# Approximate Ramsey properties of finite dimensional normed spaces.

#### J. Lopez-Abad

Instituto de Ciencias Matemáticas,CSIC, Madrid U. de São Paulo

Research supported by the FAPESP project 13/24827-1

joint work with D. Bartošová and B. Mbombo; V. Ferenczi, B. Mbombo and S. Todorcevic

#### March 31st, 2015

J. Lopez-Abad (ICMAT)

4 AR N 4 E N 4 E N

## Outline

## 1 (Approximate) Ramsey Properties

- The main results
- Consequences
- Borsuk-Ulam like reformulation

## 2 Partitions. Dual Ramsey and concentration of Measure

- $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's
- Polyhedral spaces
- Arbitrary spaces

• 
$$\ell_p^n$$
's,  $p \neq \infty$ 

Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The p-norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined for  $(a_i)_{i < n}$  by

$$\|(a_{i})_{i < n}\|_{p} := \left(\sum_{i < n} |a_{i}|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } p < \infty$$
$$\|(a_{i})_{i < n}\|_{\infty} := \max_{i < n} |a_{i}|$$
$$\ell_{p}^{n} := (\mathbb{R}^{n}, \|\cdot\|_{p}).$$

Same definition for  $0 , but <math>\|\cdot\|_p$  is then a quasi-norm (the triangle inequality fails).

#### Definition

Given two Banach spaces X and Y, by a (linear isometric) embedding from X into Y we mean a linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$||T(x)||_{Y} = ||x||_{X}$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

Let  $1 \le p \le \infty$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . The p-norm  $\|\cdot\|_p$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is defined for  $(a_i)_{i < n}$  by

$$\|(a_{i})_{i < n}\|_{p} := \left(\sum_{i < n} |a_{i}|^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \text{ for } p < \infty$$
$$\|(a_{i})_{i < n}\|_{\infty} := \max_{i < n} |a_{i}|$$
$$\ell_{p}^{n} := (\mathbb{R}^{n}, \|\cdot\|_{p}).$$

Same definition for  $0 , but <math>\|\cdot\|_p$  is then a quasi-norm (the triangle inequality fails).

#### Definition

Given two Banach spaces X and Y, by a (linear isometric) embedding from X into Y we mean a linear operator  $T : X \rightarrow Y$  such that

$$||T(x)||_Y = ||x||_X$$
 for all  $x \in X$ .

Let

## $\operatorname{Emb}(X,Y)$

be the collection of all embeddings from X into Y. Then Emb(X, Y) is a metric space with the norm distance

$$d(T, U) := ||T - U|| := \sup_{x \in S_X} ||T(x) - U(x)||.$$

J. Lopez-Abad (ICMAT)

э

- 4 E b

< 47 ▶

An r-coloring of a set X is just a mapping  $c : X \rightarrow r$ .

э

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト

An r-coloring of a set X is just a mapping  $c : X \to r$ . When (X, d) is a metric space, a  $\varepsilon$ -monochromatic set of c is a subset Y of X such that

 $Y \subseteq (c^{-1}(i))_{\varepsilon}$  for some i < r,

where  $(Z)_{\varepsilon} := \{x \in X : d(x, Z) < \varepsilon\}$  is the  $\varepsilon$ -fattening of Z.

## Definition

We say that a collection of Banach spaces  $\mathcal{F}$  has the Approximate Ramsey Property (ARP) when for every  $F, G \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $H \in \mathcal{F}$  containing a (linear) **isometric** copy of G such that every r-coloring of

 $\operatorname{Emb}(F,G)$ 

has a  $\varepsilon$ -monochromatic set of the form  $\gamma \circ \text{Emb}(F, G)$  for some

 $\gamma \in \operatorname{Emb}(G, H).$ 

This notion is being studied more generally and for *Lipschitz colorings*, by J. Melleray and T. Tsankov, extending the Structural Ramsey property.

This notion is being studied more generally and for *Lipschitz colorings*, by J. Melleray and T. Tsankov, extending the Structural Ramsey property. The ARP implies the approximate Ramsey result for colorings of isometric copies.

## Theorem (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo 14') The finite dimensional normed spaces has the ARP.

## Theorem (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo 14') The finite dimensional normed spaces has the ARP.

Theorem (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo 14') The  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's (i.e. the family  $\{\ell_{\infty}^{n}\}_{n}$ ) have the ARP. Theorem (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo 14') The finite dimensional normed spaces has the ARP.

Theorem (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo 14') The  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's (i.e. the family  $\{\ell_{\infty}^{n}\}_{n}$ ) have the ARP.

Theorem (Ferenczi, LA, Mbombo and Todorcevic 15') The  $\ell_p^n$ 's have the ARP for every 0 .

Using the approximate ultrahomogeneity of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

## Corollary (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo)

The group of (linear) isometries  $Iso(\mathbb{G})$  of the Gurarij space  $\mathbb{G}$ , with the pointwise topology is extremely amenable.

프 · · · 프 ·

Image: A matrix

Using the approximate ultrahomogeneity of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

## Corollary (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo)

The group of (linear) isometries  $Iso(\mathbb{G})$  of the Gurarij space  $\mathbb{G}$ , with the pointwise topology is extremely amenable.

## Corollary (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo)

The universal minimal flow of the group of affine homeomorphism of the Poulsen simplex with the uniform topology is the Poulsen simplex with its natural action.

→

Using the approximate ultrahomogeneity of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

## Corollary (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo)

The group of (linear) isometries  $Iso(\mathbb{G})$  of the Gurarij space  $\mathbb{G}$ , with the pointwise topology is extremely amenable.

## Corollary (Bartosova, LA and Mbombo)

The universal minimal flow of the group of affine homeomorphism of the Poulsen simplex with the uniform topology is the Poulsen simplex with its natural action.

This is a consequence of the ARP of  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's and **positive** embeddings.

Using the *ultrahomogeneity* of  $\mathbb{G}$ , Corollary (Milman and Gromov) Iso( $\ell_2$ ) *is extremely amenable.* 

э

∃ → < ∃ →</p>

A B A A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A
 A

Using the *ultrahomogeneity* of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

Corollary (Milman and Gromov)  $Iso(\ell_2)$  is extremely amenable.

## Corollary (Giordano and Pestov)

The group of linear isometries of the Lebesgue spaces  $L_p[0, 1]$  is extremely amenable.

Using the *ultrahomogeneity* of  $\mathbb{G}$ ,

Corollary (Milman and Gromov)  $Iso(\ell_2)$  is extremely amenable.

## Corollary (Giordano and Pestov)

The group of linear isometries of the Lebesgue spaces  $L_p[0, 1]$  is extremely amenable.

Here we use the following

## Proposition

For  $p < \infty$ ,  $\theta \ge 1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , every  $\theta$ -embedding  $\gamma$  from an isometric copy X of  $\ell_p^n$  into  $L_p[0,1]$  there is an isometry g of  $L_p[0,1]$  such that  $\|g \upharpoonright X - \gamma\|_p < \theta + \varepsilon$ .

#### ARP has the following reformulation $\dot{a}$ la Borsuk-Ulam Theorem.

э

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

ARP has the following reformulation à *la* Borsuk-Ulam Theorem. Recall that one of the several equivalent versions (*Lusternik-Schnirelmann* Theorem) of the *Borsuk-Ulam* theorem states that if the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of  $\ell_2^{n+1}$  is covered by n+1 many open sets, then one of them contains a point x and its antipodal -x. ARP has the following reformulation à *la* Borsuk-Ulam Theorem. Recall that one of the several equivalent versions (*Lusternik-Schnirelmann* Theorem) of the *Borsuk-Ulam* theorem states that if the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of  $\ell_2^{n+1}$  is covered by n+1 many open sets, then one of them contains a point x and its antipodal -x.

## Definition

Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We say that an open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of X is  $\varepsilon$ -fat when  $\mathcal{U}_{-\varepsilon} := \{U_{-\varepsilon}\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is a covering of X, where

 $U_{-\varepsilon} := X \setminus (X \setminus U)_{\leq \varepsilon}.$ 

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

ARP has the following reformulation à *la* Borsuk-Ulam Theorem. Recall that one of the several equivalent versions (*Lusternik-Schnirelmann* Theorem) of the *Borsuk-Ulam* theorem states that if the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^n$  of  $\ell_2^{n+1}$  is covered by n+1 many open sets, then one of them contains a point x and its antipodal -x.

## Definition

Let (X, d) be a metric space,  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We say that an open covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of X is  $\varepsilon$ -fat when  $\mathcal{U}_{-\varepsilon} := \{U_{-\varepsilon}\}_{U \in \mathcal{U}}$  is a covering of X, where

$$U_{-\varepsilon} := X \setminus (X \setminus U)_{\leq \varepsilon}.$$

It is not difficult to see that if X is compact, then every open covering is  $\varepsilon$ -fat for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

æ

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

#### Corollary

For every 0 , every <math>d, m and r, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists n such that in every  $\varepsilon$ -fat covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^n)$  of cardinality at most r there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  containing  $\gamma \circ \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^m)$  for some  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^m, \ell_p^n)$ .

For example, Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is the statement that for p = 2, d = m = 1, r and **all**  $\varepsilon > 0$  such n is at most the number r of open sets of the covering:

## Corollary

For every 0 , every <math>d, m and r, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists n such that in every  $\varepsilon$ -fat covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^n)$  of cardinality at most r there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  containing  $\gamma \circ \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^m)$  for some  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^m, \ell_p^n)$ .

For example, Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is the statement that for p = 2, d = m = 1, r and **all**  $\varepsilon > 0$  such n is at most the number r of open sets of the covering:

(i)  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_2^1, \ell_2^n)$  is metrically identified with  $S_{\ell_2^n} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .

・ロト ・ 一下 ・ ・ ヨト ・ モート

## Corollary

For every 0 , every <math>d, m and r, and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists n such that in every  $\varepsilon$ -fat covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^n)$  of cardinality at most r there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  containing  $\gamma \circ \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^m)$  for some  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^m, \ell_p^n)$ .

For example, Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is the statement that for p = 2, d = m = 1, r and **all**  $\varepsilon > 0$  such n is at most the number r of open sets of the covering:

- (i)  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_2^1, \ell_2^n)$  is metrically identified with  $S_{\ell_2^n} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_2^1, \ell_2^1) = \{\pm \mathsf{Id} \}.$

- ロト - 4 得 ト - 4 三 ト - 5 三 三

## Corollary

For every  $0 , every d, m and r, and <math>\varepsilon > 0$  there exists n such that in every  $\varepsilon$ -fat covering  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^n)$  of cardinality at most r there is  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  containing  $\gamma \circ \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^d, \ell_p^m)$  for some  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Emb}(\ell_p^m, \ell_p^n)$ .

For example, Borsuk-Ulam Theorem is the statement that for p = 2, d = m = 1, r and all  $\varepsilon > 0$  such n is at most the number r of open sets of the covering:

- (i)  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_2^1, \ell_2^n)$  is metrically identified with  $S_{\ell_2^n} = \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ .
- (ii)  $\operatorname{Emb}(\ell_2^1, \ell_2^1) = \{\pm \mathsf{Id}\}.$
- (iii) So, having  $\gamma \circ \text{Emb}(\ell_2^1, \ell_2^1)$  in one open set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$  means that the point x determining  $\gamma$  satisfies that  $\pm x \in U$ .

11 / 25

(i)  $A \in \mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{\infty}$  if and only if for every column vector c of A one has that  $||c||_{\infty} = 1$  and for every row vector r of A one has that  $||r||_1 \leq 1$ .

- (i)  $A \in \mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{\infty}$  if and only if for every column vector c of A one has that  $\|c\|_{\infty} = 1$  and for every row vector r of A one has that  $\|r\|_1 \leq 1$ .
- (ii)  $A \in \mathbb{E}^2_{d,n}$  if and only if the sequence  $(c_i)_{i < d}$  of columns of A is orthonormal.

- (i)  $A \in \mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{\infty}$  if and only if for every column vector c of A one has that  $\|c\|_{\infty} = 1$  and for every row vector r of A one has that  $\|r\|_1 \leq 1$ .
- (ii)  $A \in \mathbb{E}^2_{d,n}$  if and only if the sequence  $(c_i)_{i < d}$  of columns of A is orthonormal.
- (iii) Given  $0 , <math>p \neq 2$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  if and only if for every column vector c of A one has that  $||c||_{p} = 1$  and every two column vectors have disjoint support.

We work with a type of matrices of  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$ , called  $\Delta$ -matrices.

#### Definition

Let  $\Delta \subseteq B_{\ell_{p*}^d}$ ,  $1/p^* + 1/p = 1$ . We call a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times d}$   $\Delta$ -matrix, when there is a surjective  $F : n \to \Delta$  such that for every  $v \in \Delta$  and every  $i \in F^{-1}(v)$  the *i*<sup>th</sup>-row of A is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\#F^{-1}(v)}}v$$

We work with a type of matrices of  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$ , called  $\Delta$ -matrices.

#### Definition

Let  $\Delta \subseteq B_{\ell_{p*}^d}$ ,  $1/p^* + 1/p = 1$ . We call a matrix  $A \in \mathcal{M}_{n \times d}$   $\Delta$ -matrix, when there is a surjective  $F : n \to \Delta$  such that for every  $v \in \Delta$  and every  $i \in F^{-1}(v)$  the *i*<sup>th</sup>-row of A is

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt[p]{\#F^{-1}(v)}}v$$

A  $\Delta$ -matrix is determined by a mapping  $F : n \to \Delta$ . So, the collection of  $\Delta$ -matrices can be canonically identified with the collection of all mappings from n to  $\Delta$ .

・ロト ・ 一下 ・ ・ ヨト ・ ヨト

## An *F*-matrix belongs to $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$ when

2

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト

An *F*-matrix belongs to  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  when 1 for every i < d one has that

$$\max_{v\in\Delta} |(v)_i| = 1,$$

for  $p = \infty$ ,

< 47 ▶

An *F*-matrix belongs to  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  when 1 for every i < d one has that

$$\max_{v\in\Delta} |(v)_i| = 1,$$

for  $p = \infty$ , 2  $((v)_0)_{v \in \Delta}, \dots, ((v)_{d-1})_{v \in \Delta}$  is an orthonormal sequence, for p = 2,
An *F*-matrix belongs to  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  when 1 for every i < d one has that

$$\max_{v\in\Delta} |(v)_i| = 1,$$

for p = ∞,
2 ((v)<sub>0</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>,...,((v)<sub>d-1</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub> is an orthonormal sequence, for p = 2,
3 (((v)<sub>0</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>,...,((v)<sub>d-1</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>) are normalized and pairwise disjointly supported for p ≠ 2,∞.

An *F*-matrix belongs to  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  when 1 for every i < d one has that

$$\max_{v\in\Delta} |(v)_i| = 1,$$

for p = ∞,
2 ((v)<sub>0</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>,...,((v)<sub>d-1</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub> is an orthonormal sequence, for p = 2,
3 (((v)<sub>0</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>,...,((v)<sub>d-1</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>) are normalized and pairwise disjointly supported for p ≠ 2,∞.

When satisfied the corresponding condition we say that  $\Delta$  is *p*-adequate.

An *F*-matrix belongs to  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  when 1 for every i < d one has that

$$\max_{v\in\Delta} |(v)_i| = 1,$$

for  $p = \infty$ ,

2 ((v)<sub>0</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>,...,((v)<sub>d-1</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub> is an orthonormal sequence, for p = 2,
 3 (((v)<sub>0</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>,...,((v)<sub>d-1</sub>)<sub>v∈Δ</sub>) are normalized and pairwise disjointly supported for p ≠ 2,∞.

When satisfied the corresponding condition we say that  $\Delta$  is *p*-adequate. The proofs of the ARP of  $\ell_p^n$ 's use the (approximate) Ramsey properties of the sets of surjections  $\operatorname{Epi}(n, \Delta)$  from *n* to a finite set  $\Delta$ .

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

#### Definition

Let  $(S, <_S)$  and  $(T, <_T)$  be two linearly ordered sets. A surjection  $\theta : S \to T$  is called a min-surjection when  $\min \theta^{-1}(t_0) < \min \theta^{-1}(t_1)$  for every  $t_0 < t_1$  in T. Let  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(S, T)$  be collection of all those surjections.

#### Definition

Let  $(S, <_S)$  and  $(T, <_T)$  be two linearly ordered sets. A surjection  $\theta : S \to T$  is called a min-surjection when  $\min \theta^{-1}(t_0) < \min \theta^{-1}(t_1)$  for every  $t_0 < t_1$  in T. Let  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(S, T)$  be collection of all those surjections.

# Theorem (Graham and Rothschild)

For every finite linearly ordered sets S and T, and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n \ge \#T$  such that every r-coloring of  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(n, S)$  has a monochromatic set of the form  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(T, S) \circ \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(n, T)$ .

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Definition

Let  $(S, <_S)$  and  $(T, <_T)$  be two linearly ordered sets. A surjection  $\theta : S \to T$  is called a min-surjection when  $\min \theta^{-1}(t_0) < \min \theta^{-1}(t_1)$  for every  $t_0 < t_1$  in T. Let  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(S, T)$  be collection of all those surjections.

# Theorem (Graham and Rothschild)

For every finite linearly ordered sets S and T, and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n \ge \#T$  such that every r-coloring of  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(n, S)$  has a monochromatic set of the form  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(T, S) \circ \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(n, T)$ .

We order  $B_{\ell_1^d}$  in a way that we preserve the  $\ell_1$ -norm.

イロト 不得下 イヨト イヨト 二日

#### Definition

Let  $(S, <_S)$  and  $(T, <_T)$  be two linearly ordered sets. A surjection  $\theta : S \to T$  is called a min-surjection when  $\min \theta^{-1}(t_0) < \min \theta^{-1}(t_1)$  for every  $t_0 < t_1$  in T. Let  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(S, T)$  be collection of all those surjections.

# Theorem (Graham and Rothschild)

For every finite linearly ordered sets S and T, and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists  $n \ge \#T$  such that every r-coloring of  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(n, S)$  has a monochromatic set of the form  $\operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(T, S) \circ \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Epi}_{\min}(n, T)$ .

We order  $B_{\ell_1^d}$  in a way that we preserve the  $\ell_1$ -norm. Multiplication of an appropriate *F*-matrix that represents an embedding by an arbitrary matrix embedding is close to a composition of the *F*-matrix with another *G*-matrix.

We extend the ARP of  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's to polyhedral spaces.

э

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

We extend the ARP of  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$  's to polyhedral spaces.

### Definition

Recall that a finite dimensional space F is called polyhedral when its unit ball is a polyhedron; that is, it has finitely many extreme points.

It is well-known that a f.d. space is polyhedral if and only if can be isometrically embedded into some  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ .

We extend the ARP of  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$  's to polyhedral spaces.

## Definition

Recall that a finite dimensional space F is called polyhedral when its unit ball is a polyhedron; that is, it has finitely many extreme points.

It is well-known that a f.d. space is polyhedral if and only if can be isometrically embedded into some  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ . Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces.

We extend the ARP of  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's to polyhedral spaces.

#### Definition

Recall that a finite dimensional space F is called polyhedral when its unit ball is a polyhedron; that is, it has finitely many extreme points.

It is well-known that a f.d. space is polyhedral if and only if can be isometrically embedded into some  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ . Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. The following is used to prove the ARP of polyhedral spaces.

We extend the ARP of  $\ell_{\infty}^{n}$ 's to polyhedral spaces.

### Definition

Recall that a finite dimensional space F is called polyhedral when its unit ball is a polyhedron; that is, it has finitely many extreme points.

It is well-known that a f.d. space is polyhedral if and only if can be isometrically embedded into some  $\ell_{\infty}^n$ . Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. The following is used to prove the ARP of polyhedral spaces.

## Proposition

Every polyhedral space F has an injective envelope. That is, there is some n and an isometric embedding  $T_F : F \to \ell_{\infty}^n$  such that for any other isometric embedding  $U : F \to \ell_{\infty}^k$  there is an isometric embedding  $\Theta : \ell_{\infty}^n \to \ell_{\infty}^k$  such that  $U = \Theta \circ T_F$ .

Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. So, an isometric embedding T between two f.d. spaces X and Y will induce a  $\theta$ -embedding  $T'(\theta^{-1}||x|| \le ||T'x|| \le \theta ||x||)$  between polyhedral spaces X' and Y' appropriately closed to X and Y.

(日) (四) (日) (日) (日)

Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. So, an isometric embedding T between two f.d. spaces X and Y will induce a  $\theta$ -embedding T' ( $\theta^{-1}||x|| \le ||T'x|| \le \theta ||x||$ ) between polyhedral spaces X' and Y' appropriately closed to X and Y. Our previous ARP is about isometric embeddings between polyhedral spaces. So, somehow, we have to turn T' into an isometric embedding between X' and Y'.

Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. So, an isometric embedding T between two f.d. spaces X and Y will induce a  $\theta$ -embedding T' ( $\theta^{-1}||x|| \leq ||T'x|| \leq \theta ||x||$ ) between polyhedral spaces X' and Y' appropriately closed to X and Y. Our previous ARP is about isometric embeddings between polyhedral spaces. So, somehow, we have to turn T' into an isometric embedding between X' and Y'. In general, it is not true that a  $\theta$ -isometric embedding is  $\theta'$ -close to an isometric embeddings, as there are many spaces with two isometries, yet with many approximate isometries.

Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. So, an isometric embedding T between two f.d. spaces X and Y will induce a  $\theta$ -embedding T' ( $\theta^{-1}||x|| \leq ||T'x|| \leq \theta ||x||$ ) between polyhedral spaces X' and Y' appropriately closed to X and Y. Our previous ARP is about isometric embeddings between polyhedral spaces. So, somehow, we have to turn T' into an isometric embedding between X' and Y'. In general, it is not true that a  $\theta$ -isometric embedding is  $\theta'$ -close to an isometric embeddings, as there are many spaces with two isometries, yet with many approximate isometries. This is solved by using the following extension of a result by Kubis and Solecki.

Polyhedral spaces are dense in the class of finite dimensional spaces. So, an isometric embedding T between two f.d. spaces X and Y will induce a  $\theta$ -embedding T' ( $\theta^{-1}||x|| \leq ||T'x|| \leq \theta ||x||$ ) between polyhedral spaces X' and Y' appropriately closed to X and Y. Our previous ARP is about isometric embeddings between polyhedral spaces. So, somehow, we have to turn T' into an isometric embedding is  $\theta'$ -close to an isometric embeddings, as there are many spaces with two isometries, yet with many approximate isometries. This is solved by using the following extension of a result by Kubis and Solecki.

## Proposition

Let  $(X_i)_{i \leq n}$  be f.d. spaces, and  $1 < \theta < \tau$ . Then there is a f.d. space Y having isometric copies of each  $X_i$  and an isometric embedding  $J : X_n \to Y$  such that for every  $\theta$ -embedding  $T : X_i \to X_n$  there is an isometric embedding  $I : X_i \to Y$  such that  $||I - J \circ T|| < \tau - 1$ .

17 / 25

Using the previous, we prove a slightly general ARP:

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Using the previous, we prove a slightly general ARP:

#### Theorem

For every F, G, r,  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\theta \ge 1$  there is H containing an isometric copy of G such that every r-coloring of  $\operatorname{Emb}_{\theta}(F, H)$  has a  $(\theta - 1 + \varepsilon)$ -monochromatic set of the form  $\gamma \circ \operatorname{Emb}(F, G)$  for some  $\gamma \in \operatorname{Emb}(G, H)$ .

- 4 日本 - 4 日本

(I) < ((()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) < (()) <

#### Definition

A mapping  $T : n \rightarrow \Delta$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -equipartition,  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  when

$$rac{n}{\#\Delta}(1-arepsilon) \leq \#F^{-1}(\delta) \leq rac{n}{\#\Delta}(1+arepsilon)$$

for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Let  $\operatorname{Equi}_{\varepsilon}(n, \Delta)$  be the set of all  $\varepsilon$ -equipartions, and  $\operatorname{Equi}(n, \Delta)$  be the min-surjection (0-)equipartitions.

### Definition

A mapping  $T : n \rightarrow \Delta$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -equipartition,  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  when

$$rac{n}{\#\Delta}(1-arepsilon) \leq \#F^{-1}(\delta) \leq rac{n}{\#\Delta}(1+arepsilon)$$

for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Let  $\operatorname{Equi}_{\varepsilon}(n, \Delta)$  be the set of all  $\varepsilon$ -equipartions, and  $\operatorname{Equi}(n, \Delta)$  be the min-surjection (0-)equipartitions.

# Problem (Dual Ramsey for equipartitions)

Suppose that d|m, and r is arbitrary. Does there exist m|n such that every r-coloring of Equi(n, d) has a monochromatic set of the form Equi $(m, d) \circ \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in$ Equi(n, m)?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

### Definition

A mapping  $T : n \rightarrow \Delta$  is called an  $\varepsilon$ -equipartition,  $\varepsilon \ge 0$  when

$$rac{n}{\#\Delta}(1-arepsilon) \leq \#F^{-1}(\delta) \leq rac{n}{\#\Delta}(1+arepsilon)$$

for every  $\delta \in \Delta$ . Let  $\operatorname{Equi}_{\varepsilon}(n, \Delta)$  be the set of all  $\varepsilon$ -equipartions, and  $\operatorname{Equi}(n, \Delta)$  be the min-surjection (0-)equipartitions.

# Problem (Dual Ramsey for equipartitions)

Suppose that d|m, and r is arbitrary. Does there exist m|n such that every r-coloring of Equi(n, d) has a monochromatic set of the form Equi $(m, d) \circ \sigma$  for some  $\sigma \in$ Equi(n, m)?

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

## We prove the following approximate "Ramsey" result.

Image: A matrix and a matrix

We prove the following approximate "Ramsey" result.

#### Theorem

For every  $d, r \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$  there is an integer n such that every r-coloring of  $\operatorname{Equi}_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$  has a  $\delta$ -monochromatic set of the form

$$\mathcal{S}_d \circ F$$

for some  $F \in \operatorname{Equi}_{\varepsilon}(n, d)$ .

## Definition

An mm space is a metric space with a (probability) measure on it.

#### Definition

An mm space is a metric space with a (probability) measure on it. Given such mm space  $(X, d, \mu)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the concentration function

$$lpha_X(arepsilon):=1-\inf\{\mu(A_arepsilon)\,:\,\mu(A)\geq rac{1}{2}\}.$$

#### Definition

An mm space is a metric space with a (probability) measure on it. Given such mm space  $(X, d, \mu)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the concentration function

$$lpha_X(arepsilon):=1-\inf\{\mu(A_arepsilon)\,:\,\mu(A)\geq rac{1}{2}\}.$$

A sequence  $(X_n)_n$  of mm-spaces is called Lévy when

$$\alpha_{X_n}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow_n 1$$
 for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

## Definition

An mm space is a metric space with a (probability) measure on it. Given such mm space  $(X, d, \mu)$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , the concentration function

$$lpha_X(arepsilon):=1-\inf\{\mu(A_arepsilon)\,:\,\mu(A)\geq rac{1}{2}\}.$$

A sequence  $(X_n)_n$  of mm-spaces is called Lévy when

$$\alpha_{X_n}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow_n 1$$
 for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

and normal Lévy when there are  $c_1, c_2 > 0$  such that

$$\alpha_{X_n}(\varepsilon) \leq c_1 e^{-c_2 \varepsilon^2 n}.$$

It is known that

$$\alpha_{(\Delta^n,d,\mu)}(\varepsilon) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^2 n},$$

where d is the normalized Hamming distance

$$d(f,g) := \frac{1}{n} \# (f \neq g)$$

and  $\boldsymbol{\mu}$  is the normalized counting measure.

- ∢ A →

It is known that

$$\alpha_{(\Delta^n,d,\mu)}(\varepsilon) \leq e^{-\frac{1}{8}\varepsilon^2 n},$$

where d is the normalized Hamming distance

$$d(f,g) := \frac{1}{n} \# (f \neq g)$$

and  $\mu$  is the normalized counting measure.

Proposition

 $(\operatorname{Equi}_{\varepsilon}(n, \Delta), d, \mu)_n$  is asymptotically normal Lévy.

In order to apply the ARP of  $\varepsilon$ -equipartitions in the proof of the ARP of  $\ell_p^n$ 's we need the following.

< 47 ▶

In order to apply the ARP of  $\varepsilon$ -equipartitions in the proof of the ARP of  $\ell_p^n$ 's we need the following.

### Proposition

For every  $p \neq \infty$  and every p-adequate set  $\Delta$ , the mapping assigning to each  $\varepsilon$ -equipartition  $F : n \to \Delta$  the corresponding F-matrix in  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  is uniformly continuous with modulus of continuity independent of n.

In order to apply the ARP of  $\varepsilon$ -equipartitions in the proof of the ARP of  $\ell_p^n$ 's we need the following.

### Proposition

For every  $p \neq \infty$  and every p-adequate set  $\Delta$ , the mapping assigning to each  $\varepsilon$ -equipartition  $F : n \to \Delta$  the corresponding F-matrix in  $\mathbb{E}_{d,n}^{p}$  is uniformly continuous with modulus of continuity independent of n.

It suffices to prove the previous for p = 1, because all  $\ell_p$ -spheres are uniformly homeomorphic ( $p \neq \infty$ ).

Recall it is a classical result of Ribe that the Mazur map

$$M_{p,q}((a_i)_i) := (\operatorname{sgn}(a_i)|a_i|^{\frac{p}{q}})_i$$

is an uniform homemorphism between the spheres of  $\ell_p$  and  $\ell_q$   $(p,q<\infty)$ , with modulus of continuity
Recall it is a classical result of Ribe that the Mazur map

$$M_{p,q}((a_i)_i) := (\operatorname{sgn}(a_i)|a_i|^{\frac{p}{q}})_i$$

is an uniform homemorphism between the spheres of  $\ell_p$  and  $\ell_q$   $(p,q<\infty)$ , with modulus of continuity

$$egin{aligned} & \omega_{p,q}(t) \leq rac{p}{q}t ext{ if } p > q \ & \omega_{p,q}(t) \leq ct^{rac{p}{q}} ext{ if } p < q. \end{aligned}$$

J. Lopez-Abad (ICMAT)

Since all the  $\ell_p$ -embeddings ( $p \neq 2, \infty$ ) have the same "shape" (they have disjointly supported columns) it follows from Ribe's result that the ARP for  $\ell_p^n$ 's is equivalent to the ARP of  $\ell_1^n$ 's, for such p's.

Since all the  $\ell_p$ -embeddings ( $p \neq 2, \infty$ ) have the same "shape" (they have disjointly supported columns) it follows from Ribe's result that the ARP for  $\ell_p^n$ 's is equivalent to the ARP of  $\ell_1^n$ 's, for such p's. The case p = 1 is based on a work of Matoušek and Rödl on *spreads* used to prove the approximate Ramsey property for colorings of points of  $\ell_p^n$ 's.

Since all the  $\ell_p$ -embeddings ( $p \neq 2, \infty$ ) have the same "shape" (they have disjointly supported columns) it follows from Ribe's result that the ARP for  $\ell_p^n$ 's is equivalent to the ARP of  $\ell_1^n$ 's, for such p's. The case p = 1 is based on a work of Matoušek and Rödl on *spreads* used to prove the approximate Ramsey property for colorings of points of  $\ell_p^n$ 's. The case p = 2 is somehow easier because of the *ultrahomogeneity* of  $\ell_2^n$ .