variational methods for effective dynamics, part II

Robert L. Jerrard

Department of Mathematics University of Toronto

Minischool on Variational Problems in Physics October 2-3, 2014 Fields Institute

4 A N

Our main concern

If $F_{\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\Gamma} F_0$, do the evolution equations

$$\left. egin{array}{c} \dot{x}_arepsilon(t) \ \ddot{x}_arepsilon(t) \ \ddot{x}_arepsilon(t) \ \ddot{x}_arepsilon(t) \end{array}
ight\} = -
abla \mathcal{F}_arepsilon(x(t))$$

converge to some limiting problem (eg, the $\varepsilon = 0$ evolution problems)?

- for gradient flows, ∃ more tools and abstract general theory.
- for Hamiltonian systems, no general theory, but calculus of variations can help:
 - rephrase as dynamic stability problem
 - use variational estimates
 - Strategy: find functionals $\zeta(\mathbf{v}; t)$ such that

 $\boxed{\frac{d}{dt}\zeta(\mathbf{v},t)\lesssim\zeta(\mathbf{v},t)}.$

 $\zeta(v, t) \approx 0 \approx \min \zeta$ iff v(t) behaves as hoped, and

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Recall also

- Γ-convergence : general theory, with many examples
- Γ-convergence and gradient flows: general theory, few examples
- Γ-convergence and Hamiltonian systems: no general theory, few examples.

Yesterday we saw an example in which simple variational stability arguments suffice to characterize effective dynamics.

Today: an example in which this is *not* the case.... but more refined variational estimates are useful.

(eg, *quantitative* improvements of Γ-convergence compactness results.)

3

Today we will focus on

$$E_{\varepsilon}(v) := \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2} + \frac{\eta^p}{4\varepsilon^2} (|v|^2 - 1)^2 \right)$$

for $v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $p \ge 0$; together with

$$i|\log \varepsilon|\partial_t v - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \nabla \cdot (\eta^2 \nabla v) + \frac{\eta^p}{\varepsilon^2} (|v|^2 - 1)v = 0.$$

The main cases of interest are p = 0, 1 (although in fact p is basically irrelevant).

The energy is conserved by solutions of the PDE..

We assume that η is fixed, C^2 , positive. Still okay if

- $\eta = \eta_{\varepsilon} > 0$ converges uniformly to a limit,
- limit need only be nonnegative

4 D N 4 B N 4 B N 4 B

Motivations

1. The PDE (with p = 1) may be obtained by transforming the equation

$$i\partial_t u - \Delta u + rac{1}{arepsilon^2} \left(V(x) + |u|^2
ight) u = 0 \qquad ext{ in } \mathbb{R}^2$$

with $\eta = \eta_{\varepsilon}$ minimizing

$$\zeta \mapsto \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla \zeta|^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(V(x) \frac{|u|^2}{2} + \frac{|u|^4}{4} \right) dx$$

subject to L^2 constraint. Indeed, define v by $u(x,t) = \eta(x)e^{-i\lambda_{\varepsilon}t}v(x,t|\log \varepsilon|)$. Describes point vortices in pancake-shaped Bose-Einstein condensates

2. The PDE (with p = 0) may be obtained by symmetry reduction from

$$i\partial_t u - \Delta u + rac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \left(|u|^2 - 1
ight) u = 0$$
 in \mathbb{R}^3

(Write in cylindrical coordinates (r, θ, z) , seek solutions independent of θ .) Then $\Omega = (0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta^2 = r$. Describes vortex rings in a 3d ideal homgeneous quantum fluid

Robert L. Jerrard (Toronto)



experimental data showing vortex motion in a Bose-Einstein condensate – vortices precess at constant angular velocity Frelich, Bianchi, Kaufman, Langin and Hall, *Science* 2010

Robert L. Jerrard (Toronto)

effective dynamics II

Notation: Given $v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ we will write

$$j(\mathbf{v}) := -\frac{i}{2}(\bar{\mathbf{v}}\nabla\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}\bar{\nabla}\mathbf{v}) :=$$
 momentum density
 $\omega(\mathbf{v}) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla \times j(\mathbf{v}) :=$ vorticity

Fact: If $v = \rho e^{i\phi}$ then

$$j(\mathbf{v}) = \rho^2 \nabla \phi$$

Fact: If $v = v_1 + iv_2$ then

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}) = \det(\partial_i \mathbf{v}_j) = \operatorname{Jac}(\mathbf{v})$$

A (1) > A (2) > A

Theorem (J., Sandier, J.-Soner, Alberti-Baldo-Orlandi '98-'03)

0. compactness: Assume that $(v_{\varepsilon}) \subset H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ and that

$$E_{\varepsilon}(v) = \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \eta^2 \left(\frac{|\nabla v|^2}{2} + \frac{\eta^p}{4\varepsilon^2} (|v|^2 - 1)^2 \right) \leq C \qquad \text{for all } \varepsilon \in (0, 1].$$

Then there exists points $a_i \in \Omega$ and integers d_i such that $\pi \sum |d_i|\eta^2(a_i) < \infty$ and after possibly passing to a subsequence

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}_{arepsilon}) o \pi \sum \mathbf{d}_i \delta_{\mathbf{a}_i} \qquad \textit{in W}^{-1,1},$$

1. Assume that $(v_{\varepsilon}) \subset H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ satisfies (1). Then

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \to 0} E_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}) \geq \pi \sum |d_i| \eta^2(a_i)$$

2. For any measure as on the right-hand side of (1), there exists a sequence (v_{ε}) such that (1) holds and

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \to 0} E_{\varepsilon}(v_{\varepsilon}) \leq \pi \sum |d_i| \eta^2(a_i)$$

Robert L. Jerrard (Toronto)

(1)

About the theorem:

1. Why $\omega(\mathbf{v}) \approx \pi \sum d_i \delta_{\mathbf{a}_i}$ **?**

Main point: Let $S := \{ |v| \le 1/2 \}$, and assume that

$$S \subset \cup B_i$$
, $B_i := B(x_i, r_i)$ with $deg(v; \partial B_i) =: d_i$.

Then

$$\|\omega(\mathbf{v}) - \pi \sum d_i \delta_{\mathbf{x}_i}\|_{W^{-1,1}} \leq C(\sum r_i) E_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}) |\log \varepsilon|.$$

2. Why
$$E_{arepsilon}(m{v})\gtrsim\pi\sum|d_i|\eta^2(a_i)$$
?

Main points:

• model lower bound on balls (*e.g.* equivariant, ie $v = f(r)e^{i\theta}$) is

$$\int_{B(s)} \frac{1}{2} |\nabla v|^2 + \frac{1}{4\varepsilon^2} (|v|^2 - 1)^2 \geq \pi \log(\frac{r}{\varepsilon}) - O(1)$$

• there is an algorithm for covering *S* with balls satisfying comparable lower bound, with tunable $\sum r_i$.

These tools yield more: quantitative estimates for fixed $\varepsilon \ll 1$

9/16

Theorem (J.-Smets '13)

Let v_{ε} be a sufficiently smooth solution of

$$i|\log \varepsilon|\partial_t v_{\varepsilon} - \frac{1}{\eta^2} \nabla \cdot (\eta^2 \nabla v_{\varepsilon}) + \frac{\eta^{\rho}}{\varepsilon^2} (|v_{\varepsilon}|^2 - 1) v_{\varepsilon} = 0.$$

with initial data v_{ε}^{0} such that

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}^{0}) \to \pi \sum d_{i}\delta_{\mathbf{a}_{i}^{0}}, \qquad \mathbf{E}_{\varepsilon}(\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}) \to \pi \sum \eta^{2}(\mathbf{a}_{i}^{0})$$

with $|d_i| = 1$ for all *i*. i.e. a recovery sequence for the measure $\pi \sum d_i \delta_{a_i^0}$. Then

$$\omega(\mathbf{v}_{\varepsilon}(t)) \to \pi \sum \mathbf{d}_i \delta_{\mathbf{a}_i(t)},$$

where each $a_i(t)$ solves

$$\dot{a}_i(t) = d_i \nabla^\perp \log \eta^2(a_i), \qquad a_i(0) = a_i^0.$$

This result is valid as long as no two points $a_i(\cdot)$ collide.

The case $\eta = \text{constant}$ is easier and has been understood since the late 90s, see Colliander-J, Lin-Xin, Spirn, Gustafson-Sigal, J-Spirn,

Our proof takes some ingredients from from some of these, particularly J-Spirn .

The following discussion (except at the last slide) emphasizes new points.

Heuristics

=

We will study the PDE for $\varepsilon \ll 1$ fixed, and we write v instead of v_{ε} .

- We need to understand evolution of $\omega(v)$.
- Evolution of $\omega(v)$ governed by identity (in integral form)

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \varphi \omega(\mathbf{v})$$

$$= \frac{1}{|\log \varepsilon|} \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon_{lj} \varphi_{x_l} \frac{\eta_{x_k}^2}{\eta^2} \left[\mathbf{v}_{x_j} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{x_k} + \delta_{jk} \frac{\eta^2}{\varepsilon^2} (|\mathbf{v}|^2 - 1)^2 \right] + \varepsilon_{lj} \varphi_{x_k x_l} \mathbf{v}_{x_j} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{x_k}$$

- Green term is lower-order.
- If φ is linear near vortices, then blue term is lower-order.

• We need $\left| \frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}_i} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{|\log \varepsilon|} \approx \pi \delta_{ij} \sum \delta_{\xi_i(t)} \right|$, where $\xi_i(t) \approx$ vortex locations.

More heuristics Let us suppose that quantitative versions of Γ -limit theorem hold for fixed $\varepsilon > 0$. This is in fact the case.

• Quantitative compactness should imply: there exist points $\xi_i(t) =$ "actual vortex locations" such that

$$\|\omega(\mathbf{v}(t)) - \pi \sum d_i \delta_{\xi_i(t)}\|_{W^{-1,1}} \ll 1$$
 (e.g. ε^{α})

Define

$$egin{aligned} &r_{a}(t) := \|\omega(m{v}(t)) - \pi \sum m{d}_{i} \delta_{a_{i}(t)}\|_{W^{-1,1}} \ &pprox \sum |a_{i}(t) - \xi_{i}(t)|. \end{aligned}$$

Quantitative Γ-limit lower bound should imply

$$\pi \sum \eta^2(a_i(t)) pprox \mathcal{E}_{arepsilon}(\mathbf{v}(t)) \geq \pi \sum \eta^2(\xi_i) - o(1)$$

and

$$\pi \sum \eta^2(\xi_i) \ge \pi \sum \eta^2(a_i) - C \operatorname{Lip}(\eta^2) r_a(t).$$

Then

 $r_a(t) \gtrsim \text{ tightness of } \Gamma \text{-lim lower bound}$.

Robert L. Jerrard (Toronto)

13/16

Still more heuristics So far

$r_a(t) \gtrsim ext{ tightness of } \Gamma ext{-lim lower bound}$.

• We need

$$\frac{\mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}_i} \cdot \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{x}_j}}{|\log \varepsilon|} \approx \pi \delta_{ij} \sum \delta_{\xi_i(t)}.$$

In fact this would let us control growth of $r_a(t)$.

- Note also, theorem states $r_a^{\varepsilon}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- So we would like

$$\left\|\frac{\textit{\textit{v}}_{\textit{\textit{x}}_{i}}(t)\cdot\textit{\textit{v}}_{\textit{\textit{x}}_{j}}(t)}{|\log\varepsilon|}-\pi\delta_{\textit{ij}}\sum\delta_{\xi_{i}(t)}\right\|_{\textit{W}^{-1,1}}\leq\textit{Cr}_{\textit{a}}(t)$$

however, this is not true. In fact all that holds is

$$\left\|\frac{\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{i}}(t)\cdot\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{x}_{j}}(t)}{|\log\varepsilon|}-\pi\delta_{ij}\sum\delta_{\xi_{i}(t)}\right\|_{W^{-1,1}}\leq C\sqrt{r_{a}(t)}$$

14/16

More rigorously: Our starting point is quantitative compactness:

Lemma

Under assumptions of the theorem, there exist points $\xi_i(t)$ such that

$$\|\omega(\mathbf{v}(t)) - \pi \sum d_i \delta_{\xi_i(t)}\|_{W^{-1,1}} \le r_{\xi}(t) pprox C \varepsilon^{1-r_a(t)} |\log \varepsilon|$$

Then construct an ideal current $j^* = j^*(t)$ supported $\cup B(\xi_i(t), |\log \varepsilon|^{-1})$ such that (simplifying somewhat)

$$egin{aligned} \|
abla imes (j(m{v})-j^*)\|_{W^{-1,1}} &\leq Cr_{\xi} \ \left\|rac{j_i^* j_k^*}{|\logarepsilon|} - \pi \delta_{ik} \sum \delta_{\xi_i(t)}
ight\|_{W^{-1,1}} &\leq C\log(rac{r_{\xi}(t)}{arepsilon})/|\logarepsilon| \ \|j^*\|_q &\leq Cr_{\xi}(t)^{rac{2}{q}-1} \quad ext{for } q>2. \end{aligned}$$

Basic strategy: to replace $v_{x_i}v_{x_k}$ by $j_i^* j_k^*$ in identity for $\frac{d}{dt} \int \varphi \omega(v)$, and try to control errors.

main error term is $C |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \log \frac{r_{\varepsilon}(t)}{\varepsilon} \approx C |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \log (\frac{\varepsilon^{1-r_{a}(t)}}{\varepsilon}) \approx r_{a}(t)_{z \in \mathbb{C}}$

Some ingredients in the error estimates:

• split $\int \frac{\psi_{ik}}{|\log \varepsilon|} (v_{x_i} v_{x_k} - j_i^* j_k^*)$ as a sum of terms. The worst is $\int \frac{\psi_{ik}}{|\log \varepsilon|} (\frac{j_i(v)}{|v|} - j_i^*) j_k^* dx$

• argue that $\frac{j(v)}{|v|} \approx j(v)$, and use

$$\nabla \times (j(\mathbf{v}) - j^{*}) \leq Cr_{\xi}$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\eta^{2} j(\mathbf{v})) = \frac{1}{2} |\log \varepsilon| \partial_{t} [\eta^{2} (|\mathbf{v}|^{2} - 1)]$$
(2)

together with weighted Hodge decomposition of $j(v) - j^*$.

• integrate in t to exploit (2). So in fact we prove something like

$$r_a(t+h)-r_a(t)\leq Chr_a(t)$$

for suitable h > 0.

 note that some of these ingredients are not obviously connected to the Hamiltonian structure of the equation.

Robert L. Jerrard (Toronto)