Carving-width, tree-width and area-optimal planar graph drawing

Therese Biedl University of Waterloo *biedl@uwaterloo.ca*

May 5, 2014

• Given: A graph G = (V, E).



3 K 3

- Given: A graph G = (V, E).
- Want: a pretty drawing (created by an algorithm.)



- Given: A graph G = (V, E).
- Want: a pretty drawing (created by an algorithm.)
- What is "pretty"?



- Given: A graph G = (V, E).
- Want: a pretty drawing (created by an algorithm.)
- What is "pretty"?



This talk: Straight-line drawing, planar graph, no crossing.

 Every planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing. (Wagner'36, Fáry'48, Stein'50)



- Every planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing. (Wagner'36, Fáry'48, Stein'50)
- Want small integer coordinates.



- Every planar graph has a planar straight-line drawing. (Wagner'36, Fáry'48, Stein'50)
- Want small integer coordinates.



Theorem (de Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack 1990; Schnyder 1990)

Every planar graph can be drawn in an $O(n) \times O(n)$ -grid.

Theorem (de Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack 1990; Schnyder 1990)

Every planar graph can be drawn in an $O(n) \times O(n)$ -grid.

Theorem (de Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack 1988)

Some planar graphs need an $\Omega(n) \times \Omega(n)$ -grid.

Theorem (de Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack 1990; Schnyder 1990)

Every planar graph can be drawn in an $O(n) \times O(n)$ -grid.

Theorem (de Fraysseix, Pach, Pollack 1988)

Some planar graphs need an $\Omega(n) \times \Omega(n)$ -grid.

Many planar graph drawing results since:

- Drawing in an $n \times n$ -grid (Schnyder 1990)
- Drawing in a $\frac{2}{3}n \times O(n)$ -grid (Chrobak, Nakano 1994)
- Drawing in area $\frac{8}{9}n^2$ (Brandenburg 2008)
- 4-connected planar graphs in area $\frac{1}{4}n^2$ (Miura et al. 1999)
- Trees in $O(n \log n)$ area (easy).
- Outer-planar graphs in $O(n \log n)$ area (B. 2002)
- Series-parallel graphs in O(n^{1.5}) area (B. 2009)
- and many more like that....

• Most graph drawing results have the form:

Algorithm draws graph in class X with area f(n). Some graph in class X needs area $\Omega(f(n))$. • Most graph drawing results have the form:

Algorithm draws graph in class X with area f(n). Some graph in class X needs area $\Omega(f(n))$.

• Very few graph drawing results of the form:

Algorithm draws G with optimal area for G. (Or at least within constant factor.)

DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

• NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)

DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)

DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)



DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)



DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)



DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)



DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)



DRAWOPTAREA: Given a planar graph G and a constant A, does G have a planar straight-line drawing of area at most A?

- NP-hard (Krug & Wagner 2007)
- Polynomial for Apollonian networks (Mondal et al., 2011)



(planar 3-tree, maximal chordal planar, ...)

Why are Apollonian networks easy?

- treewidth 3?
- faces are triangles?
- both?

Definition

Treewidth $tw(G) = \min\{k: G \text{ has chordal super-graph with clique-size } k\} -1$

3 x 3

Definition



Definition



Definition



Definition

Treewidth $tw(G) = \min\{k: G \text{ has chordal super-graph with clique-size } k\} -1$



Definition

Treewidth $tw(G) = \min\{k: G \text{ has chordal super-graph with clique-size } k\} -1$



Definition



Definition

Treewidth $tw(G) = \min\{k: G \text{ has chordal super-graph with clique-size } k\} -1$



Definition



- G has bounded treewidth ⇒ many NP-hard problems become polynomial (FPT).
- Often a first step towards developing a PTAS.

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard. Polynomial if treewidth bounded?





(Reduction-graph in [KW07] for NP-hardness of DRAWOPTAREA.)

• Graph is drawn on 3 rows.



- Graph is drawn on 3 rows.
- Therefore [FLW03]: pathwidth \leq 3.



- Graph is drawn on 3 rows.
- Therefore [FLW03]: pathwidth \leq 3.
- Well-known: then treewidth \leq 3.



- But this graph was disconnected.
- And it has many possible planar embeddings.



(Reduction-graph in [KW07] for NP-hardness of DRAWOPTAREA.)

- But this graph was disconnected.
- And it has many possible planar embeddings.

Theorem (B. 2014)

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard even for a 3-connected planar graph with treewidth at most 8.

Theorem (B. 2014)

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard even for a 3-connected planar graph with treewidth at most 8.



Carving-width, tree-width and graph drawing

Back to: Why is $\operatorname{DrawOptArea}$ easy on Apollonian networks?

- o constant treewidth?
- faces are triangles?
- both?

Back to: Why is DRAWOPTAREA easy on Apollonian networks?

- constant treewidth no, still NP-hard
- faces are triangles?
- both?

Back to: Why is DRAWOPTAREA easy on Apollonian networks?

- constant treewidth no, still NP-hard
- faces are triangles?
- both?

Definition (Planar triangulated graph)

Planar graph where all faces (including outer-face) are triangles.



Theorem (B. 2014)

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard even for planar graphs that are one edge away from being triangulated.

Theorem (B. 2014)

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard even for planar graphs that are one edge away from being triangulated.



Therese Biedl

Theorem (B. 2014)

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard even for planar graphs that are one edge away from being triangulated.



Therese Biedl

Theorem (B. 2014)

DRAWOPTAREA is NP-hard even for planar graphs that are one edge away from being triangulated.

NP-hard for triangulated? Conjectured yes.



Therese Biedl

Back to: Why is DRAWOPTAREA easy on Apollonian networks?

- constant treewidth? no, still NP-hard
- small face-degrees?
- both?

Back to: Why is DRAWOPTAREA easy on Apollonian networks?

- constant treewidth? no, still NP-hard
- small face-degrees? no, still NP-hard
- both?

Back to: Why is DRAWOPTAREA easy on Apollonian networks?

- constant treewidth? no, still NP-hard
- small face-degrees? no, still NP-hard
- o both?

Theorem (based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph with bounded treewidth and bounded face-degrees, then DRAWOPTAREA is polynomial.

Theorem (B. 2014, based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph with bounded treewidth and bounded face-degrees, then DRAWOPTAREA is polynomial.

Look at dual graph:



Theorem (B. 2014, based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph with bounded treewidth and bounded face-degrees, then DRAWOPTAREA is polynomial.



Look at dual graph:

- bounded face degrees ⇔
 bounded maximum degree in dual
- bounded treewidth ⇔
 bounded treewidth in dual
- ⇒ dual has bounded <u>carving width</u>

Definition (Carving decomposition)

Recursive vertex-partitioning (always split into two groups)



3. 3

Definition (Carving decomposition)

Recursive vertex-partitioning (always split into two groups)



Definition

Width of carving decomposition: maximum # edges in cut at arc. Carving width: Smallest possible width of carving decomposition.

Definition (Carving decomposition of dual)

Recursive face-partitioning (always split into two groups)





Definition (Carving decomposition of dual)

Recursive face-partitioning (always split into two groups)



Carving width of dual: maximum # edges in boundary.

Theorem (B. 2014, based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph whose dual has bounded carving width, then DRAWOPTAREA is polynomial.

Idea: Dynamic programming.



Theorem (B. 2014, based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph whose dual has bounded carving width, then DRAWOPTAREA is polynomial.

Idea: Dynamic programming.

- G_a : graph "below" arc a.
- B_a : boundary-vertices of G_a
- π_a : mapping from B_a to points in $W \times H$ -grid.



 $M(a,\pi) = TRUE$ if we can draw G_a planar with B_a at $\pi(B_a)$

Theorem (B. 2014, based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph whose dual has bounded carving width, then DRAWOPTAREA is polynomial.

Idea: Dynamic programming.

- G_a : graph "below" arc a.
- B_a : boundary-vertices of G_a
- π_a : mapping from B_a to points in $W \times H$ -grid.



 $M(a,\pi) = TRUE$ if we can draw G_a planar with B_a at $\pi(B_a)$

- Compute *M* (for all $W \cdot H \leq A$) bottom-up in decomposition.
- G has drawing \Leftrightarrow TRUE at root for some π, W, H .
- Run-time $O^*(A^{\frac{3}{2}cw(G^*)})$.

Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]		
constant face-degrees			
constant vertex-degrees			
constant treewidth and			
face-degrees			
constant treewidth and			
vertex-degrees			

Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC	
constant face-degrees			
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant treewidth and			
face-degrees			
constant treewidth and	NPC		
vertex-degrees			

Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC	
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant treewidth and			
face-degrees			
constant treewidth and	NPC	NPC	
vertex-degrees			

Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC	
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant treewidth and	Р		
face-degrees			
constant treewidth and			
vertex-degrees	NIC	NI C	

Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC	
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	
constant treewidth and	Р	NPC	
face-degrees			
constant treewidth and			
vertex-degrees			

Convex drawing	No		Yes	
Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	Fixed	Free
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC		
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC		
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC		
constant treewidth and	Р	NPC		
face-degrees	•			
constant treewidth and	NPC			
vertex-degrees				

DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA: Only consider drawings where all faces (including outer-face) are convex.

Convex drawing	No		Ye	es
Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	Fixed	Free
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC		
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC	NPC	NPC
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	NPC	NPC
constant treewidth and	Р	NPC		
face-degrees	•			
constant treewidth and	NPC	NPC		
vertex-degrees				

DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA: Only consider drawings where all faces (including outer-face) are convex.

Convex drawing	No		Ye	es
Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	Fixed	Free
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC		
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC	NPC	NPC
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	NPC	NPC
constant treewidth and	Р	NPC		
face-degrees				
constant treewidth and	NPC	NPC	P	
vertex-degrees			•	

DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA: Only consider drawings where all faces (including outer-face) are convex.

Theorem (based on B., Vatshelle 2012)

If G is a plane graph that has bounded carving width, then DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA is polynomial.

Convex drawing	No		Yes	
Planar embedding	Fixed	Free	Fixed	Free
constant treewidth	NPC [KW07]	NPC	Р	Р
constant face-degrees	NPC	NPC	NPC	NPC
constant vertex-degrees	NPC	NPC	NPC	NPC
constant treewidth and	Р	NPC	Р	Р
face-degrees			•	·
constant treewidth and	NPC		Р	Р
vertex-degrees	NI C		•	·

DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA: Only consider drawings where all faces (including outer-face) are convex.

Theorem (based on B., Vatshelle 2012 B. 2014)

If G is a planeplanar graph that has bounded carving width treewidth, then DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA is polynomial.

• Is DRAWOPTAREA polynomial for other graph classes?

- $\bullet~$ Is ${\rm DrawOptArea}$ polynomial for other graph classes?
- Is DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA FPT in the treewidth?

- Is DRAWOPTAREA polynomial for other graph classes?
- Is DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA FPT in the treewidth?
- Approximation algorithms, or even a PTAS?

- Is DRAWOPTAREA polynomial for other graph classes?
- Is DRAWCONVEXOPTAREA FPT in the treewidth?
- Approximation algorithms, or even a PTAS?



References:

- T. Biedl, M. Vatshelle, The point-set embeddability problem for plane graphs, SoCG 2012, to appear in IJCGA.
- T. Biedl, On area-optimal planar drawings, to appear at ICALP 2014.