with a power and positive utility function could be obtained with an *N* equal to 2.
- The optimal rules X^N and τ^N_{N+1} are optimal strategies. That is to say,

$$V(x,y) = \mathbb{E}\left[U\left(X_{\tau_{N+1}}^{N} + Y_{\tau_{N+1}}^{y}\right)\right]$$

ъ

Our results are consistent with those obtained by Hobson and Henderson for a power utility function but we generalize their work in several ways.

- We use a more general diffusion for the indivisible asset Y.
- Our problem considers a more general utility function.
- We provide a new methodology to solve this problem.

What we have to do now :

• We have to check the case a of non positive utility function.