The Central Curve in Linear Programming

Cynthia Vinzant, U. Michigan

joint work with Jesús De Loera and Bernd Sturmfels

 \leftarrow \Box

Linear Program: Maximize_{x∈Rn} $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$.

 \leftarrow \Box

 \sim **A** B K 重

na ⊞is

Linear Program: Maximize_{x∈Rn} $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$.

Replace by : Maximize_{x∈Rn} $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$,

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \log |x_i|$.

SALE AND IN 重

Linear Program: Maximize_{x∈Rn} $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$.

Replace by : Maximize_{x∈Rn} $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$,

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \log |x_i|$.

The maximum of the function f_{λ} is attained by a unique point $\mathbf{x}^*(\lambda)$ in the the open polytope $\{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^n : A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}.$

5 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 1

Linear Program: Maximize_{x∈Rn} $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{x} \ge 0$.

Replace by : Maximize_{x∈Rn} $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x})$ s.t. $A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$,

where $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) := \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^n \log |x_i|$.

The maximum of the function f_{λ} is attained by a unique point $\mathbf{x}^*(\lambda)$ in the the open polytope $\{\mathbf{x} \in (\mathbb{R}_{>0})^n : A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}.$

The central path is $\{x^*(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$ As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the path leads from the analytic center of the polytope, $\mathbf{x}^*(\infty)$, to the optimal vertex, $\mathbf{x}^*(0)$.

The central path is $\{x^*(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$ As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the path leads from the analytic center of the polytope, $\mathbf{x}^*(\infty)$, to the optimal vertex, $\mathbf{x}^*(0)$.

The central path is $\{x^*(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$ As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the path leads from the analytic center of the polytope, $\mathbf{x}^*(\infty)$, to the optimal vertex, $\mathbf{x}^*(0)$.

へのへ

Interior point methods \approx piecewise-linear approx. of this path

The central path is $\{x^*(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$ As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the path leads from the analytic center of the polytope, $\mathbf{x}^*(\infty)$, to the optimal vertex, $\mathbf{x}^*(0)$.

へのへ

Interior point methods \approx piecewise-linear approx. of this path

Bounds on curvature of the path \rightarrow bounds on $\#$ Newton steps

The central path is $\{x^*(\lambda) : \lambda > 0\}.$ As $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the path leads from the analytic center of the polytope, $\mathbf{x}^*(\infty)$, to the optimal vertex, $\mathbf{x}^*(0)$.

へのへ

Interior point methods \approx piecewise-linear approx. of this path

Bounds on curvature of the path \rightarrow bounds on $\#$ Newton steps

We can use concepts from algebraic geometry and matroid theory to bound the total curvature of the central path.

The Central Curve

The central curve $\mathcal C$ is the Zariski closure of the central path. It contains the central paths of all polyhedra in the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i = 0\}_{i=1,\dots,n} \subset \{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}.$

The Central Curve

The central curve $\mathcal C$ is the Zariski closure of the central path. It contains the central paths of all polyhedra in the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i = 0\}_{i=1,\dots,n} \subset \{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}.$

Goal: Study the nice algebraic geometry of this curve and its applications to the linear program

 \leftarrow \Box

A + + = +

重

4 三 日

Deza-Terlaky-Zinchenko (2008) make continuous Hirsch conjecture Conjecture: The total curvature of the central path is at most $O(n)$.

Deza-Terlaky-Zinchenko (2008) make continuous Hirsch conjecture Conjecture: The total curvature of the central path is at most $O(n)$.

Dedieu-Malajovich-Shub (2005) apply differential and algebraic geometry to bound the total curvature of the central path.

Deza-Terlaky-Zinchenko (2008) make continuous Hirsch conjecture Conjecture: The total curvature of the central path is at most $O(n)$.

Dedieu-Malajovich-Shub (2005) apply differential and algebraic geometry to bound the total curvature of the central path.

Bayer-Lagarias (1989) study the central path as an algebraic object and suggest the problem of identifying its defining equations.

Deza-Terlaky-Zinchenko (2008) make continuous Hirsch conjecture Conjecture: The total curvature of the central path is at most $O(n)$.

Dedieu-Malajovich-Shub (2005) apply differential and algebraic geometry to bound the total curvature of the central path.

Bayer-Lagarias (1989) study the central path as an algebraic object and suggest the problem of identifying its defining equations.

Our contribution is to use results from algebraic geometry and matroid theory to find defining equations of the central curve and refine bounds on its degree and total curvature.

 $A \cap B$ is a $B \cap A \cap B$ is

- Algebraic conditions for optimality
- Degree of the curve (and other combinatorial data)
- Total curvature and the Gauss map
- Defining equations
- The primal-dual picture

Here we assume that . . .

1) A is a $d \times n$ matrix of rank-d (possibly very special), and

2) $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^d$ are generic.

(This ensures that the central curve is irreducible and nonsingular.)

... of the function $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|$ in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}\$:

 \leftarrow \Box

→ メ唐 → メ唐 → …

重

... of the function $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|$ in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}\$:

 $\nabla f_\lambda(\mathsf{x}) = \mathsf{c} + \lambda \mathsf{x}^{-1} \in \mathsf{span}\{\mathsf{rows}(A)\}$

K @ ▶ K 로 ▶ K 로 ▶ _ 로 _ K 9 Q @

... of the function $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|$ in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}\$:

$$
\nabla f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\} + \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{c}
$$

 \leftarrow \Box

→ メ唐 → メ唐 → …

重

... of the function $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|$ in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}\$:

$$
\nabla f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\} + \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{c}
$$

$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A), \mathbf{c}\}
$$

 \leftarrow \Box

→ メ唐 → メ唐 → …

重

... of the function $f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|$ in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}\$:

$$
\nabla f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\} + \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{c}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A), \mathbf{c}\} =: \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}
$$

 \leftarrow m.

→ メ唐 → メ唐 → …

重

... of the function
$$
f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|
$$
 in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}$:

$$
\nabla f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\} + \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{c}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A), \mathbf{c}\} =: \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}^{-1}
$$

 $2Q$

扂

where \mathcal{L}_A^{-1} $_{A,\mathbf{c}}^{-1}$ denotes the coordinate-wise reciprocal $\mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}$:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}^{-1} := \overline{\left\{ (u_1^{-1}, \ldots, u_n^{-1}) \quad \text{where} \quad (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}} \right\}}
$$

... of the function
$$
f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} + \lambda \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log |x_i|
$$
 in $\{A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}\}$:

$$
\nabla f_{\lambda}(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c} + \lambda \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A)\} + \lambda^{-1}\mathbf{c}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x}^{-1} \in \text{span}\{\text{rows}(A), \mathbf{c}\} =: \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}
$$

\n
$$
\Rightarrow \mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}^{-1}
$$

へのへ

where \mathcal{L}_A^{-1} $_{A,\mathbf{c}}^{-1}$ denotes the coordinate-wise reciprocal $\mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}$:

$$
\mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}}^{-1} := \overline{\left\{ (u_1^{-1}, \ldots, u_n^{-1}) \quad \text{where} \quad (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathcal{L}_{A,\mathbf{c}} \right\}}
$$

Proposition. The central curve equals the intersection of the central sheet ${\mathcal L}_{A,{\mathbf c}}^{-1}$ with the affine space $\,\big\{A\cdot{\mathbf x}={\mathbf b}\big\}.$

Level sets of the cost function

Consider intersecting the central curve $\mathcal C$ with the level set $\{c \cdot x = c_0\}$.

 \leftarrow \Box

 $2Q$

后

Level sets of the cost function

Consider intersecting the central curve $\mathcal C$ with the level set $\{c \cdot x = c_0\}$.

へのへ

Observations:

- 1) There is exactly one point of $C \cap {\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} = c_0}$ in each bounded region of the induced hyperplane arrangement.
- 2) This number is the same for almost any choice of c_0 .

Level sets of the cost function

Consider intersecting the central curve $\mathcal C$ with the level set $\{c \cdot x = c_0\}$.

つへへ

Observations:

- 1) There is exactly one point of $C \cap {c \cdot x = c_0}$ in each bounded region of the induced hyperplane arrangement.
- 2) This number is the same for almost any choice of c_0 .

Claim: The points $C \cap \{c \cdot x = c_0\}$ are the analytic centers of the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i=0\}_{i\in[n]}$ in $\{A\cdot\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},\ \mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{x}=c_0\}.$

Level sets of the cost function and analytic centers

Claim: The points $C \cap \{c \cdot x = c_0\}$ are the analytic centers of the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i=0\}_{i\in[n]}$ in $\{A\cdot\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},~\mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{x}=c_0\}.$

Level sets of the cost function and analytic centers

Claim: The points $C \cap \{c \cdot x = c_0\}$ are the analytic centers of the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i=0\}_{i\in[n]}$ in $\{A\cdot\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},~\mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{x}=c_0\}.$

 \Rightarrow # bounded regions of induced hyperplane arrangement \leq deg(C)

Level sets of the cost function and analytic centers

Claim: The points $C \cap \{c \cdot x = c_0\}$ are the analytic centers of the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i=0\}_{i\in[n]}$ in $\{A\cdot\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},~\mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{x}=c_0\}.$

 \Rightarrow # bounded regions of induced hyperplane arrangement \leq deg(C)

Theorem: The number of bounded regions in hyperplane arrangement induced by ${c \cdot x = c_0}$ equals the degree of the central curve $\mathcal C$. Thus, deg $(\mathcal C) \leq \binom{n-1}{d}$ $_d^{-1}$), with equality for generic A.

Claim: The points $C \cap \{c \cdot x = c_0\}$ are the analytic centers of the hyperplane arrangement $\{x_i=0\}_{i\in[n]}$ in $\{A\cdot\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{b},~\mathbf{c}\cdot\mathbf{x}=c_0\}.$

 \Rightarrow # bounded regions of induced hyperplane arrangement \leq deg(C)

Theorem: The number of bounded regions in hyperplane arrangement induced by ${c \cdot x = c_0}$ equals the degree of the central curve $\mathcal C$. Thus, deg $(\mathcal C) \leq \binom{n-1}{d}$ $_d^{-1}$), with equality for generic A.

For matroid enthusiasts, this number is the absolute value of the Möbius invariant of $\binom{A}{c}$.

Proudfoot and Speyer (2006) determine the ideal of polynomials vanishing on $\mathcal{L}_{A,\epsilon}^{-1}$ A, C and its Hilbert series.

 $2Q$

目

SACTOR

4 三 日

Proudfoot and Speyer (2006) determine the ideal of polynomials vanishing on $\mathcal{L}_{A,\epsilon}^{-1}$ A, C and its Hilbert series.

Using the matroid associated to \mathcal{L}_{AB}^{-1} A, C , they construct a simplicial complex containing combinatorial data of this ideal.

つへへ

Proudfoot and Speyer (2006) determine the ideal of polynomials vanishing on $\mathcal{L}_{A,\epsilon}^{-1}$ A, C and its Hilbert series.

Using the matroid associated to \mathcal{L}_{AB}^{-1} A, C , they construct a simplicial complex containing combinatorial data of this ideal.

$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0\n\end{pmatrix}
$$
\n{123, 1245,
\n1345, 2345}
\n1345, 2345
\n
$$
h = (1, 2, 2)
$$
\nmatrix\n
$$
h = (1, 2, 2)
$$
\nSimplifying the equation
$$
h = (1, 2, 2)
$$

 \Rightarrow $\deg(\mathcal{C}) = \sum_{i=0}^d h_i$ and $\text{genus}(\mathcal{C}) = 1 - \sum_{j=0}^d (1-j) h_j$.

桐 トラ ミュート

Classic differential geometry: The total curvature of any real algebraic curve $\mathcal C$ in $\mathbb R^m$ is the arc length of its image under the Gauss map $\gamma:\mathcal{C}\to\mathbb{S}^{m-1}.$ This quantity is bounded above by π times the degree of the projective Gauss curve in $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}.$ That is,

total curvature of $C \leq \pi \cdot \deg(\gamma(C))$.

 \rightarrow \equiv \rightarrow

Classic differential geometry: The total curvature of any real algebraic curve $\mathcal C$ in $\mathbb R^m$ is the arc length of its image under the Gauss map $\gamma:\mathcal{C}\to\mathbb{S}^{m-1}.$ This quantity is bounded above by π times the degree of the projective Gauss curve in $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}.$ That is,

total curvature of $C \leq \pi \cdot \deg(\gamma(C))$.

Dedieu-Malajovich-Shub (2005) apply this to the central curve.

5 8 9 9 9 9 9 9 1

Classic differential geometry: The total curvature of any real algebraic curve $\mathcal C$ in $\mathbb R^m$ is the arc length of its image under the Gauss map $\gamma:\mathcal{C}\to\mathbb{S}^{m-1}.$ This quantity is bounded above by π times the degree of the projective Gauss curve in $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}.$ That is,

total curvature of $C \leq \pi \cdot \deg(\gamma(C))$.

Dedieu-Malajovich-Shub (2005) apply this to the central curve.

Classic algebraic geometry: $deg(\gamma(\mathcal{C})) < 2 \cdot (deg(\mathcal{C}) + genus(\mathcal{C}) - 1)$

 \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} . In the set of \mathbb{R}^n is a set of \mathbb{R}^n

Classic differential geometry: The total curvature of any real algebraic curve $\mathcal C$ in $\mathbb R^m$ is the arc length of its image under the Gauss map $\gamma:\mathcal{C}\to\mathbb{S}^{m-1}.$ This quantity is bounded above by π times the degree of the projective Gauss curve in $\mathbb{P}^{m-1}.$ That is,

total curvature of $C \leq \pi \cdot \deg(\gamma(C))$.

Dedieu-Malajovich-Shub (2005) apply this to the central curve.

Classic algebraic geometry: $deg(\gamma(\mathcal{C})) < 2 \cdot (deg(\mathcal{C}) + genus(\mathcal{C}) - 1)$

Theorem: The degree of the projective Gauss curve of the central curve $\mathcal C$ satisfies a bound in terms of matroid invariants:

$$
\deg(\gamma(\mathcal{C})) \leq 2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^d i \cdot h_i \leq 2 \cdot (n-d-1) \cdot {n-1 \choose d-1}.
$$

マーター マーティング アイディー

$$
\sum_{i \in \text{supp}(v)} \mathsf{v}_i \cdot \prod_{j \in \text{supp}(v) \setminus \{i\}} x_j,
$$

where $\bf v$ runs over the vectors in kernel ${A\choose c}$ of minimal support.

$$
\sum_{i \in \text{supp}(v)} \mathsf{v}_i \cdot \prod_{j \in \text{supp}(v) \setminus \{i\}} x_j,
$$

where v runs over the vectors in kernel ${A \choose c}$ of minimal support. These correspond to the circuits of the matroid $M(\mathcal{L}_{A,c})$.

$$
\sum_{i \in \text{supp}(v)} \mathsf{v}_i \cdot \prod_{j \in \text{supp}(v) \setminus \{i\}} x_j,
$$

where v runs over the vectors in kernel ${A \choose c}$ of minimal support. These correspond to the circuits of the matroid $M(\mathcal{L}_{A,c})$.

$$
\binom{A}{c} = \binom{1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}
$$

メ 御 メ メ ヨ メ メ ヨ メー

$$
\sum_{i \in \text{supp}(v)} \mathsf{v}_i \cdot \prod_{j \in \text{supp}(v) \setminus \{i\}} x_j,
$$

where v runs over the vectors in kernel ${A \choose c}$ of minimal support. These correspond to the circuits of the matroid $M(\mathcal{L}_{A,c})$.

$$
\binom{A}{c} = \binom{1 \quad 1 \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad 0}{1 \quad 2 \quad 0 \quad 4 \quad 0} \qquad \begin{array}{c} \text{Circuit 123} \\ \longrightarrow \\ \text{V = } \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 \times 2 \times 3 + 1 \times 1 \times 3 + 1 \times 1 \times 2 \end{pmatrix} \end{array}
$$

$$
(n = 5, d = 2)
$$

\n
$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$

メロメ メタメ メミメ メミメン 差し

 299

$$
(n = 5, d = 2)
$$

 $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $\mathbf{c} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 4 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ $\mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}$

Polynomials defining C :

$$
\begin{array}{l} -2x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_2, \\ 4x_2x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 + x_1x_2x_5 - x_1x_2x_4, \\ 4x_3x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 - x_1x_3x_5 + x_1x_3x_4, \\ 4x_3x_4x_5 - 4x_2x_4x_5 - 2x_2x_3x_5 + 2x_2x_3x_4 \end{array}
$$

 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3$ $x_4 + x_5 - 2$

K ロ ⊁ K 倒 ≯ K ミ ⊁ K ミ ≯

 \equiv

 299

$$
(n = 5, d = 2)
$$
\n
$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \mathbf{c} = (1 \ 2 \ 0 \ 4 \ 0) \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nPolynomials defining C:\n
$$
\begin{array}{c}\n-2x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_2, \\
4x_2x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 + x_1x_2x_5 - x_1x_2x_4, \\
4x_3x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 - x_1x_3x_5 + x_1x_3x_4, \\
4x_3x_4x_5 - 4x_2x_4x_5 - 2x_2x_3x_5 + 2x_2x_3x_4\n\end{array}
$$

 $x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3$ $x_4 + x_5 - 2$

K ロ ▶ K 御 ▶ K 君 ▶ K 君 ▶ ○ 君

 299

 $h = (1, 2, 2) \Rightarrow deg(C) = 5$, total curvature $(C) \le 12\pi$

$$
(n = 5, d = 2)
$$
\n
$$
A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\n
$$
c = (1 \ 2 \ 0 \ 4 \ 0)
$$
\n
$$
b = \begin{pmatrix} 3 \\ 2 \end{pmatrix}
$$
\nPolynomials defining C:\n
$$
-2x_2x_3 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_2,
$$
\n
$$
4x_2x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 + x_1x_2x_5 - x_1x_2x_4,
$$
\n
$$
4x_3x_4x_5 - 4x_1x_4x_5 - x_1x_3x_5 + x_1x_3x_4,
$$
\n
$$
4x_3x_4x_5 - 4x_2x_4x_5 - 2x_2x_3x_5 + 2x_2x_3x_4
$$
\n
$$
x_1 + x_2 + x_3 - 3
$$

 $x_4 + x_5 - 2$

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 결 ▶ K 결 ▶ ○ 결…

 299

 $h = (1, 2, 2) \Rightarrow deg(C) = 5$, total curvature $(C) \le 12\pi$ ($\le 16\pi$)

Duality

Dual LP: Minimize_{s∈Rn} **v**^T**s** s.t. $B \cdot$ **s** = **w**, **s** \geq 0, where $B = \text{kernel}(A)$, $A \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{b}$, and $B \cdot \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{w}$.

a mills.

 \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}

重

ALC: NO

Duality

Dual LP: Minimize_{s∈Rn} **v**^T**s** s.t. $B \cdot$ **s** = **w**, **s** \geq 0, where $B = \text{kernel}(A)$, $A \cdot v = b$, and $B \cdot c = w$.

The primal-dual central path is cut out by the system of polynomial equations

$$
A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}
$$
, $B \cdot \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{w}$, and $x_1 s_1 = \ldots = x_n s_n = \lambda$.

 \leftarrow \Box

Duality

Dual LP: Minimize_{s∈Rn} **v**^T**s** s.t. $B \cdot$ **s** = **w**, **s** \geq 0, where $B = \text{kernel}(A)$, $A \cdot v = b$, and $B \cdot c = w$.

The primal-dual central path is cut out by the system of polynomial equations

$$
A \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}
$$
, $B \cdot \mathbf{s} = \mathbf{w}$, and $x_1 s_1 = \ldots = x_n s_n = \lambda$.

Examine $\lambda \to 0$ and $\lambda \to \infty$.

 299

目

メロメ メ都 ドメ 君 ドメ 君 ドッ

◦ What can be said about non-generic behavior?

 \leftarrow \Box \rightarrow

A \sim 重

重

- What can be said about non-generic behavior?
- What is total curvature of just the central path? (continuous Hirsch conjecture?)

 \leftarrow \Box

- What can be said about non-generic behavior?
- What is total curvature of just the central path? (continuous Hirsch conjecture?)
- Are there interesting classes of matrices A for which this curvature bound significantly drops?

- What can be said about non-generic behavior?
- What is total curvature of just the central path? (continuous Hirsch conjecture?)
- Are there interesting classes of matrices A for which this curvature bound significantly drops?
- Extensions to semidefinite programs?

- What can be said about non-generic behavior?
- What is total curvature of just the central path? (continuous Hirsch conjecture?)
- Are there interesting classes of matrices A for which this curvature bound significantly drops?
- Extensions to semidefinite programs?

Thanks and Happy Birthday to Mike!