# Weak-values Technique for Velocity Measurements

**Gerardo Viza**, Julian Martinez-Rincon, Gregory A. Howland, Hadas Frostig, Itay Shomroni, Barak Dayan and John Howell

G. I. Viza et al. Opt Let 38 16 2949 (2013)



# Why are velocity measurements important?

- Y. Yeh and H. Z. Cummins (1964)
	- $\blacksquare$  40 um/s
- Laser Doppler Anemometry
	- In-vivo medical imaging
	- Quality control vibrometry
- N. Brunner and C. Simmon PRL 105, 010405 (2010)
	- Measuring longitudinal phase with frequency-domain analysis



### Preparation of State

- Use long temporal Gaussian pulses
- Michelson Interferometer





### Observations

- Time Domain: no change
- **Figure 1-13 Frequency Domain:** One pulse is red or blue detuned

$$
f_d = \pm 2 \frac{v}{c} f_0
$$

**Technologically difficult to measure** frequency and much easier to measure arrival time.

### Observations 2

- Phase:
	- Point by point interference
	- Mirror is imparting a phase within the coherence length of the laser
- Pulse:
	- Pulse length >> Coherence length of the laser  $>>$  φ



### Weak-values

- Coupled velocity/frequency to time
- **Pre-selection: temporal Gaussian pulse**
- Small interaction:
	- Tiny velocity disturbing the frequency domain
- Post-selection: angle close to destructive interference
- Result: A shift in arrival time

### Limitations

**Cramer-Rao Bound;** the lowest bound of the variance over an unbiased estimator. When achieved, the estimator is efficient.  $= 1/F$ 

$$
P(t; \delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp\left(\frac{-(t-\delta t)^2}{2\tau^2}\right)
$$

 **Fisher Information**  $(t; \delta t)$   $\frac{d}{d\delta t} \ln(P(t; \delta t))$  $F = \int dt P(t; \delta t) \left[ \frac{d}{d\delta t} \ln(P(t; \delta t)) \right]^2$ 

### **Fisher Information:**  $N \sin^2(\varphi) \int dt P(t; \delta t) \left[ \frac{d}{dt} \ln P(t; \delta t) \right]^2$ 2 2  $\tau$  $\approx \frac{N\varphi}{\tau^2}$

- Uncertainty of  $\delta t$  is bounded by:  $\Delta(\delta t) \ge \frac{\tau}{\varphi \sqrt{N}}$  $\varphi$  $\Delta(\delta t)\geq \frac{\tau}{\sigma_0 t}$
- **Error in estimating velocity is bounded by:**

$$
\Delta \nu_{CRB} = \frac{\Delta(\delta t)\varphi}{2k\tau^2} = \frac{1}{2k\tau\sqrt{N}}
$$

#### Smallest resolvable velocity  $(t; \delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi i}} \exp\left(\frac{-(t-\delta t)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$  $2\pi\tau^2$   $\mathbf{P} \setminus 2$  $\mathbf{f}(\hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-\delta t)^2}{2\tau^2}\right)$  $\pi\tau$  $P(t; \delta t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(t-\delta t)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$

■ Our Signal-to-Noise ratio is straight forward for a Gaussian: time shift divided by the uncertainty of the time shift

• SNR = 
$$
\frac{\partial t}{\partial x} \varphi \sqrt{N} = \frac{v}{\Delta v}
$$

Starling, et al. PRA 82, 063822 (2010)

Generated by Foxit PDF Creator © Foxit Software http://www.foxitsoftware.com For evaluation only.

### Results



### Uncertainty Results



- **Estimator is** efficient
- There is not better estimator to produce to produce smaller uncertainties.

### Sub picometer/second

 $\varphi$  $\delta t = \frac{2 k v \tau^2}{\varphi}$ 

- What tricks can we do to achieve sub picometer/second?
	- post-selecting angel smaller
	- pulses longer





### Results 2

■ Our estimator changed from Gaussian to only the tip. Only the top 12% peak to peak intensity variation.





## Conclusion and Remarks

- The new estimator is not efficient but it helped us resolve slower velocities.
- Instability of the interferometer limited our integration time. The path length was constant for less than 15mins at a time.
- A weak-values technique allowed us to reach a CRB for velocity measurements.
- Achieved 400fm/s with a  $\tau = 50s$ .
	- $\blacksquare$  In each run we took (10min) the mirror moved the distance of about 2 hydrogen atoms!



# Thank you

- John Howell
- Julian Martinez-Rincon
- Gregory A. Howland
- And contributions from Israel
	- **Hadas Frostig**
	- **E** Itay Shomroni
	- Barak Dayan
- Army Research Office