# Central sequence  $C^*$ -algebras and absorption of the Jiang-Su algebra (Joint work with Eberhard Kirchberg)

Mikael Rørdam rordam@math.ku.dk

Department of Mathematics University of Copenhagen

<span id="page-0-0"></span>Fields Institute, Workshop on applications to operator algebras, September 11, 2012.

## **Outline**



## <span id="page-1-0"></span>2 [Absorbing the Jiang-Su algebra](#page-16-0)

K ロ ▶ K @ ▶ K 할 > K 할 > 1 할 > 1 이익어

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $\omega$  be a free (ultra) filter on  $\mathbb N$ . Consider the central sequence  $\mathcal C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal A_\omega\cap\mathcal A'$ , where

$$
A_{\omega} = \ell^{\infty}(A)/c_{\omega}(A), \quad c_{\omega}(A) = \{(x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(A) \mid \lim_{\omega} ||x_n|| = 0\}.
$$

What do we know about central sequence  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\omega \cap A'$ ?

If A is a unital Kirchberg algebra (i.e., A is unital simple purely infinite separable and nuclear) and if  $\omega$  is a free ultrafilter on N, then  $A_{\omega} \cap A'$  is simple and purely infinite. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} \hookrightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A'$ , which entails that  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ .

Fact:  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \iff \exists$  unital \*-homomorphism  $\mathcal{Z} \to A_{\omega} \cap A'$ for some free filter ω.

If  $A$  is unital and approximately divisible, then  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} (M_2 \oplus M_3)$ maps unitally into  $A_\omega \cap A'$ . Hence  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$ , so  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ . Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $\omega$  be a free (ultra) filter on  $\mathbb N$ . Consider the central sequence  $\mathcal C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal A_\omega\cap\mathcal A'$ , where

$$
A_{\omega} = \ell^{\infty}(A)/c_{\omega}(A), \quad c_{\omega}(A) = \{(x_n) \in \ell^{\infty}(A) \mid \lim_{\omega} ||x_n|| = 0\}.
$$

What do we know about central sequence  $C^*$ -algebra  $A_\omega \cap A'$ ?

### Theorem (Kirchberg, 1994)

If A is a unital Kirchberg algebra (i.e., A is unital simple purely infinite separable and nuclear) and if  $\omega$  is a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb N$ , then  $A_{\omega} \cap A'$  is simple and purely infinite. In particular,  $\mathcal{O}_\infty \hookrightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$ , which entails that  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ .

Fact:  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z} \iff \exists$  unital \*-homomorphism  $\mathcal{Z} \to A_{\omega} \cap A'$ for some free filter ω.

#### Example

university-logo If  $A$  is unital and approximately divisible, then  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} (M_2 \oplus M_3)$ maps unitally into  $A_\omega \cap A'$ . Hence  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$ , so  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ .

**Fact:** If M is a II<sub>1</sub> von Neumann factor and if  $\omega$  is a free ultrafilter, then  $\mathcal{M}^{\omega}\cap\mathcal{M}'$  is either a  $\mathsf{II}_1$  von Neumann algebra or it is abelian.

If the former holds, then M is said to be a *McDuff factor*, and in this case  $\mathcal{R} \hookrightarrow M^{\omega} \cap M'$  which entails that  $M \cong M \bar{\otimes} \mathcal{R}$ .

### Theorem (Strengthened version of a theorem of Matui-Sato)

Let A be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra with a faithful trace  $\tau$ . Let  $M = \pi_{\tau}(A)^{\prime\prime}$ , and let  $\omega$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb N$ . Then the canonical map

 $A_\omega \cap A' \to M^\omega \cap M'$ 

is surjective.

In particular, if A is non-elementary, unital, simple, nuclear and stably finite, then a quotient of  $A_\omega \cap A'$  contains a subalgebra isomorphic to R.

Matui and Sato proved the theorem above under the additional assumptions that A is simple and nuclear.4 D > 4 P + 4 B + 4 B + B + 9 Q O

**Idea of proof:** The inclusion  $A \rightarrow M$  induces a \*-homomorphism  $\Phi: A_{\omega} \to M^{\omega}$  which is surjective (by Kaplanski's density theorem). Let  $\pi_{\omega}$ :  $\ell^{\infty}(A) \to A_{\omega}$  be the quotient mapping and put

 $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi \circ \pi_{\omega} \colon \ell^{\infty}(A) \to M^{\omega}.$ 

Enough to show that if  $b = (b_1, b_2, ...) \in \ell^{\infty}(A)$  is such that  $\widetilde{\Phi}(b) \in M^{\omega} \cap M'$ , then  $\exists c = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in \ell^{\infty}(A)$  st  $\widetilde{\Phi}(c) = \widetilde{\Phi}(b)$ and  $\pi_{\omega}(c) \in A_{\omega} \cap A'$ .

Put  $D = C^*(A, b) \subseteq \ell^{\infty}(A)$  and put  $J = \text{Ker}(\tilde{\Phi}|_D)$ . Let  $(e^{(k)}) \subseteq J$ be an asymptocially central approximate unit for J. Note that  $ba - ab \in J$  for all  $a \in A$ . Hence, for all  $a \in A$ :

$$
0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||(1 - e^{(k)})(ba - ab)(1 - e^{(k)})||
$$
  
= 
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||(1 - e^{(k)})b(1 - e^{(k)})a - a(1 - e^{(k)})b(1 - e^{(k)})||.
$$

We can therefore take  $c_n = (1-e_n^{(k_n)}) b_n (1-e_n^{(k_n)})$  for a suitable sequence  $(k_n)$ . **KORKAR KERKER E VOOR** 

**Idea of proof:** The inclusion  $A \rightarrow M$  induces a \*-homomorphism  $\Phi: A_{\omega} \to M^{\omega}$  which is surjective (by Kaplanski's density theorem). Let  $\pi_{\omega}$ :  $\ell^{\infty}(A) \rightarrow A_{\omega}$  be the quotient mapping and put

 $\widetilde{\Phi} = \Phi \circ \pi_{\omega} \colon \ell^{\infty}(A) \to M^{\omega}.$ 

Enough to show that if  $b = (b_1, b_2, ...) \in \ell^{\infty}(A)$  is such that  $\widetilde{\Phi}(b) \in M^{\omega} \cap M'$ , then  $\exists c = (c_1, c_2, \dots) \in \ell^{\infty}(A)$  st  $\widetilde{\Phi}(c) = \widetilde{\Phi}(b)$ and  $\pi_{\omega}(c) \in A_{\omega} \cap A'$ .

 $P$ ut  $D = C^*(A, b) \subseteq \ell^{\infty}(A)$  and put  $J = \text{Ker}(\widetilde{\Phi}|_D)$ . Let  $(e^{(k)}) \subseteq J$ be an asymptocially central approximate unit for J. Note that  $ba - ab \in J$  for all  $a \in A$ . Hence, for all  $a \in A$ :

$$
0 = \lim_{k \to \infty} ||(1 - e^{(k)})(ba - ab)(1 - e^{(k)})||
$$
  
= 
$$
\lim_{k \to \infty} ||(1 - e^{(k)})b(1 - e^{(k)})a - a(1 - e^{(k)})b(1 - e^{(k)})||.
$$

We can therefore take  $\mathsf{c}_n = (1 - e_n^{(k_n)}) b_n (1 - e_n^{(k_n)})$  for a suitable sequence  $(k_n)$ . **KORKAR KERKER E VOOR** 

### Example

There exist non-elementary, unital, simple, separable, nuclear (stably finite)  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  that do not absorb the Jiang-Su algebra. E.g.:

- Villadsen's examples of simple AH-algebras with strongly perforated  $K_0$ -groups or with stable rank  $> 1$ .
- The example of a simple unital nuclear separable  $C^*$ -algebra with a finite and an infinite projection, [R], (which also provided a counterexample to the Elliott conjecture).
- Toms' refined counterexamples to the Elliott conjecture (which are AH-algebras).
- Many others!

For any of the  $C^*$ -algebras mentioned above,  $\mathcal Z$  does not embed unitally into  $A_\omega \cap A'$ . For the stably finite ones, we still have a university-logo surjection  $A_\omega\cap A'\to {\cal R}^\omega\cap {\cal R}'$ , so  $A_\omega\cap A'$  is not small (or abelian). **KOD KARD KED KED E VOOR** 

## Proposition (Kirchberg (Abel Proceedings))

Let A and D be unital separable  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\omega$  be a free filter on  $\mathbb N$ . If there is a unital  $^*$ -hom  $D\to A_\omega\cap A'$ , then there is a unital <sup>∗</sup> -hom  $\infty$ 

$$
\bigotimes_{n=1} D \to A_\omega \cap A'
$$

(where  $\otimes = \otimes_{\max}$ ).

If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has no character, then  $\exists$  unital separable  $D \subseteq A_\omega \cap A'$  st D has no character.

If A is separable and  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has no character, then  $\exists$  unital C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra D with no characters and a unital \*-homomorphism  $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} D \to A_{\omega} \cap A'.$ 

## Proposition (Kirchberg (Abel Proceedings))

Let A and D be unital separable  $C^*$ -algebras, and let  $\omega$  be a free filter on  $\mathbb N$ . If there is a unital  $^*$ -hom  $D\to A_\omega\cap A'$ , then there is a unital <sup>∗</sup> -hom  $\infty$ 

$$
\bigotimes_{n=1} D \to A_\omega \cap A'
$$

(where  $\otimes = \otimes_{\max}$ ).

#### Lemma

If  $A_\omega\cap A'$  has no character, then  $\exists$  unital separable  $D\subseteq A_\omega\cap A'$  st D has no character.

#### **Corollary**

<span id="page-9-0"></span>If A is separable and  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has no character, then  $\exists$  unital C ∗ -algebra D with no characters and a unital <sup>∗</sup> -homomorphism  $\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} D \to A_{\omega} \cap A'.$ 

university-logo

Hence, if there is a unital \*-homomorphism  $D\to A_\omega\cap A'$  (where  $D$ has no character), then there is a unital \*-homomorphism

$$
A\otimes\Big(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} D\Big)\to A_{\omega}, \quad \text{s.t.} \quad a\otimes 1\mapsto a, \ (a\in A).
$$

Let D be a unital C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra. If  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} D$  contains a unital subhomogeneous C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra without characters, then  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} D.$ 

Hence:  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  if and only if  $A_{\omega} \cap A'$  contains a unital subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra without characters.

Fact:  $\exists I(2,3) \rightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A'$  unital \*-hom (and hence  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A'$ ) if  $\exists$ a,  $b\in A_\omega\cap A'$  positive contractions st

$$
a \sim b
$$
,  $a \perp b$ ,  $1-a-b \precsim (a-\varepsilon)_+$ ,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>i.e., if the[r](#page-10-0)[e](#page-0-0) exists \*-ho[m](#page-1-0)  $\mathit{CM}_2 \rightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$  w[ith](#page-9-0) ", large [i](#page-0-0)m[ag](#page-16-0)e["](#page-1-0)[.](#page-15-0)  $000$  Hence, if there is a unital \*-homomorphism  $D\to A_\omega\cap A'$  (where  $D$ has no character), then there is a unital \*-homomorphism

$$
A\otimes\Big(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} D\Big)\to A_{\omega}, \quad \text{st.} \;\; a\otimes 1\mapsto a, \; (a\in A).
$$

#### Theorem (Dadarlat–Toms)

Let D be a unital C $^*$ -algebra. If  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty}$  D contains a unital subhomogeneous C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra without characters, then  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} D.$ 

Hence:  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  if and only if  $A_{\omega} \cap A'$  contains a unital subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra without characters.

Fact:  $\exists I(2,3) \rightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A'$  unital \*-hom (and hence  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A'$ ) if  $\exists$ a,  $b\in A_\omega\cap A'$  positive contractions st

$$
a \sim b
$$
,  $a \perp b$ ,  $1-a-b \precsim (a-\varepsilon)_+$ ,

<span id="page-11-0"></span>i.e., if the[r](#page-10-0)[e](#page-0-0) exists \*-ho[m](#page-1-0)  $\mathit{CM}_2 \rightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$  w[ith](#page-10-0) ", large [i](#page-0-0)m[ag](#page-16-0)e["](#page-1-0)[.](#page-15-0)  $000$  Hence, if there is a unital \*-homomorphism  $D\to A_\omega\cap A'$  (where  $D$ has no character), then there is a unital \*-homomorphism

$$
A\otimes\Big(\bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} D\Big)\to A_{\omega}, \quad \text{st.} \;\; a\otimes 1\mapsto a, \; (a\in A).
$$

#### Theorem (Dadarlat–Toms)

Let D be a unital C $^*$ -algebra. If  $\bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty}$  D contains a unital subhomogeneous C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra without characters, then  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow \bigotimes_{k=1}^{\infty} D.$ 

Hence:  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  if and only if  $A_{\omega} \cap A'$  contains a unital subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra without characters.

Fact:  $\exists$  /(2,3)  $\rightarrow$   $A_\omega \cap A'$  unital \*-hom (and hence  $\mathcal{Z} \hookrightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$ ) if ∃a,  $b\in A_\omega\cap A'$  positive contractions st

$$
a \sim b, \qquad a \perp b, \qquad 1-a-b \precsim (a-\varepsilon)_+,
$$

<span id="page-12-0"></span>i.e., if the[r](#page-10-0)[e](#page-0-0) exists \*-ho[m](#page-1-0)  $\mathit{CM}_2 \rightarrow A_\omega \cap A'$  w[ith](#page-11-0) ["l](#page-13-0)arge [i](#page-0-0)m[ag](#page-16-0)e["](#page-1-0)[.](#page-15-0)  $\equiv$  $0.992$ 

#### Question

Suppose that A is a unital separable C\*-algebra st  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has no characters (for some ultrafilter  $\omega$ ). Does it follow that  $A_\omega \cap A'$ contains a unital copy of Z (so that  $A \cong A \otimes Z$ )?

By the result of Dadarlat–Toms, this question is equivalent to the question if  $\bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty D$  contains a unital copy of a subhomogeneous  $C^*$ -algebra without characters whenever D is a unital  $C^*$ -algebra without characters.

#### **Definition**

A unital C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra is said to have the splitting property if there are positive full elements  $a, b \in A$  with  $a \perp b$ .

Note: A has the splitting property  $\implies$  A has no characters.

<span id="page-13-0"></span>The opposite implication is false in general, but it may be true if  $A = \bigotimes_{n=1}^{\infty} D$  for some unital  $D$ . I don't know.

#### Lemma

If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has the splitting property, then there is a full  $^*$ -homomorphism  $\mathsf{CM}_2 \to \mathsf{A}_\omega \cap \mathsf{A}'.$ 

Using results of [L. Robert  $+$  R] about divisibility properties for C ∗ -algebras we obtain:

### Proposition

Let A be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\omega$  be a free ultrafilter on  $\mathbb N$ 

- $\textbf{D}$  If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has no characters, then  $A$  has the strong Corona Factorization Property.
- $2$  If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has the splitting property, then  $\exists N_k \in \mathbb{N}$  st

 $\bullet \ \forall k \geq 2 \ \forall y \in \text{Cu}(A) \ \exists x \in \text{Cu}(A) : kx \leq y \leq N_k x.$ 

**2** Let  $x, y \in Cu(A)$ . If  $N_k x \le ky$  for some  $k \ge 1$ , then  $x \le y$ .

university-logo  $\bullet$  If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has the splitting property and  $A$  is simple, then  $A$  is either stably finite or purely infinite.

#### Proposition

Let A be a unital separable  $C^*$ -algebra and let  $\omega$  be a free ultrafilter on N.

- $\textbf{D}$  If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has no character, then  $A$  has the strong Corona Factorization Property.
- $2$  If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has the splitting property, then  $\exists N_k \in \mathbb{N}$  st  $\bullet \ \forall k \geq 2 \ \forall y \in \text{Cu}(A) \ \exists x \in \text{Cu}(A) : kx \leq y \leq N_k x.$ 
	- **2** Let  $x, y \in Cu(A)$ . If  $N_k x \le ky$  for some  $k \ge 1$ , then  $x \le y$ .
- $\bullet$  If  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has the splitting property and  $A$  is simple, then  $A$  is either stably finite or purely infinite.

## **Corollary**

<span id="page-15-0"></span>university-logo There exist non-elementary, unital, simple, separable, nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras A st  $A_\omega \cap A'$  has a character (and, at the same time, a sub-quotient  $\cong \mathcal{R}$ ).

# **Outline**



<span id="page-16-0"></span>



## A year ago, the following remarkable result was proved:

## Theorem (Matui–Sato)

Let A be a unital, separable, simple, non-elementary, stably finite, nuclear C $^*$ -algebra, and suppose that  $\partial_\mathbf{e} \, \mathcal{T}(A)$  is finite. Then the following are equivalent:

 $\bullet$  A  $\cong$  A  $\otimes$  Z,

- $\bullet$  A has strict comparison (i.e.,  $Cu(A)$  is almost divisible),
- $\bullet$  Every cp map  $A \rightarrow A$  can be excised in small central sequences,
- **4** A has property (SI).

We get back to the properties mentioned in (3) and (4).

Note that if A is not stably finite, then  $T(A) = \emptyset$  and (2) implies that A is purely infinite. Hence A is a Kirchberg algebra and  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\infty} \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}.$ 

<span id="page-17-0"></span>It would be desirable to remove the conditio[n t](#page-16-0)[ha](#page-18-0)[t](#page-16-0)  $\partial_e \mathcal{T}(A)$  [i](#page-15-0)[s](#page-16-0) [fin](#page-35-0)[it](#page-0-0)[e!](#page-35-0)

## $A$  unital  $C^*$ -algebra with  $\mathcal{T}(A) \neq \emptyset$ . Define

$$
||a||_{2,\tau} = \tau(a^*a)^{1/2}, \qquad ||a||_2 = \sup_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}(A)} ||a||_{2,\tau}, \quad a \in A.
$$

Define  $\|\cdot\|_2$  on  $A_{\omega}$  by

$$
\|\pi_{\omega}(a_1,a_2,a_3,\dots)\|_2=\lim_{\omega}\|a_n\|_2,
$$

where  $\pi_{\omega}$ :  $\ell^{\infty}(A) \to A_{\omega}$  is the quotient map. Set

$$
J_A=\{x\in A_\omega: \|a\|_2=0\}\ \vartriangleleft\ A_\omega.
$$

### Definition (Matui–Sato)

A unital simple  $C^*$ -algebra A is said to have property  $(SI)$  if for all positive contractions  $e,f\in A_\omega\cap A'$  such that

 $k_{\rm B}$ 

<span id="page-18-0"></span>
$$
e \in J_A, \qquad \sup_k ||1 - f^k||_2 < 1,
$$
\n
$$
\text{there is } s \in A_\omega \cap A' \text{ with } fs = s \text{ and } s^*fs = e.
$$

university-logo

### Proposition

Let A be a separable, simple, unital, stably finite C<sup>∗</sup> -algebra with property (SI). TFAE:

- $\bullet$  A  $\cong$  A  $\otimes$  Z,
- $\mathbf{2} \hspace{0.2cm} \exists \hspace{0.2cm}$  unital \*-homomorphism  $M_2 \rightarrow (A_{\omega} \cap A')/J_A$ .
- $\textbf{3}\ \ \forall\ \ \textit{UHF-algebras}\ \ B\ \ \exists\ \ \textit{unital}\ \textrm{``-hom}\ \ B \rightarrow (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A.$

# Fact:  $(2) + (SI) \implies \exists$  unital \*-hom  $I(2,3) \rightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A' \implies (1)$ .

If A is a non-elementary, unital, simple, separable, exact, stably finite C<sup>∗</sup> -algebra st

- $\Box$   $\pi_{\tau}(A)''$  is McDuff factor for all  $\tau \in \partial_{e} \mathcal{T}(A)$ .
- ⊇  $\partial_e \, \mathcal{T}(A)$  is (weak  $^*$ ) closed in  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  is a Bauer simplex).
- $\Theta$  ∂<sub>e</sub>T(A) has finite covering dimension,

<span id="page-19-0"></span>then there is a unital \*-homomorphism  $M_2 \to (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A$  $M_2 \to (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A$ [.](#page-16-0)

### Proposition

Let A be a separable, simple, unital, stably finite C<sup>∗</sup> -algebra with property (SI). TFAE:

- $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ ,
- $\mathbf{2} \hspace{0.2cm} \exists \hspace{0.2cm}$  unital \*-homomorphism  $M_2 \rightarrow (A_{\omega} \cap A')/J_A$ .
- $\textbf{3}\ \ \forall\ \ \textit{UHF-algebras}\ \ B\ \ \exists\ \ \textit{unital}\ \textrm{``-hom}\ \ B \rightarrow (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A.$

Fact:  $(2) + (SI) \implies \exists$  unital \*-hom  $I(2,3) \rightarrow A_{\omega} \cap A' \implies (1)$ .

#### Theorem

If A is a non-elementary, unital, simple, separable, exact, stably finite C<sup>∗</sup> -algebra st

- $\textbf{1}$   $\pi_{\tau}(A)''$  is McDuff factor for all  $\tau \in \partial_{\bm{\mathrm{e}}} \, \mathcal{T}(A)$ .
- ? ∂e $\mathcal{T}(A)$  is (weak  $^*$ ) closed in  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  (i.e.,  $\mathcal{T}(A)$  is a Bauer simplex).
- $\Theta$  ∂<sub>e</sub>T(A) has finite covering dimension,

<span id="page-20-0"></span>then there is a unital \*-homomorphism  $M_2 \to (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A$  $M_2 \to (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A$ [.](#page-16-0)

university-logo

Results similar to the ones above and below have been obtained independently by Andrew Toms, Stuart White and Wilhelm Winter.

### **Corollary**

Let A be a non-elementary, unital, simple, separable, exact, stably finite C<sup>∗</sup> -algebra st

- $\textbf{1} \ \ \pi_{\tau}(A)''$  is McDuff factor for all  $\tau \in \partial_{\bm{\mathrm{e}}} \, \mathcal{T}(A).$
- ? ∂<sub>e</sub>T(A) is weak  $^*$  closed in T(A) (i.e., T(A) is a Bauer simplex).
- $\Theta$  ∂<sub>e</sub>T(A) has finite covering dimension.
- **4** A has property (SI)

Then  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ .

- Note that  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  implies (1), but not (2) and (3).
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>• It is not known if  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  implies (4).

**KORK STRAIN A BAR SHOP** 

Results similar to the ones above and below have been obtained independently by Andrew Toms, Stuart White and Wilhelm Winter.

#### **Corollary**

Let A be a non-elementary, unital, simple, separable, exact, stably finite C<sup>∗</sup> -algebra st

- $\textbf{1} \ \ \pi_{\tau}(A)''$  is McDuff factor for all  $\tau \in \partial_{\bm{\mathrm{e}}} \, \mathcal{T}(A).$
- ? ∂<sub>e</sub>T(A) is weak  $^*$  closed in T(A) (i.e., T(A) is a Bauer simplex).
- $\Theta$  ∂<sub>e</sub>T(A) has finite covering dimension.
- **4** A has property (SI)

Then  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ .

- Note that  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  implies (1), but not (2) and (3).
- It is not known if  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$  implies (4).

 $\mathbf{A} \equiv \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{B} + \math$ 

 $2990$ 

## Definition (Matui–Sato)

A cp map  $\varphi: A \to A \subseteq A_{\omega}$  can be excised in small central *sequences* if for all positive contractions  $e, f \in A_\omega \cap A'$  with

$$
e\in J_A,\qquad \sup_k\|1-f^k\|_2<1,
$$

there exists  $s \in A_{\omega}$  st

$$
fs = s, \qquad s^*as = \varphi(a)e, \qquad a \in A.
$$

Let A be a unital simple C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra.

- $\bigcirc$  If id<sub>A</sub>:  $A \rightarrow A$  can be excised in small central sequences, then A has property (SI).
- 2 If A is simple, separable, unital and nuclear, and if A has strict comparison, then  $id_A$  can be excised in small central

## Definition (Matui–Sato)

A cp map  $\varphi: A \to A \subseteq A_{\omega}$  can be excised in small central *sequences* if for all positive contractions  $e, f \in A_\omega \cap A'$  with

$$
e\in J_A,\qquad \sup_k\|1-f^k\|_2<1,
$$

there exists  $s \in A_{\omega}$  st

$$
fs = s, \qquad s^*as = \varphi(a)e, \qquad a \in A.
$$

### Proposition (Matui–Sato)

Let A be a unital simple C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra.

- **1** If  $\mathrm{id}_A$ :  $A \to A$  can be excised in small central sequences, then A has property (SI).
- university-logo **2** If A is simple, separable, unital and nuclear, and if A has strict comparison, then  $\mathrm{id}_A$  can be excised in small central sequences.

### **Definition**

Let  $A$  be a unital, simple, stably finite  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $A$  has *local weak comparison* if there exists a constant  $\gamma = \gamma(A)$  st for all positive element  $a, b \in A$ :

$$
\gamma \cdot \sup_{\tau \in QT(A)} d_{\tau}(a) < \inf_{\tau \in QT(A)} d_{\tau}(b) \implies a \precsim b.
$$

A has strict comparison  $\iff$  Cu(A) is weakly unperforated  $\Longrightarrow$  $Cu(A)$  has *m*-comparison for some  $m < \infty$  (in the sense of Winter)  $\implies$  A has local weak comparison.

Let A be a unital, simple, stably finite C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra.

- **1** If A has local weak comparison, then every nuclear cp  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$  can be excised in small central sequences.
- <sup>2</sup> If A is nuclear and has local weak comparison, then A has property (SI).

**THE REPORT OF A STATE** 

### **Definition**

Let  $A$  be a unital, simple, stably finite  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $A$  has *local weak comparison* if there exists a constant  $\gamma = \gamma(A)$  st for all positive element  $a, b \in A$ :

$$
\gamma \cdot \sup_{\tau \in QT(A)} d_{\tau}(a) < \inf_{\tau \in QT(A)} d_{\tau}(b) \implies a \precsim b.
$$

A has strict comparison  $\iff$  Cu(A) is weakly unperforated  $\Longrightarrow$  $Cu(A)$  has *m*-comparison for some  $m < \infty$  (in the sense of Winter)  $\implies$  A has local weak comparison.

#### Proposition

Let A be a unital, simple, stably finite C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra.

- $\bullet$  If A has local weak comparison, then every nuclear cp  $\varphi: A \rightarrow A$  can be excised in small central sequences.
- 2 If A is nuclear and has local weak comparison, then A has property (SI).

university-logo

コロイ コモイコモ

### **Corollary**

Let A be a non-elementary, stably finite, simple, separable, unital and nuclear C $^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\partial_e\, T(A)$  is closed in  $T(A)$ and that  $\partial_e T(A)$  has finite covering dimension. Then the following are equivalent:

 $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ .

2 A has local weak comparison.

**3** A has strict comparison ( $\iff$  Cu(A) is weakly unperforated).

Are  $(1)$ ,  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  above equivalent for all non-elementary, stably finite, simple, separable, unital and nuclear C<sup>∗</sup>-algebra?

Are (2) and (3) above equivalent for all non-elementary, stably finite, simple, separable, unital and nuclear C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra!?

### **Corollary**

Let A be a non-elementary, stably finite, simple, separable, unital and nuclear C $^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\partial_e\, T(A)$  is closed in  $T(A)$ and that  $\partial_{\epsilon}T(A)$  has finite covering dimension. Then the following are equivalent:

 $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{Z}$ .

2 A has local weak comparison,

**3** A has strict comparison ( $\iff$  Cu(A) is weakly unperforated).

#### Question

Are (1), (2) and (3) above equivalent for all non-elementary, stably finite, simple, separable, unital and nuclear C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra?

Are (2) and (3) above equivalent for all non-elementary, stably finite, simple, separable, unital and nuclear C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra!?

university-logo

A bit about the proof. We want to find a unital \*-homomorphism  $M_2 \rightarrow (A_{\omega} \cap A')/J_A$  .

#### Proposition

Let B be a unital C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, and let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_m \colon M_2 \to B$  be cpc order zero maps with commuting images.

**1** If  $\varphi_1(1) + \varphi_2(2) + \cdots + \varphi_m(1) \leq 1$ , then there is a cpc order zero map  $\psi$ :  $M_2 \rightarrow B$  such that

$$
\psi(1)=\varphi_1(1)+\varphi_2(2)+\cdots+\varphi_m(1).
$$

**2** If  $\varphi_1(1) + \varphi_2(2) + \cdots + \varphi_m(1) = 1$ , then  $\psi: M_2 \to B$  from (i) is a <sup>∗</sup> -homomorphism.

Hence it suffices to find cp order zero maps

$$
W_1, W_2 \ldots, W_m \colon M_2 \to (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A
$$

with commuting images such that

 $W_1(1) + W_2(1) + \cdots + W_m(1) = 1.$ 

A bit about the proof. We want to find a unital \*-homomorphism  $M_2 \rightarrow (A_{\omega} \cap A')/J_A$  .

#### Proposition

Let B be a unital C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, and let  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \ldots, \varphi_m \colon M_2 \to B$  be cpc order zero maps with commuting images.

**1** If  $\varphi_1(1) + \varphi_2(2) + \cdots + \varphi_m(1) \leq 1$ , then there is a cpc order zero map  $\psi \colon M_2 \to B$  such that

$$
\psi(1)=\varphi_1(1)+\varphi_2(2)+\cdots+\varphi_m(1).
$$

**2** If  $\varphi_1(1) + \varphi_2(2) + \cdots + \varphi_m(1) = 1$ , then  $\psi: M_2 \to B$  from (i) is a <sup>∗</sup> -homomorphism.

Hence it suffices to find cp order zero maps

$$
W_1, W_2 \ldots, W_m \colon M_2 \to (A_\omega \cap A')/J_A
$$

with commuting images such that

$$
W_1(1) + W_2(1) + \cdots + W_m(1) = 1.
$$

Let now  $\tau \in \partial_{\epsilon} T(A)$ .

Apply the fact that  $A_\omega \cap A' \to M_\tau^\omega \cap M_\tau{}'$  is onto and the assumption that  $M_{\tau}$  is McDuff to find:

 $\varphi\colon\;\; M_2\to M_\tau^\omega\cap M_{\tau}'$ (unital <sup>∗</sup> -homomorphism)  $V_0: M_2 \to A_\omega \cap A'$  (ucp lift of  $\varphi$ )  $V = (V_1, V_2, V_3, \dots): M_2 \to \ell^{\infty}(A)$  (ucp lift of  $V_0$ )

#### Lemma

The ucp maps  $V_n$ :  $M_2 \rightarrow A$  satisfy:

- **■**  $\lim_{\omega} \tau (V_n(b^*b) V_n(b)^*V_n(b)) = 0$  for all  $b \in M_2$ .
- **3** lim  $\left\| [a, V_n(b)] \right\| = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and all  $b \in M_2$ .

We must glue these maps (one for each trace) together!

We have a natural ucp map  $\mathcal{T}: A \to C(\partial_e \mathcal{T}(A))$  given by

$$
\mathcal{T}(a)(\tau)=\tau(a), \qquad a\in A, \ \tau\in \partial_e\,\mathcal{T}(A).
$$

This induces a ucp map  $\mathcal{T}_{\omega}$ :  $A_{\omega} \to C(\partial_{e} T(A))_{\omega}$ 

#### Proposition

If A is a unital separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, for which  $\partial_e T(A)$  is closed in  $T(A)$ , and if A denotes the multiplicative domain of  $T_{\omega}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}\subseteq(A_{\omega}\cap A')+J_{\mathcal{A}}$ , and

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\omega}|_{\mathcal{A}} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}(\partial_{\mathtt{e}}\, \mathcal{T}(A))_{\omega}
$$

is a <sup>∗</sup> -isomorphism.

It follows that if  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \subseteq C(\partial_{\rho} T(A))$  are pairwise orthogonal positive contractions,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $F \subset A$  is finite, then there are pairwise orthogonal contractions  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$  such that

 $\|\mathcal{T}(a_j)-f_j\|_\infty<\varepsilon,\quad \|\mathcal{T}(a_j^2)-f_j^2\|_\infty<\varepsilon,\quad \|[a,a_j]\|<\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathcal{F}.$ 

We have a natural ucp map  $\mathcal{T}: A \to C(\partial_e \mathcal{T}(A))$  given by

$$
\mathcal{T}(a)(\tau)=\tau(a), \qquad a\in A, \ \tau\in \partial_e\,\mathcal{T}(A).
$$

This induces a ucp map  $\mathcal{T}_{\omega}$ :  $A_{\omega} \to C(\partial_{e} T(A))_{\omega}$ 

#### Proposition

If A is a unital separable C<sup>\*</sup>-algebra, for which  $\partial_e T(A)$  is closed in  $T(A)$ , and if A denotes the multiplicative domain of  $T_{\omega}$ , then  $\mathcal{A}\subseteq(A_{\omega}\cap A')+J_{\mathcal{A}}$ , and

$$
\mathcal{T}_{\omega}|_{\mathcal{A}} \colon \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}(\partial_{\mathtt{e}}\, \mathcal{T}(A))_{\omega}
$$

is a <sup>∗</sup> -isomorphism.

It follows that if  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \subseteq C(\partial_e \mathcal{T}(A))$  are pairwise orthogonal positive contractions,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $F \subset A$  is finite, then there are pairwise orthogonal contractions  $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in A$  such that

$$
\|\mathcal{T}(a_j)-f_j\|_\infty<\varepsilon,\quad \|\mathcal{T}(a_j^2)-f_j^2\|_\infty<\varepsilon,\quad \|[a,a_j]\|<\varepsilon,\quad a\in\mathsf{F}.
$$

Suppose we are given:

- $\bullet \varepsilon > 0$  and  $F \subseteq A$  finite,
- $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_k: M_2 \rightarrow A$  ucp maps,
- $\bullet$   $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_k \subseteq \partial_{\epsilon} T(A)$  open, pairwise disjoint,
- $f_1, f_2, \ldots, f_k \in C(\partial_e \, \mathcal{T}(A))^+$  contractions;  $\mathrm{supp}(f_j) \subseteq U_j$ ,

•  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \in A$  pairwise orthogonal positive contractions such that

- $\tau\bigl(V_j(b^*b) V_j(b)^*V_j(b)\bigr) < \varepsilon$  for all contractions  $b \in M_2$ and all  $\tau \in U_j$ ,
- $\|[a, V_i(b)]\| < \varepsilon$  for all contractions  $b \in M_2$  and all  $a \in F$ ,
- $\|[a, a_j]\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $a \in F \cup \{ \text{images of balls of the $V_j$'}s\},$
- $\|\mathcal{T}(a_j) f_j\| < \varepsilon$  and  $\|\mathcal{T}(a_j^2) f_j^2\| < \varepsilon$

Then

$$
W(b) = \sum_{j=1}^k a_j^{1/2} V_j(b) a_j^{1/2}, \qquad b \in M_2
$$

defines a cp "tracially almost order zero" map  $M_2 \rightarrow A$  with  $W(1) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_j$ . **KORKAR KERKER E VOOR** 

**KOD KARD KED KED E VOOR** 

Advertisement:

# Masterclass on Sofic groups and Applications to Operator Algebras

Copenhagen, November 5.-9., 2012.

The Masterclass is aimed at PhD students and postdocs (others are also welcome). There are lecture series (mini courses) by:

- **David Kerr**
- Narutaka Ozawa
- Andreas Thom
- $+$  a few additional lectures, including by Nicolas Monod.

Some support for PhD students and postdoc is available (you must apply). Don't wait too long.

<span id="page-35-0"></span>The webpage for the conference can be found under "conferences" in the departments homepage www.math.ku.dk.