

1. Ex 36 Here  $Z_t = JN_t - Jct$   $N_t$  Poisson with rate  $c$ .

$$d\lambda_t = (k(\theta - \lambda) - Jc)dt + JdN_t$$

Generator =  $[Af](\lambda) = (k(\theta - \lambda) - Jc)\partial_\lambda f + c(f(\lambda + J) - f(\lambda))$

Feynman-Kac implies  $f(s, t, \lambda) := E[e^{-\int_s^t \lambda u du} | \mathcal{G}_s]$

solves 
$$\begin{cases} \partial_s f + Af - \lambda f = 0 & s < t \\ f(t, t, \lambda) = 1 \end{cases}$$

Put  $f = \exp[A(s, t) + B(s, t)\lambda]$  and plug in:

$$(\partial_s A + \partial_s B \lambda) f + (k(\theta - \lambda) - Jc) B f + c f [e^{BJ} - 1] - \lambda f = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{cases} \partial_s A = (Jc - k\theta) B + c[1 - e^{BJ}] & , A(t, t) = 0 \\ \partial_s B = kB - 1 & , B(t, t) = 0 \end{cases}$$

[8] Note  $P[\tau > t | \mathcal{G}_s] = H_s^c f(s, t, \lambda_s)$ . (by Lando formula).

2. Ex 37 (5.31) = apply  $E[\cdot]$  to get

$$d\mu(t) = k(\theta - \mu(t))dt, \quad \mu(t) = E[\lambda(t)]$$

[2] As  $t \rightarrow \infty$   $\mu(t) \rightarrow \theta$  if  $k > 0$ .

MATLAB = [4]

4. Ex 44 Price at time  $t < T := H_t^c \tilde{V}_t$

$$= E^Q [ H_T^c e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} V_T | \mathcal{F}_t ]$$

Risk Neutral Pricing

$$= H_T^c E^Q [ e^{-\int_t^T r_s ds} \cdot V_T \cdot e^{-\int_t^T \lambda_s ds} | \mathcal{G}_t ]$$

by Prop'n 5.3.1 #3

[3]

with  $Y = e^{-\int_t^T \lambda_s ds} \cdot V_T$ .

\* NUMBERING GOT SCREWED UP \* similar soln for #43

4. Ex 45 IF  $\tau \leq T$ , contract pays you  $(\tilde{V}_T)^-$  (2)

which is of course negative.

Here  $\tilde{V}_T$  is the predefault value of swap (to you).

IF  $\tau > T$ , contract pays  $V_T$  at time  $T$ .

RN valuation for  $t < T$  gives

$$H_t^c \cdot \tilde{V}_t = E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_t^T r_u du} V_T H_T^c + e^{-\int_t^T r_u du} (\tilde{V}_T)^- (H_t^c - H_T^c) \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

$$= H_t^c E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_t^T (r_u + \lambda_u) du} V_T + \int_t^T (\tilde{V}_u)^- \lambda_u e^{-\int_t^u (r_v + \lambda_v) dv} \mid \mathcal{F}_t \right]$$

[5] by Prop'n 5.3.1 #3 and #4. (note we need  $\tilde{V}$   $\mathcal{F}$ -meas).

$$5. \text{ Value at } t=0 = 1 = \left(\frac{c}{2}\right)^* \sum_{i=1}^{40} E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_0^{i/2} r_u du} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > i/2\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_0 \right]$$

$$+ E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_0^{20} r_u du} \mathbb{1}_{\{\tau > 20\}} \mid \mathcal{F}_0 \right]$$

$$[3] + R \int_0^{20} E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_0^t r_u du} (H_0^c - H_t^c) \mid \mathcal{F}_0 \right]$$

where  $\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)$  is the twice yearly coupon.

$c^*$  obtained by solving.

Default-free case

$$[2] 1 = \left(\frac{c}{2}\right) \sum_{i=1}^{40} E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_0^{i/2} r_u du} \right] + E^Q \left[ e^{-\int_0^{20} r_u du} \right]$$

Expect  $c^* - c \sim (1-R) \times$  "average  $\lambda$ ".

③

Computations are based on

$$\bar{P}_0(t) = E \left[ e^{-\int_0^t (r+\lambda) ds} \right] = E \left[ e^{-\int_0^t [(a+c)X_s + (b+d)Y_s] ds} \right]$$

(NOTE  $X, Y$  are independent!)

[2] 
$$= F^{VAS}(t; X_0; a+c, 0) \times F^{VAS}(t; Y_0; b+d, 0)$$

$\uparrow$  X parameters                       $\uparrow$  Y parameters

[1] Similarly 
$$P_0(t) = F^{VAS}(t; X_0; a, 0) \times F^{VAS}(t; Y_0; b, 0)$$

Also: Recovery term

$$= R \int_0^{20} E \left[ (cX_t + dY_t) e^{-\int_0^t [(a+c)X_s + (b+d)Y_s] ds} \right] dt$$

Note: 
$$E \left[ X_t e^{-\int_0^t aX_s ds} \right] = -\partial_{c_2} F^{VAS}(t, X_0; a, c_2) \Big|_{c_2=0}$$

$= -\frac{1}{a} \partial_t F^{VAS}(t, X_0; a, 0)$

$c_2=0$

Riemann sum approximation with  $\Delta t = \frac{1}{2}$  should be OK.

[2] 
$$\sim \frac{R}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{40} \left[ c(-\partial_{c_2} F^{VAS})(t_i, X_0; a+c, 0) \times F^{VAS}(t_i, Y_0; b+d, 0) \right. \\ \left. + d F^{VAS}(t_i, X_0; a+c, 0) \times (-\partial_{c_2} F^{VAS})(t_i, Y_0; b+d, 0) \right]$$

Admittedly this quite a mess! USE MAPLE or Mathematica?

MORAL: It requires care + determination + MAPLE to correctly implement this

[4] kind of model!

6. Same as above, but replace  $N=40$  by  $N=1, 2, \dots, 60$ . Plot  $c^*$  vs  $N/2$ . (4)

[6]

7. 1. • For  $i \neq j$   $\Lambda_{ij}(s) = \lim_{t \downarrow s} \frac{\pi_{ij}(s, t)}{t-s} \geq 0$

since  $\pi_{ij}(s, t) \geq 0$  all  $i, j, s < t$ .

• since  $\pi_{ii}(s, t) + \sum_{j \neq i} \pi_{ij}(s, t) = 1$  for all  $s, s < t$

For all  $i$   $\Lambda_{ii}(s) = \lim_{t \downarrow s} \frac{\pi_{ii}(s, t) - 1}{t-s} = - \sum_{j \neq i} \lim_{t \downarrow s} \frac{\pi_{ij}(s, t)}{t-s}$   
 $= - \sum_{j \neq i} \Lambda_{ij}(s)$  (from above).

[3] •  $\Lambda_{ij}$  is stochastic matrix (according to eqns 6.26, 6.27)

2. Note that  $\sum_{j=0}^K \pi_{ij}(s, u) \pi_{jk}(u, t) = \pi_{ik}(s, t)$

for all  $i, k$  and  $u \in (s, t)$ .

Thus  $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\pi_{ik}(s, t+h) - \pi_{ik}(s, t)}{h}$   
 $= \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \sum_j \left( \pi_{ij}(s, t) \pi_{jk}(t, t+h) - \pi_{ij}(s, t) \delta_{jk} \right) \right)$   
 $= \sum_j \pi_{ij}(s, t) \lim_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{h} \left( \pi_{jk}(t, t+h) - \delta_{jk} \right)$

[3]  $= \sum_j \pi_{ij}(s, t) \Lambda_{jk}(t)$  as wanted

7. 3.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Pi(s, t) = B e^{\int_s^t \mu(u) du} \cdot \mu(t) B^{-1} \quad (5)$$

[2]

$$= \left( B e^{-\int_s^t \mu(u) du} B^{-1} \right) (B \cdot \mu(t) \cdot B^{-1})$$

$$= \Pi(s, t) \wedge(t) \quad \text{as wanted}$$

~~A~~