## Modelling electricity futures by ambit fields

Almut E. D. Veraart

Imperial College London

Joint work with Ole E. Barndorff-Nielsen (Aarhus University), Fred Espen Benth (University of Oslo)

Workshop on Electricity, Energy and Commodities Risk Management Fields Institute, Toronto 14–16 August 2013

#### Futures contract

A futures contract maturing at time T on an asset S is a traded asset with "price" F(t, T) such that the futures contract can be entered at zero cost at any time; a holder of the contract receives payments corresponding to the price changes of F(t, T). At maturity  $T, F(T, T) = S_T$ .

Let *t* denote the current time and *T* the time of maturity/delivery. How can we model the futures/forward price F(t, T)?

Spot-based approach: Let S denote the underlying spot price. Then

 $F(t,T) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathsf{Q}}(\mathsf{S}_{T}|\mathcal{F}_{t}).$ 

Reduced-form modelling: As in the Heath-Jarrow-Morton (HJM) framework, one can model F(t, T) directly.

- Non-Gaussian, (semi-) heavy-tailed distribution
- Volatility clusters and time-varying volatility
- Strong seasonality (over short and long time horizons)
- Presence of the "Samuelson effect": Volatility of the futures contract increases as time to delivery approaches.
- Electricity is essentially not storable spikes, negative prices in the spot
- ► High degree of idiosyncratic risk → use random fields!

- Non-Gaussian, (semi-) heavy-tailed distribution
- Volatility clusters and time-varying volatility
- Strong seasonality (over short and long time horizons)
- Presence of the "Samuelson effect": Volatility of the futures contract increases as time to delivery approaches.
- Electricity is essentially not storable spikes, negative prices in the spot
- ► High degree of idiosyncratic risk → use random fields!

Use ambit fields to model electricity futures!

## Ambit stochastics

- Name for the theory and applications of ambit fields and ambit processes
- Probabilistic framework for tempo-spatial modelling
- Introduced by O. E. Barndorff-Nielsen and J. Schmiegel in the context of modelling turbulence in physics.



# What is an ambit field?

- ▶ Aim: Model real-valued tempo-spatial object  $Y_t(x)$ , where  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  is the temporal and  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  the spatial variable  $(d \in \mathbb{N})$ .
- "ambit" from Latin ambire or ambitus: border, boundary, sphere of influence etc.
- > Define **ambit set**  $A_t(x)$ : Intuitively: **causality cone**.



Ambit fields: Stochastic integrals with respect to an independently scattered, infinitely divisible random measure L:

$$Y_t(\mathbf{x}) = \int_{\mathcal{A}_t(\mathbf{x})} h(\mathbf{x}, t; \xi, s) \sigma(\xi, s) L(d\xi, ds)$$

> Integration in the  $L^2$ -sense as described in Walsh (1986).

# The integrator *L* is chosen to be a Lévy basis

▶ Notation:  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$  Borel sets of  $\mathbb{R}$ ;  $\mathcal{B}_b(S)$  bounded Borel sets of  $S \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ .

## **Definition 1**

A family  $\{L(A) : A \in \mathcal{B}_b(S)\}$  of random variables in  $\mathbb{R}$  is called an  $\mathbb{R}$ -valued **Lévy basis** on S if the following three properties hold:

**1** The law of L(A) is infinitely divisible for all  $A \in \mathcal{B}_b(S)$ .

- If A<sub>1</sub>,..., A<sub>n</sub> are disjoint subsets in B<sub>b</sub>(S), then L(A<sub>1</sub>),..., L(A<sub>n</sub>) are independent.
- **3** If  $A_1, A_2, ...$  are disjoint subsets in  $\mathcal{B}_b(S)$  with  $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \in \mathcal{B}_b(S)$ , then  $L(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} L(A_i)$ , *a.s.*, where the convergence on the right hand side is *a.s.*.
- Conditions (2)&(3) define an independently scattered random measure.

# **Cumulant function**

(

> The a cumulant function of a *homogeneous* Lévy bases is given by

$$\mathbb{C}(\zeta, L(A)) = \mathrm{Log}(\mathbb{E}(\exp(i\zeta L(A))))$$
$$= \left[i\zeta a - \frac{1}{2}\zeta^2 b + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(e^{i\zeta z} - 1 - i\zeta z\mathbb{I}_{[-1,1]}(z)\right)\nu(dz)\right] leb(A),$$

where  $leb(\cdot)$  denotes the Lebesgue measure, and where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $b \ge 0$  and  $\nu$  is a Lévy measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

[The logarithm above should be understood as the distinguished logarithm, see e.g. Sato (1999).]

- > The characteristic quadruplet associated with *L* is given by (a, b, v, leb).
- We call an infinitely divisible random variable L' with characteristic triplet given by (a, b, v) the Lévy seed associated with L.
- > Note:  $L((0, t]) = L_t$  is a a Lévy process (for a hom. Lévy basis).

# The model

- ➤ Consider a market with finite time horizon  $[0, T^*]$  for some  $T^* \in (0, \infty)$ .
- Need to account for a *delivery period*: Model the futures price at time t ≥ 0 with delivery period [T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>] for t ≤ T<sub>1</sub> ≤ T<sub>2</sub> ≤ T\* say.
- > Model the futures price with delivery period  $[T_1, T_2]$  by

$$F_t(T_1, T_2) = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} F(t, T) dT,$$
(1)

where F(t, T) is the instantaneous futures price.

## The model

- ➤ Consider a market with finite time horizon  $[0, T^*]$  for some  $T^* \in (0, \infty)$ .
- Need to account for a *delivery period*: Model the futures price at time t ≥ 0 with delivery period [T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>] for t ≤ T<sub>1</sub> ≤ T<sub>2</sub> ≤ T\* say.
- > Model the futures price with delivery period  $[T_1, T_2]$  by

$$F_t(T_1, T_2) = \frac{1}{T_2 - T_1} \int_{T_1}^{T_2} F(t, T) dT,$$
(1)

(2)

where F(t, T) is the instantaneous futures price.

#### Model definition under risk neutral probability measure

Under the assumptions (A.1) - (A.7):

$$F(t,T) = \Lambda(T) + \int_{A_t} k(T;\xi,s)\sigma(\xi,s)L(d\xi,ds).$$

Musiela parametrisation with x = T - t and  $f_t(x) = F(t, x + t)$ :

$$f_t(\mathbf{x}) = \Lambda(t+\mathbf{x}) + \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} k(\mathbf{x}+t;\xi,\mathbf{s})\sigma(\xi,\mathbf{s})L(d\xi,d\mathbf{s}).$$

## Model assumptions

- A.1 *L* is a a homogeneous, square-integrable Lévy basis on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , which has zero mean; its characteristic quadruplet is denoted by (a, b, v, leb).
- A.2 The filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t\in[-T^*,T^*]}$  is initially defined by  $\mathcal{F}_t = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{t+1/n}^0$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_t^0 = \sigma\{L(A, s) : A \in \mathcal{B}_b([0, T^*]), -T^* \le s \le t\}$ , which is right-continuous by construction and then enlarged using the *natural enlargement*.
- A.3 The positive random field  $\sigma = \sigma(\xi, s) : \Omega \times \mathbb{R}^2 \to (0, \infty)$  denotes the so-called *stochastic volatility field* and is assumed to be independent of the Lévy basis *L*.
- A.4 The function  $k : [0, T^*] \times [0, T^*] \times [-T^*, T^*] \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  denotes the so-called *weight function*;
- A.5 For each  $T \in [0, T^*]$ , the random field  $(k(T; \xi, s)\sigma(\xi, s))_{(\xi,s)\in[0,T^*]\times[-T^*,T^*]}$  is assumed to be predictable and to satisfy the following integrability condition:

$$\mathbb{E}\left[\int_{[-T^*,T^*]\times[0,T^*]} k^2(T;\xi,s)\sigma^2(\xi,s)\,d\xi ds\right] < \infty. \tag{4}$$

## Model assumptions cont'd

#### A.6 We call the set

$$A_{t} = [0, T^{*}] \times [-T^{*}, t] = \{(\xi, s) : 0 \le \xi \le T^{*}, -T^{*} \le s \le t\}$$
  
$$\subseteq [0, T^{*}] \times [-T^{*}, T^{*}]$$
(5)



# Recap: The model

Let  $0 \le t \le T \le T^*$ . Under the assumptions (A.1) - (A.7) the futures price under the risk-neutral probability measure is defined as the ambit field given by



## Important properties of the model

## **Proposition 2**

For  $T \in [0, T^*]$ , the stochastic process  $(F(t, T))_{0 \le t \le T}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ .

## Important properties of the model

## **Proposition 2**

For  $T \in [0, T^*]$ , the stochastic process  $(F(t, T))_{0 \le t \le T}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ .

#### Proposition 3

For  $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma\{\sigma(\xi, \mathbf{s}), (\xi, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathbf{A}_t\}$ , the conditional cumulant function we have

$$C^{\sigma}(\zeta, f_t(x)) := \text{Log}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\exp(i\zeta f_t(x))|\mathcal{G}_t\right)\right)$$
$$= i\zeta\Lambda(t+x) + \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} C\left(\zeta k\left(x+t; \xi, s\right)\sigma\left(\xi, s\right), L'\right) d\xi ds,$$

where L' is the Lévy seed associated with L.

## Important properties of the model

#### **Proposition 2**

For  $T \in [0, T^*]$ , the stochastic process  $(F(t, T))_{0 \le t \le T}$  is a martingale with respect to the filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \in [0,T]}$ .

#### Proposition 3

For  $\mathcal{G}_t = \sigma\{\sigma(\xi, \mathbf{s}), (\xi, \mathbf{s}) \in \mathbf{A}_t\}$ , the conditional cumulant function we have

$$C^{\sigma}(\zeta, f_t(\mathbf{x})) := \text{Log}\left(\mathbb{E}\left(\exp(i\zeta f_t(\mathbf{x})) \mid \mathcal{G}_t\right)\right)$$
$$= i\zeta \Lambda(t + \mathbf{x}) + \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} C\left(\zeta k\left(\mathbf{x} + t; \xi, \mathbf{s}\right) \sigma\left(\xi, \mathbf{s}\right), L'\right) d\xi d\mathbf{s},$$

where L' is the Lévy seed associated with L.

#### Example 4

If L is a homogeneous Gaussian Lévy basis, then we have

$$C\left(\zeta k\left(x+t;\xi,s\right)\sigma\left(\xi,s\right),L'\right)=i\zeta\Lambda(t+x)-\frac{1}{2}\zeta^{2}k^{2}\left(x+t;\xi,s\right)\sigma^{2}\left(\xi,s\right).$$

## **Correlation structure**

- Note that our new model does not only model one particular futures contract, but it models the entire futures curve at once.
- ▶ Let  $0 \le t \le t + h \le T^*$  and  $0 \le x, x' \le T^*$ , then

$$Cor(f_t(\mathbf{x}), f_{t+h}(\mathbf{x}')) = \mathcal{K}^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} k(\mathbf{x} + t, \xi, \mathbf{s}) k(\mathbf{x}' + t + h, \xi, \mathbf{s}) \mathbb{E}\left(\sigma^2(\xi, \mathbf{s})\right) d\xi d\mathbf{s},$$

where

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K} &= \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{A}_t} k^2 (\mathbf{x} + t, \xi, s) \mathbb{E} \left( \sigma^2(\xi, s) \right) d\xi ds} \\ &\cdot \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{A}_t} k^2 (\mathbf{x}' + t + h, \xi, s) \mathbb{E} \left( \sigma^2(\xi, s) \right) d\xi ds} \end{aligned}$ 

## Examples of weight functions

Consider weight functions which factorise as

$$k(\mathbf{x} + t; \boldsymbol{\xi}, \mathbf{s}) = \Phi(\boldsymbol{\xi}) \Psi(\mathbf{x} + t, \mathbf{s}), \tag{7}$$

for suitable functions  $\Psi$  and  $\Phi$ . [In the case that  $\Phi \equiv 1$  and there is no stochastic volatility we essentially get be back the classical framework.]

> OU-type weight function:  $\Psi(x + t, s) = \exp(-\alpha(x + t - s))$ , for some  $\alpha > 0$ .

- > CARMA-type weight function:  $\Psi(x + t s) = \mathbf{b}' \exp(\mathbf{A}(x + t s))\mathbf{e}_{\rho}$ ;
- > Bjerksund et al. (2010)-type weight function:  $\Psi(x + t, s) = \frac{a}{x+t-s+b}$ , for a, b > 0
- Audet et al. (2004)-type weight function:
  - $\Psi(\mathbf{x} + t, \mathbf{s}) = \exp(-\alpha(\mathbf{x} + t \mathbf{s}))$  for  $\alpha > 0$ ,

$$\implies \Phi(\xi) = \exp(-\beta\xi), \text{ for } \beta > 0$$

## Example: Gaussian ambit fields



(c) Bjerksund et al.-type weight function (d) Gamma-type weight function

# Implied spot price

> By the no-arbitrage assumption, the futures price for a contract which matures in zero time, x = 0, has to be equal to the spot price, that is,  $f_t(0) = S_t$ . Thus,

$$\mathbf{S}_t = \Lambda(t) + \int_{\mathbf{A}_t} k(t;\xi,\mathbf{s}) \sigma(\xi,\mathbf{s}) L(d\xi,d\mathbf{s}).$$

# Implied spot price

> By the no-arbitrage assumption, the futures price for a contract which matures in zero time, x = 0, has to be equal to the spot price, that is,  $f_t(0) = S_t$ . Thus,

$$S_t = \Lambda(t) + \int_{A_t} k(t;\xi,s)\sigma(\xi,s)L(d\xi,ds).$$

In the Gaussian case, we get the following result:

$$S_t \stackrel{\textit{law}}{=} \Lambda(t) + \int_{-T^*}^t \Psi(t; s) \omega_s dW_s,$$

assuming that  $k(x + t; \xi, s) = \Phi(\xi)\Psi(x + t, s)$ ,  $\omega_s^2 = \int_0^{T^*} \Phi^2(\xi)\sigma^2(\xi, s)d\xi$  and where *W* is a Brownian motion.

 Null-spatial case of ambit field: Volatility modulated Volterra process, Lévy semistationary process. (Fit energy spot prices very well!)

## Simulated futures curve



(e) Ambit field without stochastic volatility

- 2



(g) Ambit field with stochastic volatility



(f) Stochastic volatility field



(h) Seasonality field

## Simulated futures curve cont'd



(i) Futures price without stochastic volatility (j) Futures price with stochastic volatility

## Samuelson effect

- Samuelson effect: The volatility of the futures price increases when the time to delivery approaches zero.
- Also, the volatility of the futures converges to the volatility of the spot price.
- The weight function k plays the role of a damping function and is therefore non-increasing in the first variable and ensures that the Samuelson effect can be accounted for in our model.

## **Proposition 5**

Under suitable conditions (given in our paper) the variance of the futures price  $f_t(x)$ , given by

$$v_t(\mathbf{x}) := \operatorname{Var}(f_t(\mathbf{x})) = c \int_{\mathcal{A}_t} k^2(\mathbf{x} + t; \xi, \mathbf{s}) \mathbb{E}\left(\sigma^2(\xi, \mathbf{s})\right) d\xi d\mathbf{s},$$

is monotonically non-decreasing as  $x \downarrow 0$ . Further, the variance of the futures converges to the variance of the implied spot price.

# Samuelson effect: Example for different choices of the weight function

#### Example 6

Suppose the weight function factorises as mentioned before and there is no stochastic volatility. Then the variance of the futures price is given by

$$v_t(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}' \int_{-T^*}^t \Psi^2(\mathbf{x} + t, \mathbf{s}) d\mathbf{s}, \qquad ext{where } \mathbf{c}' = \mathbf{c} \int_0^{T^*} \Phi^2(\xi) d\xi.$$

This implies that in the context an exponential weight, we get

$$v_t(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{c}' \frac{1}{2\alpha} \left( \mathbf{e}^{-2\alpha \mathbf{x}} - \mathbf{e}^{-2\alpha(\mathbf{x}+t+T^*)} \right),$$

and in the context of the Bjerksund et al. (2010) model we have

$$v_t(x) = c'a^2\left(\frac{1}{x+b} - \frac{1}{x+t+T^*+b}\right)$$

Next we do a change of measure from the risk-neutral pricing measure to the physical measure.

## Proposition 7

Define the process

$$M_t^{\theta} = \exp\left(\int_{A_t} \theta(\xi, s) L(d\xi, ds) - \int_{A_t} C(-i\theta(\xi, s), L') d\xi ds\right).$$
 (8)

The deterministic function  $\theta$  :  $[0, T^*] \times [-T^*, T^*] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is supposed to be integrable with respect to the Lévy basis L in the sense of Walsh (1976). Assume that

$$\mathbb{E}\left(\exp\left(\int_{\mathcal{A}_{t}} C(-i\theta(s,\xi),L') \, d\xi \, ds\right)\right) < \infty, \text{ for all } t \in \mathbb{R}_{T^{*}}.$$
(9)

Then  $M_t^{\theta}$  is a martingale with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_t$  with  $\mathbb{E}[M_0^{\theta}] = 1$ .

# Change of measure cont'd

Define an equivalent probability P by

$$\left. \frac{dP}{dQ} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = M_t^{\theta} \,, \tag{10}$$

for  $t \ge 0$ , where the function  $\theta$  is an additional parameter to be modelled and estimated, which plays the role as the *market price of risk* 

> We compute the characteristic exponent of an integral of *L* under *P*.

## **Proposition 8**

For any  $v \in \mathbb{R}$ , and Walsh-integrable function g with respect to L, it holds that

$$\log \mathbb{E}_{P} \left[ \exp \left( i v \int_{A_{t}} g(\xi, s) L(d\xi, ds) \right) \right]$$
  
= 
$$\int_{A_{t}} \left( C(vg(\xi, s) - i\theta(\xi, s), L') - C(-i\theta(\xi, s), L') \right) d\xi ds .$$

# Summary of key results

- > Use ambit fields to model electricity futures.
- Our model ensures that the futures price is a martingale under the risk-neutral measure.
- > Studied relevant examples of model specifications.
- New modelling framework accounts for the key stylised facts observed in electricity futures.
- Futures and spot prices can be linked to each other within the ambit field framework (Samuelson effect).
- Change of measure.

Further results not mentioned today:

- Geometric modelling framework
- > Option pricing based on Fourier techniques.
- Simulation methods for ambit fields.

> Detailed empirical studies.

Inference methods for ambit fields.

> Need for more efficient simulation schemes.

- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Benth, F. E. and Veraart, A. E. D. (2011), Ambit processes and stochastic partial differential equations, *in* G. Di Nunno and B. Øksendal, eds, 'Advanced Mathematical Methods for Finance', Springer, pp. 35–74.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Benth, F. E. and Veraart, A. E. D. (2012), Recent advances in ambit stochastics. Preprint: arXiv:1210.1354.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Benth, F. E. and Veraart, A. E. D. (2013a), 'Modelling energy spot prices by volatility modulated Volterra processes', *Bernoulli*. Vol:19, pp. 803–845.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E., Benth, F. E. and Veraart, A. E. D. (2013b), Modelling electricity futures by ambit fields. Preprint.
- Barndorff-Nielsen, O. E. and Schmiegel, J. (2007), Ambit processes: with applications to turbulence and cancer growth, *in* F. Benth, G. Di Nunno, T. Lindstrøm, B. Øksendal and T. Zhang, eds, 'Stochastic Analysis and Applications: The Abel Symposium 2005', Springer, Heidelberg, pp. 93–124.
- Walsh, J. (1986a), An introduction to stochastic partial differential equations, *in* R. Carmona,
  H. Kesten and J. Walsh, eds, 'Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1180', Ecole d'Eté de Probabilités de Saint–Flour XIV 1984, Springer.