High-frequency instabilities of small-amplitude solutions of Hamiltonian PDEs

Bernard Deconinck

Department of Applied Mathematics University of Washington

bernard@amath.washington.edu

Hamiltonian PDEs: Analysis, Computations and Applications January 10-12, 2014

Acknowledgements 1



I have known Walter a long time

Acknowledgements 1



Actually, for about 15 years

Acknowledgements 2

- ▶ Joint work with Olga Trichtchenko (UW)
- ▶ BD and Olga Trichtchenko, *High-frequency instabilities of small-amplitude solutions of Hamiltonian PDEs*, To be submitted, 2014
- Support from the National Science Foundation (NSF-DMS-1008001)

The big picture

Consider the Hamiltonian PDE

$$u_t = J \frac{\delta H}{\delta u},\tag{1}$$

posed in a suitable function space of periodic functions. We examine traveling-wave solutions u(x,t) = U(x - ct) of this system. These satisfy

$$-cU_x = J\frac{\delta H}{\delta U}.$$
(2)

Assumptions

1. For a range of c values U = 0 is a solution of (2).



Assumptions

1. For a range of c values U = 0 is a solution of (2).



2. The linearization around u = 0 of (1) is dispersive.

Digression

▶ It is possible for linear, constant coefficient Hamiltonian PDEs to be non-dispersive.

Example.

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} q_x p_x dx, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} :$$
$$q_t = q_{xx}, \quad p_t = -p_{xx}.$$

Digression

▶ It is possible for linear, constant coefficient Hamiltonian PDEs to be non-dispersive.

Example.

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} q_x p_x dx, \quad J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}:$$
$$q_t = q_{xx}, \quad p_t = -p_{xx}.$$

▶ Is it possible for linear, constant coefficient, dispersive PDEs to be non-Hamiltonian?

• As we will see, the u = 0 solution is spectrally (neutrally) stable.

- As we will see, the u = 0 solution is spectrally (neutrally) stable.
- ► As we increase the amplitude of the solution, the eigenvalues of the spectral stability problem move continuously in C.

• Due to the quadrufold symmetry of the problem, the only way for eigenvalues to leave the imaginary axis is by collision.

Given J and H, we shall establish necessary conditions for eigenvalue collisions to result in eigenvalues off the imaginary axis, resulting in spectral instabilities of small-amplitude traveling wave solutions.



The goal is to obtain conditions that are easily used and verified, at the expense of the precision of the conclusions reached. In other words, the goal is usability over rigor.

► The goal is to obtain conditions that are easily used and verified, at the expense of the precision of the conclusions reached. In other words, the goal is usability over rigor. Almost all conclusions are formulated in terms of the dispersion relation of the linear problem.

All calculations take place at the bifurcation point of the trivial solution branch. By continuity, any stability conclusion holds for solutions on the bifurcation branch of small, but nonzero amplitude.



All calculations take place at the bifurcation point of the trivial solution branch. By continuity, any stability conclusion holds for solutions on the bifurcation branch of small, but nonzero amplitude.



 In effect, the theory is finite dimensional, as only a finite number of eigenvalues participate in a collision.

Some literature

- MacKay & Saffman (1986): a criterion for the onset of instability through the collision of eigenvalues in the water wave problem.
- ▶ MacKay (1987): the finite-dimensional case.

(Examples: KdV, Whitham, ...)

(Examples: KdV, Whitham, ...)

We consider equations whose linearization is of the form

$$u_t = -i\omega(-i\partial_x)u,$$

where $\omega(k)$ (real valued) is the dispersion relation:

$$\omega(k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n k^{2n+1}, \quad \alpha_j \in \mathbb{R},$$

and

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} \sum_{n=0}^\infty \alpha_n u_{nx}^2 dx.$$

Note that $\int_0^{2\pi} u dx$ is a Casimir.

In a moving coordinate frame,

$$\begin{aligned} u_t - cu_x &= -i\omega(-i\partial_x)u\\ \Rightarrow \qquad u_t &= -i\Omega(-i\partial_x)u, \end{aligned}$$

with $\Omega(k) = \omega(k) - kc$.

Step 1. Bifurcation point. We need a singular Jacobian, requiring

$$\Omega(k) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = \frac{\omega(k)}{k},$$

the phase speed.

Step 1. Bifurcation point. We need a singular Jacobian, requiring

$$\Omega(k) = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad c = \frac{\omega(k)}{k},$$

the phase speed.

For periodic solutions, we need k = N, integer, so that

$$c = \frac{\omega(N)}{N}.$$

Typically, we choose N = 1.

Step 2. Stability analysis. Let $u(x,t) = e^{\lambda t}U(x) + c.c.$, with

$$U(x) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} a_n e^{i(n+\mu)x},$$

with $\mu \in [-1/2, 1/2)$. We get

$$\lambda_n^{\mu} = -i\Omega(n+\mu).$$

• All $\lambda_n^{(\mu)}$ are imaginary. Thus the zero solution is neutrally spectrally stable.

Step 3. Eigenvalue collisions. We need

$$\lambda_n^{(\mu)} = \lambda_m^{(\mu)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\omega(n+\mu) - \omega(m+\mu)}{n-m} = \frac{\omega(N)}{N}.$$

Graphically, this is a condition expressing the equality of two slopes.



Step 4. Krein signature.

► The contribution to the Hamiltonian from a single mode is $\sim |a_n|^2 \Omega(n+\mu)/(n+\mu)$. The Krein signature of this mode is the sign of this contribution.

Step 4. Krein signature.

- ► The contribution to the Hamiltonian from a single mode is $\sim |a_n|^2 \Omega(n+\mu)/(n+\mu)$. The Krein signature of this mode is the sign of this contribution.
- In order for two colliding eigenvalues to leave the imaginary axis, it is necessary that they have opposite Krein signature.

Step 4. Krein signature.

- The contribution to the Hamiltonian from a single mode is $\sim |a_n|^2 \Omega(n+\mu)/(n+\mu)$. The Krein signature of this mode is the sign of this contribution.
- In order for two colliding eigenvalues to leave the imaginary axis, it is necessary that they have opposite Krein signature.
- After simplification, this requires mn < 0.

Consider a Hamiltonian PDEs with $J = \partial_x$, whose linearization has the real-valued dispersion relation $\omega(k)$. In order for small-amplitude solutions of period $2\pi N$ to be susceptible to high-frequency instabilities, it is necessary that there exist $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ such that

► (Collision condition)

$$\frac{\omega(n+\mu) - \omega(m+\mu)}{n-m} = \frac{\omega(N)}{N}.$$

• (Krein signature condition) mn < 0.

Consider equations of the form

$$u_t = \partial_x (u_{xx} + N(u)),$$

where $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} N(\epsilon u)/\epsilon = 0$. Then $\omega = k^3$.



Consider equations of the form

$$u_t = \partial_x (u_{xx} + N(u)),$$

where $\lim_{\epsilon \to 0} N(\epsilon u)/\epsilon = 0$. Then $\omega = k^3$.



- ► There are no collisions away from \u03c6 = 0. Thus small-amplitude periodic solutions of KdV-like equations are not susceptible to high-frequency instabilities.
- ► This result includes KdV, mKdV, generalized KdV, *etc.*

- ► There are no collisions away from \u03c6 = 0. Thus small-amplitude periodic solutions of KdV-like equations are not susceptible to high-frequency instabilities.
- ► This result includes KdV, mKdV, generalized KdV, *etc.*
- Solutions of superKdV-like equations are susceptible to high-frequency instabilities.

$$u_t = u_{xxx} + \alpha u_{xxxxx} + \text{nonlinear.}$$

2. Two-dimensional Hamiltonian PDEs with canonical ${\cal J}$

(Examples: Sine-Gordon, the water wave problem, ...) Here

$$J = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 1\\ -1 & 0 \end{array}\right),$$

and we consider equations of the form

$$q_t = \frac{\delta H}{\delta p}, \quad p_t = -\frac{\delta H}{\delta q}.$$

The Hamiltonian of their linearization can be written as

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta_j p_{jx}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \gamma_j q_{jx}^2 + p \sum_{j=0}^\infty \alpha_j q_{jx} \right) dx,$$

so that

$$q_{t} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{j} q_{jx} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j} \beta_{j} p_{2jx},$$
$$p_{t} = -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j} \gamma_{j} q_{2jx} - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{j} \alpha_{j} p_{jx}.$$

The dispersion relation is given by

$$\det \left(\begin{array}{cc} i\omega + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j(ik)^j & \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta_j k^{2j} \\ -\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j k^{2j} & i\omega - \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_j (-1)^j (ik)^j \end{array}\right) = 0,$$

which gives $\omega_1(k)$ and $\omega_2(k)$, both real for real k.

In a moving coordinate frame, the Hamiltonian has the extra term $c \int_0^{2\pi} pq_x dx$.

Step 1. Bifurcation point.

As before, the bifurcation points from the trivial solution are found by finding for which value of c the Jacobian is singular. This time, there are two solutions.

$$c_{1,2} = \frac{\omega_{1,2}(k)}{k} = \frac{\omega_{1,2}(N)}{N}.$$

since $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, for periodic solutions.



Step 2. Stability analysis. Working with the first branch of solutions, we obtain

$$\lambda_{n,j}^{(\mu)} = i(n+\mu)c_1 - i\omega_j(n+\mu),$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ \mu \in [-1/2, 1/2), \ n \in \mathbb{Z}.$

• All $\lambda_{n,j}^{(\mu)}$ are imaginary. Thus the zero solution is neutrally spectrally stable.

Step 3. Eigenvalue collisions. We need

$$\lambda_{n,j_1}^{(\mu)} = \lambda_{m,j_2}^{(\mu)}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \frac{\omega_{j_1}(n+\mu) - \omega_{j_2}(m+\mu)}{n-m} = \frac{\omega_1(N)}{N}.$$

Once more, this is a condition expressing the equality of two slopes.



Step 4. Krein signature.

• The linear system may be written as $u_t = JLu$, where L is the second variation of H. The Krein signature of the v mode may also be computed as the sign of v^*Lv .

Step 4. Krein signature.

- The linear system may be written as $u_t = JLu$, where L is the second variation of H. The Krein signature of the v mode may also be computed as the sign of v^*Lv .
- ► For our setting, one finds that the signature of the eigenmode $(Q_{n,j}^{(\mu)}, P_{n,j}^{(\mu)})^T$ is the sign of

$$\lambda_{n,j}^{(\mu)} \det \begin{pmatrix} Q_{n,j}^{(\mu)} & P_{n,j}^{(\mu)} \\ Q_{n,j}^{(\mu)*} & P_{n,j}^{(\mu)*} \end{pmatrix}.$$

 Explicitly, the necessary condition for opposite Krein signatures is

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j (n+\mu)^{2j} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \gamma_j (m+\mu)^{2j} \times \left(\omega_{j_1} (n+\mu) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j+1} (-1)^j (n+\mu)^{2j+1} \right) \times \left(\omega_{j_2} (m+\mu) + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{2j+1} (-1)^j (m+\mu)^{2j+1} \right) < 0.$$

2-D Hamiltonian PDEs with canonical J: Summary

Consider a Hamiltonian PDEs with canonical J, whose linearization has the quadratic Hamiltonian $H = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta_j p_{jx}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=0}^\infty \gamma_j q_{jx}^2 + p \sum_{j=0}^\infty \alpha_j q_{jx} \right) dx$

with real-valued dispersion relations $\omega_{1,2}(k)$. In order for small-amplitude solutions of period $2\pi N$ to be susceptible to high-frequency instabilities, it is necessary that there exist $j_{1,2} \in (1,2), m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mu \in [-1/2, 1/2)$ such that

► (Collision condition)

$$\frac{\omega_{j_1}(n+\mu) - \omega_{j_2}(m+\mu)}{n-m} = \frac{\omega(N)}{N}.$$

▶ (Krein signature condition) See previous slide.

The linearized water wave problem is

$$\eta_t = -i \tanh(-ih\partial_x)q_x,$$

$$q_t = -g\eta,$$

with

$$H = \int_0^{2\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} q(-i \tanh(-ih\partial_x)q_x) + \frac{1}{2}g\eta^2 \right) dx,$$

and

$$\omega^2 = gk \tanh(kh).$$



 \rightarrow there are collisions!

► The Krein condition gives $\omega_{j_1}\omega_{j_2}g^2 < 0$, which is always satisfied.

- ► The Krein condition gives $\omega_{j_1}\omega_{j_2}g^2 < 0$, which is always satisfied.
- This confirms that for the water wave problem all colliding eigenvalues leave the imaginary axis.

- ► The Krein condition gives $\omega_{j_1}\omega_{j_2}g^2 < 0$, which is always satisfied.
- ► This confirms that for the water wave problem all colliding eigenvalues leave the imaginary axis.



Example. The Whitham equation vs. the water wave problem.

Consider

$$u_t + \partial_x N(u) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) u_y(y, t) dy = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u_t + \partial_x \left(N(u) + \partial_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) u(y, t) dy \right) = 0,$$

where

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(k) e^{ikx} dk,$$

with $c(k) = \omega(k)/k = \sqrt{g \tanh(kh)/k}$.

Example. The Whitham equation vs. the water wave problem.

Consider

$$u_t + \partial_x N(u) + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) u_y(y, t) dy = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad u_t + \partial_x \left(N(u) + \partial_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x - y) u(y, t) dy \right) = 0,$$

where

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} c(k) e^{ikx} dk,$$

with $c(k) = \omega(k)/k = \sqrt{g \tanh(kh)/k}$. The Hamiltonian of the linearized equation is

$$H = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(x-y)u(x,t)u(y,t)dxdy.$$

Example. The Whitham equation 0.4 -0.4

- There are no collisions (except at $\lambda = 0$).
- ► The Whitham equation does not capture the high-frequency instabilities of small-amplitude solutions of the water wave problem.

Thank you!

Questions?

Happy Birthday, Walter!