

Classes of Herz-Schur multipliers

Ivan Todorov

April 2014
Toronto

- Positive multipliers

Content

- Positive multipliers
- Idempotent multipliers

Content

- Positive multipliers
- Idempotent multipliers
- Radial multipliers

Content

- Positive multipliers
- Idempotent multipliers
- Radial multipliers
- Approximation properties

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Definition

- Let X be a set. A function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if $(k(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a positive matrix, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Definition

- Let X be a set. A function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if $(k(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a positive matrix, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.
- Let G be a group. A function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if $N(u)$ is positive definite.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Definition

- Let X be a set. A function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if $(k(x_i, x_j))_{i,j=1}^n$ is a positive matrix, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $x_1, \dots, x_n \in X$.
- Let G be a group. A function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *positive definite* if $N(u)$ is positive definite.

Schur product lemma

Let $A = (a_{i,j}) \in M_n$. The following are equivalent:

- for every positive matrix $B \in M_n$, the Schur product $A * B$ of A and B is a positive matrix;
- the matrix A is positive.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Mercer's Theorem

If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space equipped with a regular Borel measure of full support and if $h \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X)$, the operator T_h is positive if and only if h is positive definite.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Mercer's Theorem

If X is a locally compact Hausdorff space equipped with a regular Borel measure of full support and if $h \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X)$, the operator T_h is positive if and only if h is positive definite.

Proposition

Let X be a σ -compact metric space, equipped with a Radon measure μ with full support. Let $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous Schur multiplier. The following are equivalent:

- (i) S_k is positive;
- (ii) k is positive definite.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) By the assumption and Mercer's Theorem, kh is positive definite whenever $h \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X)$ is positive definite. The statement now follows from the Schur product lemma.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) By the assumption and Mercer's Theorem, kh is positive definite whenever $h \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X)$ is positive definite. The statement now follows from the Schur product lemma.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Mercer's Theorem, the Schur product lemma and the fact that

$$\overline{\{T_k : k \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X), T_k \geq 0\}}^{\|\cdot\|} = \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))^+.$$

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) By the assumption and Mercer's Theorem, kh is positive definite whenever $h \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X)$ is positive definite. The statement now follows from the Schur product lemma.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from Mercer's Theorem, the Schur product lemma and the fact that

$$\overline{\{T_k : k \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X), T_k \geq 0\}}^{\|\cdot\|} = \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))^+.$$

(The latter can be seen as follows: suppose that $h \in T(X, X)$ and $\langle T_k, T_h \rangle \geq 0$ for each $k \in L^2(X \times X) \cap C(X \times X)$ with $T_k \geq 0$. By taking $k = a \otimes \bar{a}$, where $a \in C_c(X)$, we see that $\langle T_h a, a \rangle \geq 0$ for all such a , and this implies that $T_h \geq 0$. It follows that $\langle T, T_h \rangle \geq 0$ for all $T \in \mathcal{K}(L^2(X))^+$, and the claim now follows by Hahn-Banach separation.) □

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Lemma

The unit ball of the subspace

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k A_i T_i : A_i \in \mathcal{D}_G, T_i \in \text{VN}(G) \right\}$$

is strongly dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Lemma

The unit ball of the subspace

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^k A_i T_i : A_i \in \mathcal{D}_G, T_i \in \text{VN}(G) \right\}$$

is strongly dense in the unit ball of $\mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

Proof.

Use the Stone-von Neumann Theorem, according to which the representation of the crossed product $G \times_{\alpha} C_0(G)$ arising from the covariant pair of representations (λ, π) , where λ is the left regular representation of G and $\pi : C_0(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$ is given by $\pi(a) = M_a$, is faithful and its image coincides with the C^* -algebra $\mathcal{K}(L^2(G))$ of all compact operators on $L^2(G)$. \square

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

Let G be a locally compact group and $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ and S_u is completely positive;
- (ii) u is positive definite.

If these conditions are fulfilled then $\|u\|_{\text{cbm}} = u(e)$.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

Let G be a locally compact group and $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ and S_u is completely positive;
- (ii) u is positive definite.

If these conditions are fulfilled then $\|u\|_{\text{cbm}} = u(e)$.

Proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Since u is positive definite and continuous, we have that $u \in B(G)$ and so $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

Let G be a locally compact group and $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ and S_u is completely positive;
- (ii) u is positive definite.

If these conditions are fulfilled then $\|u\|_{\text{cbm}} = u(e)$.

Proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Since u is positive definite and continuous, we have that $u \in B(G)$ and so $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

Thus, $S_{N(u)}$ is positive and hence $S_{N(u)}$ is completely positive.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

Let G be a locally compact group and $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a continuous function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ and S_u is completely positive;
- (ii) u is positive definite.

If these conditions are fulfilled then $\|u\|_{\text{cbm}} = u(e)$.

Proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Since u is positive definite and continuous, we have that $u \in B(G)$ and so $u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

Thus, $S_{N(u)}$ is positive and hence $S_{N(u)}$ is completely positive.

Thus, its restriction S_u to $VN(G)$ is completely positive. □

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $T = SS^*$ for some contraction $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

Approximate S in the strong operator topology by contractions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k A_i T_i$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{D}_G$ and $T_i \in \text{VN}(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $T = SS^*$ for some contraction $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

Approximate S in the strong operator topology by contractions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k A_i T_i$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{D}_G$ and $T_i \in \text{VN}(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

It follows that T can be approximated in the weak* topology by the operators $\sum_{i,j=1}^k A_i T_i T_j^* A_j^*$.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $T = SS^*$ for some contraction $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

Approximate S in the strong operator topology by contractions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k A_i T_i$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{D}_G$ and $T_i \in \text{VN}(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

It follows that T can be approximated in the weak* topology by the operators $\sum_{i,j=1}^k A_i T_i T_j^* A_j^*$.

Since $(T_i T_j^*)_{i,j} \geq 0$, we have $(S_u(T_i T_j^*))_{i,j} \geq 0$. Letting $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$, we have

$$S_{N(u)} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^k A_i T_i T_j^* A_j^* \right) = A(S_u(T_i T_j^*))_{i,j} A^* \geq 0.$$

By weak* continuity, $S_{N(u)}$ is positive and so u is positive definite.

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $T = SS^*$ for some contraction $S \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(G))$.

Approximate S in the strong operator topology by contractions of the form $\sum_{i=1}^k A_i T_i$, where $A_i \in \mathcal{D}_G$ and $T_i \in \text{VN}(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, k$.

It follows that T can be approximated in the weak* topology by the operators $\sum_{i,j=1}^k A_i T_i T_j^* A_j^*$.

Since $(T_i T_j^*)_{i,j} \geq 0$, we have $(S_u(T_i T_j^*))_{i,j} \geq 0$. Letting $A = (A_1, \dots, A_k)$, we have

$$S_{N(u)} \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^k A_i T_i T_j^* A_j^* \right) = A(S_u(T_i T_j^*))_{i,j} A^* \geq 0.$$

By weak* continuity, $S_{N(u)}$ is positive and so u is positive definite.

Finally, note that $S_u(I) = S_u(\lambda_e) = u(e)I$.



Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

The following are equivalent, for a continuous function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a natural number n :

- (i) S_u is n -positive;

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

The following are equivalent, for a continuous function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a natural number n :

- (i) S_u is n -positive;
- (ii) for all $f_i, g_i \in C_c(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\int_G u(s) \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i^* * f_i)(s)(g_i * \tilde{g}_i)(s) ds \geq 0.$$

Positive Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem (de Canniere-Haagerup, 1985)

The following are equivalent, for a continuous function $u : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a natural number n :

- (i) S_u is n -positive;
- (ii) for all $f_i, g_i \in C_c(G)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$\int_G u(s) \sum_{i=1}^n (f_i^* * f_i)(s)(g_i * \tilde{g}_i)(s) ds \geq 0.$$

In this case, $\|u\|_m = u(e)$.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

$u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ idempotent iff $u = \chi_E$ for a closed and open E .

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

$u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ idempotent iff $u = \chi_E$ for a closed and open E .

The *coset ring* of a locally compact group G is the ring of sets generated by the translates of open subgroups of G .

The Cohen-Host Theorem

An element $u \in B(G)$ is idempotent precisely when $u = \chi_E$ for an element E of the coset ring of G .

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

$u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ idempotent iff $u = \chi_E$ for a closed and open E .

The *coset ring* of a locally compact group G is the ring of sets generated by the translates of open subgroups of G .

The Cohen-Host Theorem

An element $u \in B(G)$ is idempotent precisely when $u = \chi_E$ for an element E of the coset ring of G .

This result answers completely the question of which are the idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers in the case of amenable groups.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

$u \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ idempotent iff $u = \chi_E$ for a closed and open E .

The *coset ring* of a locally compact group G is the ring of sets generated by the translates of open subgroups of G .

The Cohen-Host Theorem

An element $u \in B(G)$ is idempotent precisely when $u = \chi_E$ for an element E of the coset ring of G .

This result answers completely the question of which are the idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers in the case of amenable groups.

Theorem (A.-M. Stan, 2009)

Let G be a locally compact group and $E \subseteq G$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\chi_E \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ and $\|\chi_E\|_{\text{cbm}} = 1$;
- (ii) E belongs to the coset ring of G .

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

One can see that either by checking that it does not belong to the coset ring of \mathbb{Z} , or by invoking the Embedding Theorem: triangular truncation is unbounded.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

One can see that either by checking that it does not belong to the coset ring of \mathbb{Z} , or by invoking the Embedding Theorem: triangular truncation is unbounded.

The set of all idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers is a Boolean algebra.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

One can see that either by checking that it does not belong to the coset ring of \mathbb{Z} , or by invoking the Embedding Theorem: triangular truncation is unbounded.

The set of all idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers is a Boolean algebra.

Suppose G is discrete. A subset $\Lambda \subseteq G$ is called an L -set if $\ell^\infty(\Lambda) \subseteq M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

One can see that either by checking that it does not belong to the coset ring of \mathbb{Z} , or by invoking the Embedding Theorem: triangular truncation is unbounded.

The set of all idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers is a Boolean algebra.

Suppose G is discrete. A subset $\Lambda \subseteq G$ is called an L -set if $\ell^\infty(\Lambda) \subseteq M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

If X and Y are sets, call a subset $E \subseteq X \times Y$ an *operator* L -set if $\ell^\infty(E) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(X, Y)$.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

One can see that either by checking that it does not belong to the coset ring of \mathbb{Z} , or by invoking the Embedding Theorem: triangular truncation is unbounded.

The set of all idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers is a Boolean algebra.

Suppose G is discrete. A subset $\Lambda \subseteq G$ is called an L -set if $\ell^\infty(\Lambda) \subseteq M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

If X and Y are sets, call a subset $E \subseteq X \times Y$ an *operator* L -set if $\ell^\infty(E) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(X, Y)$.

Bożejko, Davidson, Donsig, Haagerup, Leinert, Popa, Pisier, Varopoulos

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

In \mathbb{Z} , the subset $\mathbb{N}_0 = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ does not give rise to a multiplier.

One can see that either by checking that it does not belong to the coset ring of \mathbb{Z} , or by invoking the Embedding Theorem: triangular truncation is unbounded.

The set of all idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers is a Boolean algebra.

Suppose G is discrete. A subset $\Lambda \subseteq G$ is called an L -set if $\ell^\infty(\Lambda) \subseteq M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

If X and Y are sets, call a subset $E \subseteq X \times Y$ an *operator* L -set if $\ell^\infty(E) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}(X, Y)$.

Bożejko, Davidson, Donsig, Haagerup, Leinert, Popa, Pisier, Varopoulos

$\Lambda \subseteq G$ is an L -set if and only if $\Lambda^* = \{(s, t) : ts^{-1} \in \Lambda\}$ is an operator L -set.

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a subset $E \subseteq X \times Y$:

- (i) E is an operator L -set;

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a subset $E \subseteq X \times Y$:

- (i) E is an operator L -set;
- (ii) there exist $C > 0$ and $E_1, E_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ such that

$$|\{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E_1\}| \leq C, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

$$|\{x \in X : (x, y) \in E_2\}| \leq C, \quad \forall y \in Y$$

and

$$E = E_1 \cup E_2.$$

Idempotent Herz-Schur multipliers

Theorem

The following are equivalent, for a subset $E \subseteq X \times Y$:

- (i) E is an operator L -set;
- (ii) there exist $C > 0$ and $E_1, E_2 \subseteq X \times Y$ such that

$$|\{y \in Y : (x, y) \in E_1\}| \leq C, \quad \forall x \in X,$$

$$|\{x \in X : (x, y) \in E_2\}| \leq C, \quad \forall y \in Y$$

and

$$E = E_1 \cup E_2.$$

The set E of generators of \mathbb{F}_∞ is an L -set.

Radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Let $r > 1$ and \mathbb{F}_r be the free group on generators a_1, a_2, \dots .

Radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Let $r > 1$ and \mathbb{F}_r be the free group on generators a_1, a_2, \dots .

Reduced word in \mathbb{F}_r : $t = t_1 \dots t_k$, where $t_i \in \{a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}, \dots\}$ and $t_i^{-1} \neq t_{i+1}$ for all i .

Radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Let $r > 1$ and \mathbb{F}_r be the free group on generators a_1, a_2, \dots .

Reduced word in \mathbb{F}_r : $t = t_1 \dots t_k$, where $t_i \in \{a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}, \dots\}$ and $t_i^{-1} \neq t_{i+1}$ for all i .

Set $|t| = k$, the *length* of t . Note $|st| \leq |s| + |t|$ and $|t^{-1}| = |t|$.

Radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Let $r > 1$ and \mathbb{F}_r be the free group on generators a_1, a_2, \dots .

Reduced word in \mathbb{F}_r : $t = t_1 \dots t_k$, where $t_i \in \{a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}, \dots\}$ and $t_i^{-1} \neq t_{i+1}$ for all i .

Set $|t| = k$, the *length* of t . Note $|st| \leq |s| + |t|$ and $|t^{-1}| = |t|$.

A function $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *radial* if it only depends on $|t|$; that is, if there exists a function $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) such that $\varphi(s) = \dot{\varphi}(|s|)$, $s \in \mathbb{F}_r$.

Radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Let $r > 1$ and \mathbb{F}_r be the free group on generators a_1, a_2, \dots .

Reduced word in \mathbb{F}_r : $t = t_1 \dots t_k$, where $t_i \in \{a_1, a_1^{-1}, a_2, a_2^{-1}, \dots\}$ and $t_i^{-1} \neq t_{i+1}$ for all i .

Set $|t| = k$, the *length* of t . Note $|st| \leq |s| + |t|$ and $|t^{-1}| = |t|$.

A function $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is called *radial* if it only depends on $|t|$; that is, if there exists a function $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ (where $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$) such that $\varphi(s) = \dot{\varphi}(|s|)$, $s \in \mathbb{F}_r$.

Given φ radial, we always let $\dot{\varphi}$ be the corresponding “underlying” function on \mathbb{N}_0 .

Sufficient conditions for a multiplier of $A(\mathbb{F}_r)$

Theorem (Haagerup (1979), Haagerup-Steenstrup-Szwarc (2010))

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

(i) If $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_r} |\varphi(s)|(1 + |s|^2) < \infty$ then $\varphi \in MA(\mathbb{F}_r)$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_m \leq \sup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_r} |\varphi(s)|(1 + |s|^2).$$

Sufficient conditions for a multiplier of $A(\mathbb{F}_r)$

Theorem (Haagerup (1979), Haagerup-Steenstrup-Szwarc (2010))

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

(i) If $\sup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_r} |\varphi(s)|(1 + |s|^2) < \infty$ then $\varphi \in MA(\mathbb{F}_r)$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_m \leq \sup_{s \in \mathbb{F}_r} |\varphi(s)|(1 + |s|^2).$$

(ii) Suppose that φ is radial and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 |\hat{\varphi}(n)|^2 < \infty$.
Then $\varphi \in MA(\mathbb{F}_r)$ and

$$\|\varphi\|_m \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 |\hat{\varphi}(n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Radial functions can be defined on more general groups.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Radial functions can be defined on more general groups.

$$G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N, \text{ where } M, N \geq 0.$$

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Radial functions can be defined on more general groups.

$$G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N, \text{ where } M, N \geq 0.$$

Let G be a discrete group, generated by $\mathcal{E} = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, assumed to satisfy $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Radial functions can be defined on more general groups.

$$G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N, \text{ where } M, N \geq 0.$$

Let G be a discrete group, generated by $\mathcal{E} = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, assumed to satisfy $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$.

The *Cayley graph* \mathcal{C}_G of G is the graph whose vertices are the elements of G , and $\{s, t\} \subseteq G$ is an edge of \mathcal{C}_G if $ts^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Radial functions can be defined on more general groups.

$$G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N, \text{ where } M, N \geq 0.$$

Let G be a discrete group, generated by $\mathcal{E} = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, assumed to satisfy $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$.

The *Cayley graph* \mathcal{C}_G of G is the graph whose vertices are the elements of G , and $\{s, t\} \subseteq G$ is an edge of \mathcal{C}_G if $ts^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.

A *tree* is a connected graph without cycles. The degree of a vertex is the number of edges containing the vertex, and a graph is called *locally finite* if the degrees of all vertices are finite.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Radial functions can be defined on more general groups.

$$G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N, \text{ where } M, N \geq 0.$$

Let G be a discrete group, generated by $\mathcal{E} = \{s_1, \dots, s_n\}$, assumed to satisfy $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}^{-1}$.

The *Cayley graph* \mathcal{C}_G of G is the graph whose vertices are the elements of G , and $\{s, t\} \subseteq G$ is an edge of \mathcal{C}_G if $ts^{-1} \in \mathcal{E}$.

A *tree* is a connected graph without cycles. The degree of a vertex is the number of edges containing the vertex, and a graph is called *locally finite* if the degrees of all vertices are finite.

It is called *homogeneous* if all vertices have the same degree (called in this case the degree of the graph).

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Theorem (Figà-Talamanca, Nebbia, 1982)

Let G be a discrete finitely generated group. The Cayley graph \mathcal{C}_G of G is a locally finite homogeneous tree if and only if G is of the form $G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N$; in this case, the degree q of \mathcal{C}_G is equal to $2M + N - 1$.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Theorem (Figà-Talamanca, Nebbia, 1982)

Let G be a discrete finitely generated group. The Cayley graph \mathcal{C}_G of G is a locally finite homogeneous tree if and only if G is of the form $G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N$; in this case, the degree q of \mathcal{C}_G is equal to $2M + N - 1$.

If \mathcal{C} is a homogeneous tree with vertex set X , let $d(x, y)$ be the distance between two vertices x, y ; that is, the length of the (unique) path connecting x and y ; we set $d(x, x) = 0$.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Theorem (Figà-Talamanca, Nebbia, 1982)

Let G be a discrete finitely generated group. The Cayley graph \mathcal{C}_G of G is a locally finite homogeneous tree if and only if G is of the form $G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N$; in this case, the degree q of \mathcal{C}_G is equal to $2M + N - 1$.

If \mathcal{C} is a homogeneous tree with vertex set X , let $d(x, y)$ be the distance between two vertices x, y ; that is, the length of the (unique) path connecting x and y ; we set $d(x, x) = 0$.

A function $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is *radial* if there exists $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ with $\varphi(x) = \dot{\varphi}(d(x, o))$, $x \in X$ (here o is a fixed vertex).

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Proposition

Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function and $\tilde{\varphi} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\tilde{\varphi}(s, t) = \varphi(d(s, t))$, $s, t \in G$.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Proposition

Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function and $\tilde{\varphi} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\tilde{\varphi}(s, t) = \varphi(d(s, t))$, $s, t \in G$.

Then $\varphi \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Schur multiplier; in this case, $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cbm}} = \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Proposition

Let $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function and $\tilde{\varphi} : G \times G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\tilde{\varphi}(s, t) = \varphi(d(s, t))$, $s, t \in G$.

Then $\varphi \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ if and only if $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Schur multiplier; in this case, $\|\varphi\|_{\text{cbm}} = \|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathfrak{S}}$.

Proof.

Since the distance d is left-invariant, we have

$$\tilde{\varphi}(s, t) = \varphi(d(s, t)) = \varphi(d(t^{-1}s, e)) = \varphi(t^{-1}s).$$

The claim now follows from the embedding theorem. □

Radial multipliers and homogeneous trees

Theorem (Haagerup-Steenstrup-Szwarc, 2010)

Let X be a homogeneous tree of degree $q + 1$ ($2 \leq q \leq \infty$). Let $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function, $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the corresponding radial function and $\tilde{\varphi}(x, y) = \dot{\varphi}(d(x, y))$, $x, y \in X$. Set

$$h_{i,j} = \dot{\varphi}(i + j) - \dot{\varphi}(i + j + 2), \quad i, j \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

(i) $\tilde{\varphi}$ is a Schur multiplier if and only if $H = (h_{i,j})_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is trace class.

(ii) ($q = \infty$). If (i) hold, the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\varphi}(2n) \text{ and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\varphi}(2n + 1)$$

exist. If $c_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2}(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\varphi}(2n) \pm \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \dot{\varphi}(2n + 1))$, then $\|\tilde{\varphi}\|_{\mathfrak{S}} = |c_+| + |c_-| + \|H\|_1$.

Multipliers that are not completely bounded

Theorem (Haagerup-Steenstrup-Szwarc, 2010)

Let $G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N$. There exists a radial function φ which lies in $MA(G)$ but not in $M^{cb}A(G)$.

Multipliers that are not completely bounded

Theorem (Haagerup-Steenstrup-Szwarc, 2010)

Let $G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N$. There exists a radial function φ which lies in $MA(G)$ but not in $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

Proof.

Let $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\dot{\varphi}(n) = 0$ if $n \neq 2^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\dot{\varphi}(2^k) = \frac{1}{k2^k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Multipliers that are not completely bounded

Theorem (Haagerup-Steenstrup-Szwarc, 2010)

Let $G = (*_{i=1}^M \mathbb{Z}_2) * \mathbb{F}_N$. There exists a radial function φ which lies in $MA(G)$ but not in $M^{cb}A(G)$.

Proof.

Let $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be given by $\dot{\varphi}(n) = 0$ if $n \neq 2^k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\dot{\varphi}(2^k) = \frac{1}{k2^k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)^2 |\dot{\varphi}(n)|^2 < \infty,$$

and so $\varphi \in MA(G)$. A direct verification shows that the corresponding matrix H is not of trace class. □

Radial multipliers on free products

Let G_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, be discrete groups of the same cardinality (finite or countably infinite), and $G = \ast_{i=1}^n G_i$.

Radial multipliers on free products

Let G_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, be discrete groups of the same cardinality (finite or countably infinite), and $G = *_{i=1}^n G_i$.

If $g \in G$ then $g = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $g_{i_m} \in G_{i_m}$ are non-unit and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$.

Radial multipliers on free products

Let G_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, be discrete groups of the same cardinality (finite or countably infinite), and $G = \ast_{i=1}^n G_i$.

If $g \in G$ then $g = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $g_{i_m} \in G_{i_m}$ are non-unit and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$.

The number m is called the *block length* of g . Call a function $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ radial if it depends only on $\|g\|$.

Theorem (Wysoczański, 1995)

Let $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the corresponding radial function with respect to the block length. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\varphi \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$;

Radial multipliers on free products

Let G_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, be discrete groups of the same cardinality (finite or countably infinite), and $G = \ast_{i=1}^n G_i$.

If $g \in G$ then $g = g_{i_1} g_{i_2} \cdots g_{i_k}$, where $g_{i_m} \in G_{i_m}$ are non-unit and $i_1 \neq i_2 \neq \cdots \neq i_m$.

The number m is called the *block length* of g . Call a function $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ radial if it depends only on $\|g\|$.

Theorem (Wysoczański, 1995)

Let $\dot{\varphi} : \mathbb{N}_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\varphi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be the corresponding radial function with respect to the block length. The following are equivalent:

- (i) $\varphi \in M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$;
- (ii) the matrix $(\dot{\varphi}(i+j) - \dot{\varphi}(i+j+1))_{i,j}$ defines a trace class operator on $\ell^2(\mathbb{N}_0)$.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem (Haagerup, 1979)

Let $0 < \theta < 1$. Then the function $t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_r is positive definite.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem (Haagerup, 1979)

Let $0 < \theta < 1$. Then the function $t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_r is positive definite.

A function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *conditionally negative definite* if $k(x, x) = 0$, $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ for all x, y , and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_i \alpha_j \leq 0,$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ and all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 0$.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem (Haagerup, 1979)

Let $0 < \theta < 1$. Then the function $t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_r is positive definite.

A function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *conditionally negative definite* if $k(x, x) = 0$, $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ for all x, y , and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_i \alpha_j \leq 0,$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ and all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 0$.

k is conditionally negative definite iff $k(x, y) = \|b(x) - b(y)\|^2$ for a function $b : X \rightarrow H$ (H being a Hilbert space).

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem (Haagerup, 1979)

Let $0 < \theta < 1$. Then the function $t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_r is positive definite.

A function $k : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called *conditionally negative definite* if $k(x, x) = 0$, $k(x, y) = k(y, x)$ for all x, y , and

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^m k(x_i, x_j) \alpha_i \alpha_j \leq 0,$$

for all $x_1, \dots, x_m \in X$ and all $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_m \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i = 0$.

k is conditionally negative definite iff $k(x, y) = \|b(x) - b(y)\|^2$ for a function $b : X \rightarrow H$ (H being a Hilbert space).

Shoenberg's Theorem

If $k(x, y)$ is a conditionally negative definite kernel, then, for $\lambda > 0$, $e^{-\lambda k(x, y)}$ is a positive definite function.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Proof.

Let $k(s, t) = |s^{-1}t|$, $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_n$. It suffices to show that k is conditionally negative definite.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Proof.

Let $k(s, t) = |s^{-1}t|$, $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_n$. It suffices to show that k is conditionally negative definite.

Fix generators a_1, \dots, a_n of \mathbb{F}_n , let

$\Lambda = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{F}_n : s^{-1}t = a_i, \text{ for some } i\}$ and $H = \ell^2(\Lambda)$.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Proof.

Let $k(s, t) = |s^{-1}t|$, $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_n$. It suffices to show that k is conditionally negative definite.

Fix generators a_1, \dots, a_n of \mathbb{F}_n , let

$\Lambda = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{F}_n : s^{-1}t = a_i, \text{ for some } i\}$ and $H = \ell^2(\Lambda)$.

Let $\{e_{(s,t)} : (s, t) \in \Lambda\}$ be the standard basis of H . If $s^{-1}t = a_i^{-1}$ for some i , then set $e_{(s,t)} = -e_{(t,s)}$.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Proof.

Let $k(s, t) = |s^{-1}t|$, $s, t \in \mathbb{F}_n$. It suffices to show that k is conditionally negative definite.

Fix generators a_1, \dots, a_n of \mathbb{F}_n , let

$\Lambda = \{(s, t) \in \mathbb{F}_n \times \mathbb{F}_n : s^{-1}t = a_i, \text{ for some } i\}$ and $H = \ell^2(\Lambda)$.

Let $\{e_{(s,t)} : (s, t) \in \Lambda\}$ be the standard basis of H . If $s^{-1}t = a_i^{-1}$ for some i , then set $e_{(s,t)} = -e_{(t,s)}$.

For $s = s_1 s_2 \dots s_k$, where s_i is either a generator or its inverse, let

$$b(s) = e_{(e, s_1)} + e_{(s_1, s_1 s_2)} + \dots + e_{(s_1 s_2 \dots s_{k-1}, s)}.$$



Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\varphi_\theta : t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_∞ is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\varphi_\theta : t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_∞ is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

It turns out that the functions φ_θ can be used to synthesise all positive definite radial functions on \mathbb{F}_∞ :

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\varphi_\theta : t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_∞ is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

It turns out that the functions φ_θ can be used to synthesise all positive definite radial functions on \mathbb{F}_∞ :

Theorem (Haagerup-Knudby, 2013)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function with $\varphi(e) = 1$.
The following are equivalent:

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\varphi_\theta : t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_∞ is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

It turns out that the functions φ_θ can be used to synthesise all positive definite radial functions on \mathbb{F}_∞ :

Theorem (Haagerup-Knudby, 2013)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function with $\varphi(e) = 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) The function φ is positive definite;

Positive multipliers of the Fourier algebra of a free group

Theorem

Let $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the function $\varphi_\theta : t \rightarrow \theta^{|t|}$ on \mathbb{F}_∞ is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq \theta \leq 1$.

It turns out that the functions φ_θ can be used to synthesise all positive definite radial functions on \mathbb{F}_∞ :

Theorem (Haagerup-Knudby, 2013)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function with $\varphi(e) = 1$.

The following are equivalent:

- (i) The function φ is positive definite;
- (ii) There exists a probability measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \int \theta^{|x|} d\mu(\theta), \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_\infty.$$

The radial algebra

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_r : |x| = n\}$.

The radial algebra

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_r : |x| = n\}$.

For $n > 0$, let μ_n be the function taking the same constant value on the elements of E_n and zero on $\mathbb{F}_r \setminus E_n$, such that

$\sum_x \mu_n(x) = 1$ (note that the constant value equals $\frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{n-1}}$).

The radial algebra

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_r : |x| = n\}$.

For $n > 0$, let μ_n be the function taking the same constant value on the elements of E_n and zero on $\mathbb{F}_r \setminus E_n$, such that

$$\sum_x \mu_n(x) = 1 \text{ (note that the constant value equals } \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{n-1}} \text{)}.$$

Let μ_0 be the characteristic function of the singleton $\{e\}$.

The radial algebra

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_r : |x| = n\}$.

For $n > 0$, let μ_n be the function taking the same constant value on the elements of E_n and zero on $\mathbb{F}_r \setminus E_n$, such that

$$\sum_x \mu_n(x) = 1 \text{ (note that the constant value equals } \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{n-1}} \text{)}.$$

Let μ_0 be the characteristic function of the singleton $\{e\}$.

Denote by \mathcal{A} the subalgebra of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ generated by μ_n , $n \geq 0$ – this is the algebra of all radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r , equipped with the operation of convolution. Clearly, \mathcal{A} is the linear span of $\{\mu_n : n \geq 0\}$.

The radial algebra

Fix $r \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $E_n = \{x \in \mathbb{F}_r : |x| = n\}$.

For $n > 0$, let μ_n be the function taking the same constant value on the elements of E_n and zero on $\mathbb{F}_r \setminus E_n$, such that

$$\sum_x \mu_n(x) = 1 \text{ (note that the constant value equals } \frac{1}{2r(2r-1)^{n-1}} \text{)}.$$

Let μ_0 be the characteristic function of the singleton $\{e\}$.

Denote by \mathcal{A} the subalgebra of the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ generated by μ_n , $n \geq 0$ – this is the algebra of all radial functions on \mathbb{F}_r , equipped with the operation of convolution. Clearly, \mathcal{A} is the linear span of $\{\mu_n : n \geq 0\}$.

Lemma

Let $q = 2r - 1$. Then

$$\mu_1 * \mu_n = \frac{1}{q+1} \mu_{n-1} + \frac{q}{q+1} \mu_{n+1}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

The radial algebra

Proof.

We have

$$\mu_1 * \mu_n(x) = \sum_{y \in \mathbb{F}_r} \mu_1(y) \mu_n(y^{-1}x) = \frac{1}{q+1} \sum_{|y|=1} \mu_n(y^{-1}x). \quad (1)$$

Let $\{a_1, \dots, a_{q+1}\}$ be the set of words of length one. If $|x| = n+1$, then among the words $a_j x$, $j = 1, \dots, q$, there is only one of length n , namely, the word $a_j x$ for which $x = a_j^{-1} x'$ (for some $x' \in \mathbb{F}_r$). Thus, in this case

$$\mu_1 * \mu_n(x) = \frac{1}{q+1} \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{n-1}} = \frac{q}{q+1} \mu_{n+1}(x).$$



The radial algebra

Proof.

If $|x| = n - 1$, then among the words $a_j x$, $j = 1, \dots, r$, there are q of length n , and thus

$$\mu_1 * \mu_n(x) = \frac{1}{q+1} \frac{q}{(q+1)q^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{q+1} \mu_{n-1}(x).$$

Finally, if x has length different from $n + 1$ or $n - 1$ then all words $a_j x$, $j = 1, \dots, q$ have length different from n and hence the right hand side of (1) is zero. The claim follows. \square

The polynomials P_n

Define a sequence (P_n) of polynomials by setting $P_0(x) = 1$, $P_1(x) = x$ and

$$P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{q+1}{q}xP_n(x) - \frac{1}{q}P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

The polynomials P_n

Define a sequence (P_n) of polynomials by setting $P_0(x) = 1$, $P_1(x) = x$ and

$$P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{q+1}{q}xP_n(x) - \frac{1}{q}P_{n-1}(x), \quad n \geq 1. \quad (2)$$

By the definition of this sequence, we have that

$$\mu_n = P_n(\mu_1), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

(Here, the product is taken with respect to the convolution.)

The Laplace operator

The *Laplace operator* is the linear map L acting on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ and given by

$$L\varphi = \mu_1 * \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r].$$

The Laplace operator

The *Laplace operator* is the linear map L acting on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ and given by

$$L\varphi = \mu_1 * \varphi, \quad \varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r].$$

If $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_r$, then

$$L\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{q+1} \sum_y \varphi(y),$$

where the sum is taken over all neighbours y of x in the Cayley graph of \mathbb{F}_r .

Spherical functions

Definition

Call a function $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ *spherical* if φ is radial, $\varphi(e) = 1$ and $L\varphi = s\varphi$ for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Spherical functions

Definition

Call a function $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ *spherical* if φ is radial, $\varphi(e) = 1$ and $L\varphi = s\varphi$ for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ is spherical and let $\dot{\varphi}$ be as usual the underlying function defined on \mathbb{N}_0 . We have

$$\dot{\varphi}(0) = 1, \quad \dot{\varphi}(1) = s, \quad \dot{\varphi}(n+1) = \frac{q+1}{q}s\dot{\varphi}(n) - \frac{1}{q}\dot{\varphi}(n-1).$$

Spherical functions

Definition

Call a function $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ *spherical* if φ is radial, $\varphi(e) = 1$ and $L\varphi = s\varphi$ for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ is spherical and let $\dot{\varphi}$ be as usual the underlying function defined on \mathbb{N}_0 . We have

$$\dot{\varphi}(0) = 1, \quad \dot{\varphi}(1) = s, \quad \dot{\varphi}(n+1) = \frac{q+1}{q}s\dot{\varphi}(n) - \frac{1}{q}\dot{\varphi}(n-1).$$

We have that

$$\dot{\varphi}(n) = P_n(s), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Spherical functions

Definition

Call a function $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ *spherical* if φ is radial, $\varphi(e) = 1$ and $L\varphi = s\varphi$ for some $s \in \mathbb{C}$.

Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ is spherical and let $\dot{\varphi}$ be as usual the underlying function defined on \mathbb{N}_0 . We have

$$\dot{\varphi}(0) = 1, \quad \dot{\varphi}(1) = s, \quad \dot{\varphi}(n+1) = \frac{q+1}{q}s\dot{\varphi}(n) - \frac{1}{q}\dot{\varphi}(n-1).$$

We have that

$$\dot{\varphi}(n) = P_n(s), \quad n \geq 0.$$

It also follows that for each $s \in \mathbb{C}$ there exists a unique spherical function corresponding to the eigenvalue s ; we denote this function by φ_s .

Spherical functions

On the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$, consider the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} f(x)g(x), \quad f, g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r].$$

Spherical functions

On the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$, consider the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} f(x)g(x), \quad f, g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r].$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\langle \mu_n, \varphi \rangle = \dot{\varphi}(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Spherical functions

On the group algebra $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$, consider the bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} f(x)g(x), \quad f, g \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r].$$

If $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}$ then

$$\langle \mu_n, \varphi \rangle = \dot{\varphi}(n), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Thus,

$$\langle \mu_n, \varphi_s \rangle = P_n(s), \quad n \geq 0.$$

Spherical functions

Lemma

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-zero radial function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) φ is spherical;

Spherical functions

Lemma

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-zero radial function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) φ is spherical;
- (ii) the functional $f \rightarrow \langle f, \varphi \rangle$ on \mathcal{A} is multiplicative.

Spherical functions

Lemma

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a non-zero radial function. The following are equivalent:

- (i) φ is spherical;
- (ii) the functional $f \rightarrow \langle f, \varphi \rangle$ on \mathcal{A} is multiplicative.

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let $s \in \mathbb{C}$. We have $\langle P_n(\mu_1), \varphi_s \rangle = P_n(s)$, $n \geq 0$. The set $\{P_n : n \geq 0\}$ spans the set of all polynomials, and hence by linearity

$$\langle P(\mu_1), \varphi_s \rangle = P(s), \quad P \text{ a polynomial.}$$

On the other hand, the map $P \rightarrow P(\mu_1)$, is a homomorphism from the algebra of all polynomials onto \mathcal{A} . Statement (ii) now follows. □

Spherical functions

Proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) We have

$$\langle \mu_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu_0 * \mu_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu_0, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu_n, \varphi \rangle$$

and hence $\dot{\varphi}(0) = \langle \mu_0, \varphi \rangle = 1$.

Let $s = \dot{\varphi}(1) = \langle \mu_1, \varphi \rangle$. Then

$$\langle \mu_1 * \mu_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle \mu_1, \varphi \rangle \langle \mu_n, \varphi \rangle = s \dot{\varphi}(n),$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mu_1 * \mu_n, \varphi \rangle &= \left\langle \frac{1}{q+1} \mu_{n-1}, \varphi \right\rangle + \left\langle \frac{q}{q+1} \mu_{n+1}, \varphi \right\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{q+1} \dot{\varphi}(n-1) + \frac{q}{q+1} \dot{\varphi}(n+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\varphi = \varphi_s$.



The expectation onto \mathcal{A}

Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the map given by

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{n-1}} \sum_{|y|=n} f(y), \quad |x| = n;$$

thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \langle f, \mu_n \rangle, \quad |x| = n.$$

The expectation onto \mathcal{A}

Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the map given by

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{n-1}} \sum_{|y|=n} f(y), \quad |x| = n;$$

thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \langle f, \mu_n \rangle, \quad |x| = n.$$

Lemma

(i) The following properties hold:

(a) $\mathcal{E}(f) = f$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$;

The expectation onto \mathcal{A}

Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the map given by

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{n-1}} \sum_{|y|=n} f(y), \quad |x| = n;$$

thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \langle f, \mu_n \rangle, \quad |x| = n.$$

Lemma

(i) The following properties hold:

- (a) $\mathcal{E}(f) = f$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (b) $\langle f, \mathcal{E}(g) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ if f is radial.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}' : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (a) and (b) then $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$.

The expectation onto \mathcal{A}

Let $\mathcal{E} : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be the map given by

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \frac{1}{(q+1)q^{n-1}} \sum_{|y|=n} f(y), \quad |x|=n;$$

thus,

$$\mathcal{E}(f)(x) = \langle f, \mu_n \rangle, \quad |x|=n.$$

Lemma

(i) The following properties hold:

- (a) $\mathcal{E}(f) = f$ if $f \in \mathcal{A}$;
- (b) $\langle f, \mathcal{E}(g) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ if f is radial.

Moreover, if $\mathcal{E}' : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (a) and (b) then $\mathcal{E}' = \mathcal{E}$.

(ii) Let \mathcal{R} be the von Neumann subalgebra of $\text{VN}(\mathbb{F}_r)$ generated by \mathcal{A} . Then the map \mathcal{E} extends to a normal conditional expectation from $\text{VN}(\mathbb{F}_r)$ onto \mathcal{R} .

The expectation onto \mathcal{A}

Proof.

(i) Properties (a) and (b) are straightforward. Suppose $\mathcal{E}' : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (a) and (b). If $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_r$ then

$$\mathcal{E}'(f)(x) = \langle \mathcal{E}'(f), \delta_x \rangle = \langle \mathcal{E}'(f), \mathcal{E}(\delta_x) \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{E}(\delta_x) \rangle = \mathcal{E}(f)(x).$$

The expectation onto \mathcal{A}

Proof.

(i) Properties (a) and (b) are straightforward. Suppose $\mathcal{E}' : \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r] \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfies (a) and (b). If $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ and $x \in \mathbb{F}_r$ then

$$\mathcal{E}'(f)(x) = \langle \mathcal{E}'(f), \delta_x \rangle = \langle \mathcal{E}'(f), \mathcal{E}(\delta_x) \rangle = \langle f, \mathcal{E}(\delta_x) \rangle = \mathcal{E}(f)(x).$$

(ii) By general von Neumann algebra theory, there exists a normal conditional expectation from $\text{VN}(\mathbb{F}_r)$ onto \mathcal{R} . Its restriction on $\mathbb{C}[\mathbb{F}_r]$ must satisfy (a) and (b), and by (i) it must coincide with \mathcal{E} . □

Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proposition

The function φ_s is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq s \leq 1$.

Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proposition

The function φ_s is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq s \leq 1$.

Proof.

Suppose that $-1 \leq s \leq 1$. Then φ_s is real-valued. It was shown by Figà-Talamanca and Picardello that in this case φ_s is also bounded.

Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proposition

The function φ_s is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq s \leq 1$.

Proof.

Suppose that $-1 \leq s \leq 1$. Then φ_s is real-valued. It was shown by Figà-Talamanca and Picardello that in this case φ_s is also bounded.

Let $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$ be the closure of \mathcal{A} in $\ell^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$. Since φ_s is radial, $\varphi_s(x) = \varphi_s(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_r$.

Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proposition

The function φ_s is positive definite if and only if $-1 \leq s \leq 1$.

Proof.

Suppose that $-1 \leq s \leq 1$. Then φ_s is real-valued. It was shown by Figà-Talamanca and Picardello that in this case φ_s is also bounded.

Let $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ be the closure of \mathcal{A} in $\ell^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$. Since φ_s is radial, $\varphi_s(x) = \varphi_s(x^{-1})$ for all $x \in \mathbb{F}_r$.

We claim that the functional $f \rightarrow \langle f, \varphi_s \rangle$ on $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ is positive. Indeed, if $f \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ then \square

Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f * f^*, \varphi_s \rangle &= \langle f, \varphi_s \rangle \langle f^*, \varphi_s \rangle \\ &= \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} f(x) \varphi_s(x) \right) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} \overline{f(x^{-1})} \varphi_s(x) \right) \\ &= \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} f(x) \varphi_s(x) \right) \left(\sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_r} \overline{f(x^{-1}) \varphi_s(x^{-1})} \right) \\ &= \langle f, \varphi_s \rangle \overline{\langle f, \varphi_s \rangle} \geq 0.\end{aligned}$$



Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proof.

Now let $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$ be positive. Then $\mathcal{E}(f)$ is positive and, by the previous slide,

$$\langle f, \varphi_s \rangle = \langle \mathcal{E}(f), \varphi_s \rangle \geq 0.$$

It follows that the functional on $\ell^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$, $f \rightarrow \langle f, \varphi_s \rangle$, is positive, and hence φ_s is positive definite.

Positive definiteness of spherical functions

Proof.

Now let $f \in \ell^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$ be positive. Then $\mathcal{E}(f)$ is positive and, by the previous slide,

$$\langle f, \varphi_s \rangle = \langle \mathcal{E}(f), \varphi_s \rangle \geq 0.$$

It follows that the functional on $\ell^1(\mathbb{F}_r)$, $f \rightarrow \langle f, \varphi_s \rangle$, is positive, and hence φ_s is positive definite.

Conversely, suppose that φ_s is positive definite. Then $\overline{\varphi_s(x)} = \varphi_s(x^{-1})$ and since $|x| = |x^{-1}|$, the function φ_s is real-valued. Since φ_s is also bounded, $-1 \leq s \leq 1$. □

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Theorem (Haagerup-Knudby, 2013)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function with $\varphi(e) = 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) φ is positive definite;
- (ii) there exists a probability measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \varphi_s(x) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_r.$$

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Theorem (Haagerup-Knudby, 2013)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function with $\varphi(e) = 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) φ is positive definite;
- (ii) there exists a probability measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \varphi_s(x) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_r.$$

If (ii) holds true then the measure μ is uniquely determined by φ .

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Theorem (Haagerup-Knudby, 2013)

Let $\varphi : \mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a radial function with $\varphi(e) = 1$. The following are equivalent:

- (i) φ is positive definite;
- (ii) there exists a probability measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\varphi(x) = \int_{-1}^1 \varphi_s(x) d\mu(s), \quad x \in \mathbb{F}_r.$$

If (ii) holds true then the measure μ is uniquely determined by φ .

Proof.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) follows from the previous proposition. □

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let Φ (resp. Φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$) be the state on $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ which corresponds to φ (resp. φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$).

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let Φ (resp. Φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$) be the state on $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ which corresponds to φ (resp. φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$).

Let $C^*(\mu_1)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ generated by μ_1 ; since \mathcal{A} is generated by μ_1 as an algebra, $C^*(\mu_1)$ coincides with the closure of \mathcal{A} in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$.

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let Φ (resp. Φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$) be the state on $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ which corresponds to φ (resp. φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$).

Let $C^*(\mu_1)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ generated by μ_1 ; since \mathcal{A} is generated by μ_1 as an algebra, $C^*(\mu_1)$ coincides with the closure of \mathcal{A} in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$.

We have that $\mu_1 = \mu_1^*$ and $\|\mu_1\| \leq 1$ (indeed, in every representation of \mathbb{F}_r , the image of μ_1 is the average of r unitary operators and hence has norm at most 1), we have that the spectrum of μ_1 is contained in $[-1, 1]$.

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Proof.

(i) \Rightarrow (ii) Let Φ (resp. Φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$) be the state on $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ which corresponds to φ (resp. φ_s , $-1 \leq s \leq 1$).

Let $C^*(\mu_1)$ be the C^* -subalgebra of $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$ generated by μ_1 ; since \mathcal{A} is generated by μ_1 as an algebra, $C^*(\mu_1)$ coincides with the closure of \mathcal{A} in $C^*(\mathbb{F}_r)$.

We have that $\mu_1 = \mu_1^*$ and $\|\mu_1\| \leq 1$ (indeed, in every representation of \mathbb{F}_r , the image of μ_1 is the average of r unitary operators and hence has norm at most 1), we have that the spectrum of μ_1 is contained in $[-1, 1]$.

Conversely, since $\Phi_s(\mu_1) = \langle \mu_1, \varphi_s \rangle = s$, we have that the spectrum of μ_1 coincides with $[-1, 1]$. □

Radial positive definite functions on \mathbb{F}_r

Proof.

It follows that $C^*(\mu_1)$ is $*$ -isomorphic to $C([-1, 1])$. The restriction of Φ to $C^*(\mu_1)$ hence yields a state on $C([-1, 1])$; by the Riesz Representation Theorem, there exists a probability measure μ on $[-1, 1]$ such that

$$\Phi(f(\mu_1)) = \int_{-1}^1 f(s) d\mu(s), \quad f \in C([-1, 1]).$$

Now taking $f = P_n$, we obtain

$$\dot{\varphi}(n) = \Phi(\mu_n) = \Phi(P_n(\mu_1)) = \int_{-1}^1 P_n(s) d\mu(s) = \int_{-1}^1 \dot{\varphi}_s(n) d\mu(s).$$



Approximation properties for groups – weak amenability

We first recall that a locally compact group G is *amenable* if $A(G)$ possesses a bounded approximate identity. It is known that G is amenable if and only if there exists a net (u_i) of continuous compactly supported positive definite functions such that $u_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact sets.

Approximation properties for groups – weak amenability

We first recall that a locally compact group G is *amenable* if $A(G)$ possesses a bounded approximate identity. It is known that G is amenable if and only if there exists a net (u_i) of continuous compactly supported positive definite functions such that $u_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact sets.

Amenability is a fairly restrictive property and in some cases weaker approximation properties prove to be more instrumental. Such is the property of weak amenability, first defined by M. Cowling and U. Haagerup in 1989.

Approximation properties for groups – weak amenability

We first recall that a locally compact group G is *amenable* if $A(G)$ possesses a bounded approximate identity. It is known that G is amenable if and only if there exists a net (u_i) of continuous compactly supported positive definite functions such that $u_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact sets.

Amenability is a fairly restrictive property and in some cases weaker approximation properties prove to be more instrumental. Such is the property of weak amenability, first defined by M. Cowling and U. Haagerup in 1989.

Definition

A locally compact group G is called *weakly amenable* if there exists a net $(u_i) \subseteq A(G)$ and a constant $C > 0$ such that $\|u_i\|_{\text{cbm}} \leq C$ and $u_i \rightarrow 1$ uniformly on compact sets.

Weak amenability

If G is weakly amenable, the infimum of all constants C appearing in the last Definition is denoted by Λ_G .

Weak amenability

If G is weakly amenable, the infimum of all constants C appearing in the last Definition is denoted by Λ_G .

It was shown M. Cowling and U. Haagerup that if G is a weakly amenable group then the net (u_i) from the definition can moreover be chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- u_i is compactly supported for each i ;
- $u_i u \rightarrow u$ in the norm of $A(G)$, for every $u \in A(G)$.

Weak amenability

If G is weakly amenable, the infimum of all constants C appearing in the last Definition is denoted by Λ_G .

It was shown M. Cowling and U. Haagerup that if G is a weakly amenable group then the net (u_i) from the definition can moreover be chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- u_i is compactly supported for each i ;
- $u_i u \rightarrow u$ in the norm of $A(G)$, for every $u \in A(G)$.

Every amenable group is weakly amenable.

Weak amenability

If G is weakly amenable, the infimum of all constants C appearing in the last Definition is denoted by Λ_G .

It was shown M. Cowling and U. Haagerup that if G is a weakly amenable group then the net (u_i) from the definition can moreover be chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- u_i is compactly supported for each i ;
- $u_i u \rightarrow u$ in the norm of $A(G)$, for every $u \in A(G)$.

Every amenable group is weakly amenable.

The notion of weak amenability has been studied extensively. The first results in this direction was the fact that \mathbb{F}_n is weakly amenable (U. Haagerup, 1979).

Weak amenability

If G is weakly amenable, the infimum of all constants C appearing in the last Definition is denoted by Λ_G .

It was shown M. Cowling and U. Haagerup that if G is a weakly amenable group then the net (u_i) from the definition can moreover be chosen so that the following conditions are satisfied:

- u_i is compactly supported for each i ;
- $u_i u \rightarrow u$ in the norm of $A(G)$, for every $u \in A(G)$.

Every amenable group is weakly amenable.

The notion of weak amenability has been studied extensively. The first results in this direction was the fact that \mathbb{F}_n is weakly amenable (U. Haagerup, 1979).

The multipliers that were utilised in this setting were radial.

Weak amenability

Since non-commutative free groups are not amenable, we have that the class of weakly amenable groups is strictly larger than that of amenable ones.

Weak amenability

Since non-commutative free groups are not amenable, we have that the class of weakly amenable groups is strictly larger than that of amenable ones.

The weak amenability of \mathbb{F}_n was generalised as follows:

Theorem (Bożejko-Picardello, 1993)

Let G_i , $i \in I$, be amenable locally compact groups, each of which contains a given open compact group H . Then the free product G of the family $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ over H is weakly amenable and $\Lambda_G = 1$.

Weak amenability

Since non-commutative free groups are not amenable, we have that the class of weakly amenable groups is strictly larger than that of amenable ones.

The weak amenability of \mathbb{F}_n was generalised as follows:

Theorem (Bożejko-Picardello, 1993)

Let G_i , $i \in I$, be amenable locally compact groups, each of which contains a given open compact group H . Then the free product G of the family $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ over H is weakly amenable and $\Lambda_G = 1$.

The multipliers that are utilised in establishing the latter result were also radial.

Weak amenability

Since non-commutative free groups are not amenable, we have that the class of weakly amenable groups is strictly larger than that of amenable ones.

The weak amenability of \mathbb{F}_n was generalised as follows:

Theorem (Bożejko-Picardello, 1993)

Let $G_i, i \in I$, be amenable locally compact groups, each of which contains a given open compact group H . Then the free product G of the family $(G_i)_{i \in I}$ over H is weakly amenable and $\Lambda_G = 1$.

The multipliers that are utilised in establishing the latter result were also radial.

We point out a functoriality property of weak amenability: if G_1 and G_2 are discrete groups then $\Lambda_{G_1 \times G_2} = \Lambda_{G_1} \Lambda_{G_2}$.

The *approximation property*

An even weaker approximation property for groups was introduced by U. Haagerup and J. Kraus (1994).

The *approximation property*

An even weaker approximation property for groups was introduced by U. Haagerup and J. Kraus (1994).

Definition

A locally compact group G is said to have the *approximation property (AP)* if there exists a net $(u_i) \subseteq A(G)$ such that $u_i \rightarrow 1$ in the weak* topology of $M^{cb}A(G)$.

The *approximation property*

An even weaker approximation property for groups was introduced by U. Haagerup and J. Kraus (1994).

Definition

A locally compact group G is said to have the *approximation property (AP)* if there exists a net $(u_i) \subseteq A(G)$ such that $u_i \rightarrow 1$ in the weak* topology of $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$.

Proposition

- (i) The functions u_i from the above definition can be chosen of compact support.
- (ii) Every weakly amenable locally compact group has the approximation property.

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

The following are equivalent, for a locally compact group G :

- (i) G has (AP);

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

The following are equivalent, for a locally compact group G :

- (i) G has (AP);
- (ii) for every locally compact group H , there exists a net $(u_i) \subseteq A(G)$ of functions with compact support such that $(u_i \otimes 1)$ is an approximate identity for $A(G \times H)$;

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

The following are equivalent, for a locally compact group G :

- (i) G has (AP);
- (ii) for every locally compact group H , there exists a net $(u_i) \subseteq A(G)$ of functions with compact support such that $(u_i \otimes 1)$ is an approximate identity for $A(G \times H)$;
- (iii) there exists a net $(u_i) \subseteq A(G)$ of functions with compact support such that $(u_i \otimes 1)$ is an approximate identity for $A(G \times SU(2))$.

More on amenability, weak amenability and (AP)

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

Let G be a locally compact group.

- (i) the group G is weakly amenable with $\Lambda_G \leq L$ if and only if the constant function 1 can be approximated in the weak* topology of $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ by elements of the set $\{u \in A(G) : \|u\|_{\text{cbm}} \leq L\}$.

More on amenability, weak amenability and (AP)

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

Let G be a locally compact group.

- (i) the group G is weakly amenable with $\Lambda_G \leq L$ if and only if the constant function 1 can be approximated in the weak* topology of $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ by elements of the set $\{u \in A(G) : \|u\|_{\text{cbm}} \leq L\}$.
- (ii) the group G is amenable if and only if the constant function 1 can be approximated in the weak* topology of $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ by elements of the set $\{u \in A(G) : u \text{ positive definite, } u(e) = 1\}$.

More on amenability, weak amenability and (AP)

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

Let G be a locally compact group.

- (i) the group G is weakly amenable with $\Lambda_G \leq L$ if and only if the constant function 1 can be approximated in the weak* topology of $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ by elements of the set $\{u \in A(G) : \|u\|_{\text{cbm}} \leq L\}$.
- (ii) the group G is amenable if and only if the constant function 1 can be approximated in the weak* topology of $M^{\text{cb}}A(G)$ by elements of the set $\{u \in A(G) : u \text{ positive definite, } u(e) = 1\}$.

Theorem (Haagerup-Kraus, 1994)

Let G be a locally compact group and H be a closed normal subgroup of G . If H and G/H have (AP) then so does G .

A list of examples

- **(de Canniere-Haagerup)** $SO_o(1, n)$: the connected component of the identity of the group $SO(1, n)$ of all real $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices with determinant 1, leaving the quadratic form $-t_0^2 + t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2$ invariant. Here $\Lambda_{SO_o(1, n)} = 1$.

A list of examples

- **(de Canniere-Haagerup)** $SO_o(1, n)$: the connected component of the identity of the group $SO(1, n)$ of all real $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices with determinant 1, leaving the quadratic form $-t_0^2 + t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2$ invariant. Here $\Lambda_{SO_o(1, n)} = 1$.
- **(Cowling-Haagerup)** More generally, connected real Lie groups with finite centre that are locally isomorphic to $SO(1, n)$ or $SU(1, n)$. Here $\Lambda_G = 1$. (finiteness of centre removed by **Hansen**).

A list of examples

- **(de Canniere-Haagerup)** $SO_o(1, n)$: the connected component of the identity of the group $SO(1, n)$ of all real $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices with determinant 1, leaving the quadratic form $-t_0^1 + t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2$ invariant. Here $\Lambda_{SO_o(1, n)} = 1$.
- **(Cowling-Haagerup)** More generally, connected real Lie groups with finite centre that are locally isomorphic to $SO(1, n)$ or $SU(1, n)$. Here $\Lambda_G = 1$. (finiteness of centre removed by **Hansen**).
- **(de Canniere-Haagerup, Cowling-Haagerup, Hansen)** More generally, real simple Lie groups of real rank one are weakly amenable.

A list of examples

- **(de Canniere-Haagerup)** $SO_o(1, n)$: the connected component of the identity of the group $SO(1, n)$ of all real $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$ matrices with determinant 1, leaving the quadratic form $-t_0^1 + t_1^2 + \cdots + t_n^2$ invariant. Here $\Lambda_{SO_o(1, n)} = 1$.
- **(Cowling-Haagerup)** More generally, connected real Lie groups with finite centre that are locally isomorphic to $SO(1, n)$ or $SU(1, n)$. Here $\Lambda_G = 1$. (finiteness of centre removed by **Hansen**).
- **(de Canniere-Haagerup, Cowling-Haagerup, Hansen)** More generally, real simple Lie groups of real rank one are weakly amenable.
- **(Haagerup)** Real simple Lie groups of real rank at least two are not weakly amenable.

A list of examples

- (**Ozawa**) Hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.

A list of examples

- (**Ozawa**) Hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.
- (**Ozawa**) Wreath products by non-amenable groups are not weakly amenable.

A list of examples

- (**Ozawa**) Hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.
- (**Ozawa**) Wreath products by non-amenable groups are not weakly amenable.
- (**Haagerup-de Laat**) Connected simple Lie groups with finite centre and real rank at least two do not have the (AP).

A list of examples

- (**Ozawa**) Hyperbolic groups are weakly amenable.
- (**Ozawa**) Wreath products by non-amenable groups are not weakly amenable.
- (**Haagerup-de Laat**) Connected simple Lie groups with finite centre and real rank at least two do not have the (AP).
- (**Lafforgue-de la Salle**) $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ does not have (AP).

THANK YOU VERY MUCH