Sample Path Large Deviations and Optimal Importance Sampling for Stochastic Volatility Models

Scott Robertson

Carnegie Mellon University scottrob@andrew.cmu.edu http://www.math.cmu.edu/users/scottrob

June 23, 2010

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ★□▶ ★□▶ □ のQ@

Motivation

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Research goal

- Find a robust method to improve Monte Carlo simulation performance when valuating path dependent options.
- Valid for stochastic volatility models.

To achieve this goal

- Use sample path Large Deviations Principles (LDP) to identify an *asymptotically optimal* importance sampling change of drift.
- Problem : standard LDP results do not apply to stochastic volatility models.
 - Volatility can degenerate, local Lipschitz condition violated.

Secondary research goal

• Prove LDP for common stochastic volatility models (e.g. Heston, Hull & White).

Talk Outline

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

Focus on path dependent option pricing.

- Problem setup.
- Review of Importance Sampling.
- Overview on constructing Asymptotically Optimal changes of drift.
 - Valid for general diffusions.
- Specification to Heston stochastic volatility model.
- Numerical example
 - Asian put option in Heston model.

Path Dependent Option Pricing

Setup:

- $S = \{S_t; 0 \le t \le T\}$: Price process
- G = G(S): Path dependent option payoff

Closed-form solution for $E_P[G]$ not easily calculated.

Primary example

• Heston model:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dS_t}{S_t} &= rdt + \sqrt{v_t} dW_t \\ dv_t &= \kappa \left(\theta - v_t\right) dt + \xi \sqrt{v_t} dB_t \\ d\langle W, B \rangle_t &= \rho dt \end{aligned}$$

Asian put option:

$$G(S) = \left(K - \frac{1}{T}\int_0^T S_t dt\right)^+$$

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Monte Carlo Simulation

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

To calculate $E_P[G]$, run a Monte Carlo simulation.

• Robust : only have to replicate price/volatility dynamics.

Problem : simulation inefficient if G only pays off in rare events.

- $G \neq 0$ a "Large Deviation" from the norm.
- Asian put : $K >> S_0$.

Estimating confidence intervals is difficult.

• Simulation variance artificially low.

Improving the Monte Carlo Simulation

Goal : Run an effective Monte Carlo simulation by using *Importance Sampling*.

• Change simulation measure from P to Q and change option payoff from G to $G \frac{dP}{dQ}$ so that

$$E_Q\left[G\frac{dP}{dQ}\right] = E_P\left[G\right]$$

Variance under *Q*:

$$\operatorname{Var}_{Q}\left[G\frac{dP}{dQ}\right] = E_{P}\left[G^{2}\frac{dP}{dQ}\right] - E_{P}\left[G\right]^{2}$$

Optimization problem : $\min_{Q \in \mathcal{A}} E_P \left[G^2 \frac{dP}{dQ} \right]$

• \mathcal{A} an appropriate family of equivalent measures.

Example

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

Arithmetic average Asian put option

$$G(S) = \left(K - rac{1}{T}\int_0^T S_t dt\right)^+$$

in the Heston model when $K >> S_0$.

Change of measure corresponds to two changes in drift:

- One for the volatility v.
- One for the asset price S.

Change the drift so option is more in the money.

• Compensate for change in drift by including the "scaling factor" in the option payoff.

Optimization Considerations

ション ふゆ アメリア メリア しょうくの

General optimization problem ill-posed : zero variance achieved for

$$\frac{dQ}{dP} = \frac{G}{E_P\left[G\right]}$$

• Not allowable because $E_P[G]$ unknown in the first place. Questions:

- How to adjust notion of optimality?
- How to choose an appropriate family of measures A?
- How to provide an optimal answer for a large class of functionals G?

Previous Work

Glasserman, Heidelberger, Shahabuddin (1999): use LDP to find an efficient change of measure.

- Work in Black-Scholes model. Partition [0, *T*] to reduce to a finite dimensional problem.
- Approximate $E_P\left[G^2\frac{dP}{dQ}\right]$ by taking an asymptotic expansion as noise parameter goes away.
- Solve an associated minimization problem.

Guasoni, R. (2008) : extend methodology to continuous time in Black-Scholes model.

- Find an optimal continuous change of drift.
- Characterize optimal change of drift via an Euler-Lagrange equation, possibly with an explicit solution.

Asymptotic Optimality - General Idea

For now, consider the optimization problem:

$$\inf_{Q\in\mathcal{A}} E_P\left[G(X)^2 \frac{dP}{dQ}\right]$$

X is a d-dimensional diffusion satisfying

$$dX_t = b(X_t)dt + \sigma(X_t)dW_t;$$
 $X_0 = x$

where $b: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma: \mathbb{R}^d \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$

Construct \mathcal{A} by taking Cameron-Martin-Girsanov changes of measure:

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ P^h \mid \frac{dP^h}{dP} = \exp\left(\int_0^T u(h)'_t dW_t - \frac{1}{2}\int_0^T \|u(h)_t\|^2 dt\right), h \in \mathbb{H}_T^X \right\}$$

where

$$u(h)_t = \sigma^{-1}(h_t) \left(\dot{h}_t - b(h_t) \right)$$
$$\mathbb{H}_T^X = \left\{ h \mid h(0) = x, \int_0^T \|u(h)_t\|^2 dt < \infty \right\}$$

Asymptotic Optimality (2)

Imbed X into the family of diffusions (for $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$):

$$dX_t^\varepsilon = b(X_t^\varepsilon)dt + \sqrt{\varepsilon}\sigma(X_t^\varepsilon)dW_t; \qquad X_0^\varepsilon = x$$

For $h \in \mathbb{H}_T^X$ set

$$H^{h}(X,W) = 2\log G(X) - \int_{0}^{T} u(h)_{t}' dW_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} ||u(h)_{t}||^{2} dt$$

With $W^{\varepsilon} = \sqrt{\varepsilon}W$

$$E_{P}\left[G^{2}(X)\frac{dP}{dP^{h}}\right] = E_{P}\left[\exp\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}H^{h}(X^{\varepsilon},W^{\varepsilon})\right)\right]$$

at $\varepsilon = 1$. The small noise approximation is

$$L(h) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \log E_{P} \left[\exp \left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon} H^{h}(X^{\varepsilon}, W^{\varepsilon}) \right) \right]$$

 \hat{h} is asymptotically optimal if

$$\hat{h} = \underset{\{h \in \mathbb{H}^{X}_{T}\}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L(h)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

Asymptotic Optimality and LDP

As $\varepsilon \downarrow 0$, $(X^{\varepsilon}, W^{\varepsilon})$ "converges" to $(\phi_t, 0)$ where ϕ solves

 $\dot{\phi}_t = b(\phi_t), \qquad \phi_0 = x$

Sample path LDP identify precise rate of convergence for the law of $(X^{\varepsilon}, W^{\varepsilon})$ to $\delta_{(\phi,0)}$.

Classical result (Freidlin-Wentzell): for $H : C[0, T]^2 \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ bounded, continuous (supremum norm topology)

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \log E\left[e^{-\frac{1}{\varepsilon}H(X^{\varepsilon},W^{\varepsilon})}\right] = -\inf_{\{(\phi,\psi) \in C[0,T]^2\}}\left(H(\phi,\psi) + I(\phi,\psi)\right)$$

- Valid for b, σ bounded, Lipschitz (some relaxation OK)
- Rate function:

$$I(\phi,\psi) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \|u(\phi)_t\|^2 dt & \phi \in \mathbb{H}_T^X, \psi = u(\phi) \\ \infty & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Variational Considerations

Freidlin-Wentzell asymptotics imply

$$L(h) = \sup_{\{\phi \in \mathbb{H}_{T}^{X}\}} \left(2\log G(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u(h)_{t} - u(\phi)_{t}\|^{2} dt - \int_{0}^{T} \|u(\phi)_{t}\|^{2} dt \right)$$

Asymptotically optimal change of measure found by solving

$$\inf_{\{h \in \mathbb{H}_{T}^{X}\}} \sup_{\{\phi \in \mathbb{H}_{T}^{X}\}} \left(2\log G(\phi) + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u(h)_{t} - u(\phi)_{t}\|^{2} dt - \int_{0}^{T} \|u(\phi)_{t}\|^{2} dt \right)$$
(1)

A lower bound:

$$\sup_{\{\phi \in \mathbb{H}_{T}^{X}\}} \left(2 \log G(\phi) - \int_{0}^{T} \|u(\phi)_{t}\|^{2} dt \right)$$
(2)

ション ふゆ く 山 マ チャット しょうくしゃ

Practical plan:

- Solve (2) and find maximizer $\hat{\phi}$.
- With $\hat{h} = \hat{\phi}$, see if $L(\hat{h})$ equals value in (2).

Interpretation

For any family Q^{ε} of equivalent measures

$$\begin{split} \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \log E_P \left[G(X^{\varepsilon})^{2/\varepsilon} \frac{dP}{dQ^{\varepsilon}} \right] &\geq 2 \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \varepsilon \log E_P \left[G(X^{\varepsilon})^{1/\varepsilon} \right] \\ &= \sup_{\{\phi \in \mathbb{H}_T^X\}} \left(2 \log G(\phi) - \int_0^T \|u(\phi)_t\|^2 dt \right) \end{split}$$

• If practical plan works, \hat{h} is robust.

Consider when X = W. Euler-Lagrange equation for (2):

$$D_{\eta}\left(2\log G(\phi) - \int_{0}^{T} \|\dot{\phi}_{t}\|^{2} dt\right) = 0$$
 D_{η} : Gâteaux derivative towards η

If G is Fréchet differentiable, using a Taylor expansion

$$E_{P\hat{h}}\left[G(W)\frac{dP}{dP^{\hat{h}}}\right] = G(\phi)\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}\int_{0}^{T} \left\|\dot{\phi}_{t}\right\|^{2} dt\right) E_{P\hat{h}}\left[\exp\left(R(W)\right)\right]$$

where R(W) contains no linear terms.

Variance due to linear part of log(G) eliminated.

Application to Heston Model

In the Heston model, X = (S, v), W = (B, Z) and

$$b(s,v) = \begin{pmatrix} rs \\ \kappa(\theta-v) \end{pmatrix} \qquad \sigma(s,v) = \begin{pmatrix} \rho s \sqrt{v} & \bar{\rho} s \sqrt{v} \\ \xi \sqrt{v} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\bar{\rho} = \sqrt{1 - \rho^2}$.

BIG PROBLEM : σ is neither elliptic nor locally Lipschitz.

• Freidlin Wentzell LDP must be extended.

Fortunately:

- If v satisfies a LDP by itself, then so does (S, v). (R. (2010))
- v satisfies LDP (Donati-Martin, Rouault, Yor, Zani (2004))

Application (2) - Questions

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ = ・ ・ 日 ・ うへつ

Does the Freidlin-Wentzell result apply to the unbounded and discontinuous function

$$H^{h}(X,W) = 2\log G(X) - \int_{0}^{T} u(h)'_{t} dW_{t} + \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \|u(h)_{t}\|^{2} dt ?$$

• Yes, if G bounded from above and h smooth enough.

$$\int_0^T u(h)'_t dW_t = u(h)_T W_T - \int_0^T \dot{u}(h)'_t W_t dt$$

Do the variational problems in (1) and (2) admit maximizers?

- Yes, if G is continuous and bounded from above. (R. (2010))
 - Transfer problem to $L^2[0, T]$ via $u : \mathbb{H}^{(S,v)}_T \mapsto L^2[0, T]$.
 - u^{-1} , G weakly continuous, functionals in (1), (2) coercive.

Numerical Example

For the Asian put option, the following parameter values are considered (Heston (1993))

$$\begin{split} \kappa &= 2, \theta = 0.09, \xi = 0.2, v_0 = 0.04, \\ r &= 0.05, \, T = 1, \, S_0 = 50, \, K = 30, \, \rho = -0.5. \end{split}$$

Asymptotic Optimality holds for \hat{h} solving (2) with these values.

Optimal price drift



Numerical Example (2)

Optimal volatility drift



Interpretation:

- Under *P* the option is out of the money.
- To bring the option into the money either
 - The "average" price path must come down.
 - The "average" volatility must go up.

Future Work

・ロト ・ 日 ・ エ = ・ ・ 日 ・ うへつ

Run numerical simulations to see actual variance reduction.

• Black-Scholes model : 5X - 10X variance reduction typical. Does this carry over?

Apply methodology to options which depend more directly on volatility.

- Out of the money call or put : variance reduction obtained primarily by changing price drift.
- What about for a straddle option? No obvious direction to move the price.

Derive LDP for other stochastic volatility models.

• SABR, CEV

Conclusion

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ★臣▶ ―臣 …の�?

THANK YOU!

Scott Robertson

scottrob@andrew.cmu.edu

www.math.cmu.edu/users/scottrob