Diagonals of Certain Operators in von Neumann Algebras

Paul Skoufranis Joint work with Matt Kennedy

University of California - Los Angeles

June 26, 2014

Diagonal of a Matrix

Let \mathcal{D}_n be the diagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $E_{\mathcal{D}_n} : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{D}_n$ be defined by

$$E_{\mathcal{D}_n}([a_{i,j}]) = (a_{1,1}, a_{2,2}, \ldots, a_{n,n}).$$

Given $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with fixed properties, what values can $E_{\mathcal{D}_n}(T)$ take?

Diagonal of a Matrix

Let \mathcal{D}_n be the diagonal subalgebra of $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and let $E_{\mathcal{D}_n} : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{D}_n$ be defined by

$$E_{\mathcal{D}_n}([a_{i,j}]) = (a_{1,1}, a_{2,2}, \ldots, a_{n,n}).$$

Given $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with fixed properties, what values can $E_{\mathcal{D}_n}(T)$ take?

Analogue in von Neumann Algebras

Let ${\mathfrak M}$ be a von Neumann algebra, let ${\mathcal A}$ be a MASA in ${\mathfrak M},$ and let

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}:\mathfrak{M}\to\mathcal{A}$$

be a conditional expectation of \mathfrak{M} onto \mathcal{A} . Given $\mathcal{T} \in \mathfrak{M}$ with fixed properties, what operators may $E_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{T})$ be?

Theorem (Schur; 1923)

If $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is a self-adjoint matrix with eigenvalues and diagonal entries

 $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \qquad a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$

respectively, then

•
$$\sum_{k=1}^{m} a_k \leq \sum_{k=1}^{m} \lambda_k$$
 for all $m \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and
• $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k$.

Theorem (Horn; 1954)

lf

$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n \qquad a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \cdots \geq a_n$$

are elements of \mathbb{R} such that the above two conditions hold, then there exists a self-adjoint matrix $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with eigenvalues $(\lambda_k)_{k=1}^n$ and diagonal entries $(a_k)_{k=1}^n$.

In the beautiful paper *The Pythagorean Theorem: I. The finite case* by Kadison, the following subcase of the Schur-Horn Theorem was proved.

Theorem (Carpenter's Theorem; 2002)

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ be a diagonal, positive contraction such that

$$Tr(A) = m \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Then there exists a projection $P \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with Tr(P) = m such that

$$E_{\mathcal{D}}(P) = A.$$

Kadison also proved a Carpenter's Theorem for $(\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}), \mathcal{D})$ in The Pythagorean Theorem: II. The infinite discrete case.

Definition

A matrix $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be unistochastic if there exists a unitary $[u_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a_{i,j} = |u_{i,j}|^2$.

• Note diag $([u_{i,j}]^* diag(a_1, ..., a_n)[u_{i,j}]) = [|u_{i,j}|^2] \cdot (a_1, ..., a_n).$

Definition

A matrix $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be unistochastic if there exists a unitary $[u_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a_{i,j} = |u_{i,j}|^2$.

- Note diag $([u_{i,j}]^* \operatorname{diag}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)[u_{i,j}]) = [|u_{i,j}|^2] \cdot (a_1,\ldots,a_n).$
- In 1979 Au-Yeung and Pong gave a geometric description of the possible diagonals of a 3 × 3 normal matrix.

Definition

A matrix $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be unistochastic if there exists a unitary $[u_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a_{i,j} = |u_{i,j}|^2$.

- Note diag $([u_{i,j}]^* \operatorname{diag}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)[u_{i,j}]) = [|u_{i,j}|^2] \cdot (a_1,\ldots,a_n).$
- In 1979 Au-Yeung and Pong gave a geometric description of the possible diagonals of a 3 × 3 normal matrix.
- In 2007 Arverson examined diagonals of normal operators with finite spectrum in B(H).

Definition

A matrix $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be unistochastic if there exists a unitary $[u_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a_{i,j} = |u_{i,j}|^2$.

- Note diag $([u_{i,j}]^* \operatorname{diag}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)[u_{i,j}]) = [|u_{i,j}|^2] \cdot (a_1,\ldots,a_n).$
- In 1979 Au-Yeung and Pong gave a geometric description of the possible diagonals of a 3 × 3 normal matrix.
- In 2007 Arverson examined diagonals of normal operators with finite spectrum in B(H).

What goes wrong? Let T = diag(0, 1, i) and $A = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}\right)$.

Definition

A matrix $[a_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is said to be unistochastic if there exists a unitary $[u_{i,j}] \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $a_{i,j} = |u_{i,j}|^2$.

- Note diag $([u_{i,j}]^* \operatorname{diag}(a_1,\ldots,a_n)[u_{i,j}]) = [|u_{i,j}|^2] \cdot (a_1,\ldots,a_n).$
- In 1979 Au-Yeung and Pong gave a geometric description of the possible diagonals of a 3 × 3 normal matrix.
- In 2007 Arverson examined diagonals of normal operators with finite spectrum in B(H).

What goes wrong? Let T = diag(0, 1, i) and $A = \text{diag}\left(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{i}{2}, \frac{1+i}{2}\right)$. The matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0\\ \frac{1}{2} & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}$$

is not unistochastic.

Paul Skoufranis (UCLA)





Let \mathfrak{M} be a von Neumann algebra, let \mathcal{A} be a MASA of \mathfrak{M} , let $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ be a conditional expectation, and let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ be positive operators such that $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}}$. Then:

- If M = B(H) and A is either a continuous MASA or the diagonal MASA with E_A normal in the case A = D, then for every ε > 0 there exists a collection of pairwise orthogonal projections {P_k}ⁿ_{k=1} ⊆ A such that ∑ⁿ_{k=1} P_k = I_H, σ_e(P_k) = σ(P_k), and ||E_A(P_k) A_k|| < ε for all k ∈ {1,..., n}.
- If M is a type II₁ factor with tracial state τ and E_A is normal, then for every ε > 0 there exists a collection of pairwise orthogonal projections {P_k}ⁿ_{k=1} ⊆ A such that τ(P_k) = τ(A_k) and ||E_A(P_k) A_k|| < ε for all k ∈ {1,...,n}.

Similar results in type II_∞ and type III factors.

Let \mathcal{A} be a MASA in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, let $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{A}$ be a conditional expectation, and let $N \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be normal.

1 If A is a continuous MASA, then

$$\overline{\{E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*NU) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})\}} = \{A \in \mathcal{A} \mid \sigma(A) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(\sigma_e(N))\}.$$

2 If A = D, E_A is normal, and $\sigma(N) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(\sigma_e(N))$, then

 $\overline{\{E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*NU) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{H})\}} = \{A \in \mathcal{D} \mid \sigma(A) \subseteq \operatorname{conv}(\sigma_e(N))\}.$

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II_1 factor, let \mathcal{A} be a MASA of \mathfrak{M} , let $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the normal conditional expectation of \mathfrak{M} onto \mathcal{A} . Let $N \in \mathfrak{M}$ be a normal operator such that $\sigma(N) = \{z_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathbb{C}$. Then

$A \in \overline{\{E_{\mathcal{A}}(U^*NU) \mid U \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M})\}}$

if and only if there exists $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^n \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$0 \leq A_k \leq I_{\mathfrak{M}}, \qquad au(A_k) = au(\chi_{\{z_k\}}(N))$$

$$\sum_{k=1}^n A_k = I_{\mathfrak{M}},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=1}^n z_k A_k = A.$$

Solution to a question posed by Mirsky in 1964:

Theorem (Thompson; 1977 — Sing)

Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbb{C}$ be such that

 $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n \geq 0$ and $|a_1| \geq |a_2| \geq \cdots |a_n| \geq 0$.

There exists a complex n by n matrix with singular values are $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$ and diagonal entries a_1, \ldots, a_n if and only if

• $\sum_{j=1}^{k} |a_j| \le \sum_{j=1}^{k} \alpha_j$ for all $k \in \{1, ..., n\}$, and • $-|a_n| + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} |a_i| \le -\alpha_n + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i$.

Definition (Fack; 1982)

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor, let $T \in \mathfrak{M}$, and let $t \in [0, 1]$. The t^{th} -singular number of T is

 $\mu_t(T) := \inf\{\|TP\| \mid P \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}), \tau(I_{\mathfrak{M}} - P) \leq t\}.$

Definition (Fack; 1982)

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor, let $T \in \mathfrak{M}$, and let $t \in [0, 1]$. The t^{th} -singular number of T is

$$\mu_t(T) := \inf\{\|TP\| \mid P \in \operatorname{Proj}(\mathfrak{M}), \tau(I_{\mathfrak{M}} - P) \leq t\}.$$

Furthermore

 $\overline{\{UTV \mid U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M})\}} = \{R \in \mathfrak{M} \mid \mu_t(R) = \mu_t(T) \text{ for all } t \in [0,1]\}.$

Definition

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor. For two operators $A, S \in \mathfrak{M}$ we say that S submajorizes A, denoted $A \prec_w S$, if

$$\int_0^t \mu_s(A) \, ds \leq \int_0^t \mu_s(S) \, ds$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

Note, if A and S are positive, then

$$au(A) = au(S)$$
 and $A \prec_w S \Longleftrightarrow A \prec S$.

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor, let \mathcal{A} be a MASA of \mathfrak{M} , and $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the normal conditional expectation.

Theorem (Kennedy, Skoufranis; 2014)

If $T \in \mathfrak{M}$, then $E_{\mathcal{A}}(T) \prec_{w} T$.

Question

For $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and $T \in \mathfrak{M}$ with $A \prec_w T$, does there exists an

 $S \in \overline{\{UTV \mid U, V \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{M})\}}$

such that $E_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = A$?

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II₁ factor, let \mathcal{A} be a MASA of \mathfrak{M} , and $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the normal conditional expectation.

Theorem (Kennedy, Skoufranis; 2014)

If $T \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ be such that $A \prec_w T$, then for every $\epsilon > 0$ there exists unitary operators $U, V \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that

 $\|E_{\mathcal{A}}(UTV) - A\| < \epsilon.$

Let (\mathfrak{M}, τ) be a type II_1 factor, let \mathcal{A} be a MASA of \mathfrak{M} , and $E_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathfrak{M} \to \mathcal{A}$ be the normal conditional expectation. The following are equivalent:

• If $T \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ are self-adjoint and $A \prec T$, then there exists an $S \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that T and S are approximately unitarily equivalent and

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = A.$$

② If $T \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $A \in \mathcal{A}$ are such that $A \prec_w T$, then there exists an $S \in \mathfrak{M}$ such that T and S have the same singular values and

$$E_{\mathcal{A}}(S) = A.$$

Thanks for Listening!