# Conductivity imaging from one interior measurement

Amir Moradifam

<span id="page-0-0"></span>(University of Toronto)

Fields Institute, July 24, 2012

### A convergent algorithm to solve

$$
u = \operatorname{argmin} \{ \int_{\Omega} |J| |\nabla v| : v \in H^1(\Omega), \quad v|_{\partial \Omega} = f \}.
$$

#### Joint work with A. Nachman and A. Timonov

Let  $u_f \in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $u_f\mid_\Omega = f$ . Then our weighted minimization problem can be written as

$$
(P) \qquad \inf_{v \in H_0^1(\Omega)} \int_{\Omega} |J| |\nabla v + \nabla u_f|.
$$

The dual problem is

 $(D) \quad \sup\{<\nabla u_f, b>:\ \ b\in (L^2(\Omega))^n,\ \ |b(x)|\leq |J(x)|\ \ a.e. \ \text{ and } \ \nabla\cdot b\equiv 0\}.$ 

# Theorem (M, A. Nachman, A. Timonov (2011))

Assume that the data  $(|J|, f)$  is admissible. Then

$$
\inf_{v\in H_0^1(\Omega)}\int_{\Omega}|J||\nabla v+\nabla u_f|
$$

=  $\sup\{<\nabla u_f,b>\colon~~ b\in (L^2(\Omega))^n,~~|b(x)|\leq |J(x)|\,~~\hbox{\rm a.e. and}~~\nabla\cdot b\equiv 0\}$ 

and the current density J corresponding to the voltage potential f on  $\partial\Omega$  is the unique solution of the dual problem.

Let  $E: (L^2(\Omega))^n \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $G: H^1_0(\Omega) \to \mathbb{R}$  be defined by

$$
E(d) = \int_{\Omega} |J||d + \nabla u_f| \text{ and } G(v) \equiv 0.
$$

Then the dual problem can be written in the form

$$
(D) \qquad - \min_{b \in (L^2(\Omega))^n} \{E^*(b) + G^*(-\nabla \cdot b)\}.
$$

Since J is the solution of the dual problem

$$
0\in \partial E^*(J)+\partial [G^*o(-\nabla\cdot)](J).
$$

Let  $A := \partial E^*(J)$  and  $B := \partial [G^* o(-\nabla \cdot)].$  Then above can be written as  $0 \in A(J) + B(J)$ ,

where  $A$  and  $B$  are maximal monotone set-valued operators.

To solve

$$
0\in A(J)+B(J)
$$

we apply a Douglas-Rachford algorithm. This algorithm produces two sequences  $p_k$  and  $x_k$  such that

$$
p_k \rightharpoonup J
$$
 and  $x_k \rightharpoonup \nabla u$ .

## Theorem (Lions and Mercier (1979), Svaiter (2010))

Let H be a Hilbert space and A, B be maximal monotone operators and assume that a solution of [\(1\)](#page-6-0) exists. Then, for any initial elements  $x_0$  and  $p_0$  the sequences  $p_k$  and  $x_k$  generated by the following algorithm

$$
x_{k+1} = R_A(2p_k - x_k) + x_k - p_k
$$
  

$$
p_{k+1} = R_B(x_{k+1}),
$$

converges weakly to some  $\hat{x}$  and  $\hat{p}$  respectively. Furthermore,  $\hat{p} = R_B(\hat{x})$  and  $\hat{p}$ satisfies

<span id="page-6-0"></span>
$$
0\in A(\hat{p})+B(\hat{p}). \qquad (1)
$$

$$
R_A = (Id + A)^{-1}
$$

Let  $u_f\in H^1(\Omega)$  with  $u_f|_{\partial\Omega}=f$ , and initialize  $b^0,d^0\in (L^2(\Omega))^n$ . For  $k \geq 1$ :

$$
\Delta u^{k+1} = \nabla \cdot (d^k(x) - b^k(x)), \quad u^{k+1}|_{\partial \Omega} = f.
$$

<sup>2</sup> Compute

**1** Solve

$$
d^{k+1} := \begin{cases} \max\{|\nabla u^{k+1} + b^k| - |J|, 0\} \frac{\nabla u^{k+1} + b^k}{|\nabla u^{k+1} + b^k|} & \text{if } |\nabla u^{k+1}(x) + b^k(x)| \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } |\nabla u^{k+1}(x) + b^k(x)| = 0. \end{cases}
$$

$$
b^{k+1}(x) = b^{k}(x) + \nabla u^{k+1}(x) - d^{k+1}(x).
$$

This is an alternating split Bregman algorithm of Goldstein and Osher applied to the primal problem (P).

## Theorem (M, A. Nachman, A. Timonov (2011))

The sequences  $b^k$ ,  $d^k$ , and  $u^k$  produced by the above algorithm converge weakly to J,  $\nabla u$ , and u, respectively.

So we are simultaneously solving the primal and the dual problem.

# Numerical simulations

To simulate the internal data  $|J|$  we use a CT (Computed Tomography) image of human abdomen rescaled to a realistic range of tissue conductivities.





Figure: Original image (left) and reconstructed image with 60 iterations (right).



Figure: Conductivity reconstruction with the boundary condition  $f(x, y) = y$  for  $N = 1, 5, 10, 30, 50, 100$  iterations.



Figure: Magnitude of the current density | J for the non two-to-one boundary data  $f(x, y) = y + 2 \sin(7\pi y)$ .



Figure: Conductivities constructed using the alternating split Bregman algorithm with  $N = 1, 5, 10, 30, 50, 100$  iterates for the non two-to-one boundary data  $f(x, y) = y + 2 \sin(7\pi y).$ 

## Numerical errors for 100 iterations.







<span id="page-15-0"></span>Figure: Reconstruction in the presence of the perfectly conducting (right) and insulating (left) inclusions.